First Capital (Park Lawn) Corporation and 2253213 Ontario Limited

2150 Lake Shore

Functional Servicing Report

Issue 2 | February 26th, 2021

This report takes into account the particular instructions and requirements of our client.

It is not intended for and should not be relied upon by any third party and no responsibility is undertaken to any third party.

Job number 264635-00

Arup Canada Inc. 121 Bloor Street East Suite 900 Toronto ON M4W 3M5 Canada www.arup.com

ARUP

Document verification

ARUP

Job title Document title		2150 Lake	Shore		Job number		
			264635-00				
		Functional	File reference				
Documen	t ref						
Revision	Date	Filename	Functional Servici	Functional Servicing Report			
Draft 1 May 01, 2020		Description	First Issue – Issued for comment				
			Prepared by	Checked by	Approved by		
		Name	Various	Farzad Fahimi	Henry Jeens		
		Signature					
Issue 1	May 13,	Filename	Functional Servicing Report				
	2020	Description	First issue – For planning submission				
			Prepared by	Checked by	Approved by		
		Name	Colleen Gammie & Claire Hickey	Farzad Fahimi	Henry Jeens		
		Signature	Collen John Marin				
Issue 2	February	Filename	Functional Servicing Report				
100000	26 th , 2021	Description	Second issue – for comment				
			Prepared by	Checked by	Approved by		
		Name	Colleen Gammie, Claire Hickey, Poyani Sheth	Farzad Fahimi	Henry Jeens		
		Signature	Colleen Jonne Chickey Doyani	F. FAHIMI 100231112 Feb 26/21 Feb 26/21	Hang Jan		

| Issue 2 | February 26th, 2021 | Arup Canada Inc.

\GLOBALARUP.COMAMERICASUOBS\TOR\270000/277167-00\3 DESIGN\3-02 REPORTS AND NARRATIVES\2020-09-17 FSR V2 FOR ZBA\2150 LAKE SHORE_FUNCTIONAL SERVICING REPORT_2021-02-26.DOCX

Filename			
Description			
	Prepared by	Checked by	Approved by
Name			
Signature			
	Issue Docu	ment verification with document	\checkmark

[|] Issue 2 | February 26th, 2021 | Arup Canada Inc.

Executive Summary

This Functional Servicing Report discusses sanitary and water servicing requirements for the redevelopment of the 27.7 acre / 11.2 hectare site located on the northeast corner of Park Lawn Road and Lake Shore Boulevard West, municipally known as 2150-2194 Lake Shore Boulevard West and 23 Park Lawn Road ("the site" or "2150 Lake Shore").

The proposed development includes several mixed-use buildings with a total GFA of 701,809m², a large park, municipal and private streets, and a new GO station, all of which require water, sanitary, and storm servicing. The development is a mix of uses as follows:

Use Type	Gross Floor Area (m2)
Residential	584,932
Institutional / Other	17,071
Commercial / Retail	36,364
Employment	63,444
Total	701,809

For the purpose of analyzing sanitary and water requirements, population equivalencies for commercial/retail areas (1.1ca/100m²) and employment areas (3.3ca/100m²) were used. Additionally, there are two potential schools on site with an estimated number of pupils of 1,100 where the population in the calculations were reflected based on a the per hectare equivalent outlined in the Design Criteria for Sewers and Watermains (resultant equivalent population of 228).

This report examines existing and proposed conditions for the water and sanitary services. Stormwater management is discussed under a separate Stormwater Management Report.

Water Network Requirements

Using a baseline consumption value of 190 Litres/capita/day (L/ca/d) and peaking factors set out by the City of Toronto, the overall demand value was calculated to be 34.25 L/s during Average Day Demand, 76.60 L/s during Peak Hour Demand, and 43.14 L/s during Maximum Day Demand.

[|] Issue 2 | February 26th, 2021 | Arup Canada Inc.

	GFA	Population	Domestic Den	nand	Peaking V	values (L/s)	
Building	(m ²)	ca	L/day	L/s	Min Hr	Peak Hr	Max Day
Development	701,809	17,302	3,192,179	36.97	31.05	83.29	46.65
Block A	141,511	3,314	628,050	7.29	6.12	16.04	9.14
Block B	112,812	2,531	480,816	5.56	4.67	13.66	7.20
Block C	50,427	1,129	214,580	2.48	2.09	6.10	3.21
Block D	282,968	7,820	1,392,261	16.11	13.54	34.08	19.99
Block E	58,028	1,241	235,725	2.73	2.29	6.53	3.50
Block F	56,063	1,267	240,747	2.79	2.34	6.88	3.61

Required fire flow to each building was calculated using the Fire Underwriter's Survey (FUS). The largest calculated fire flow was calculated to be 220 L/s for a two-hour fire event.

The existing and proposed network were modelled in EPANET2 using hydrant test data from September 2020 and consumption data from the existing network. Modelled scenarios generally resulted in high pressures during average day demand, acceptable pressures during peak hour demand, and sufficient flow within the system to provide 220 L/s of fire flow to building A1 for a two-hour fire event while maintaining residual system pressures of 140 kPa.

The suggested size of watermain to service the site is 300mm PVC based on the preliminary model results.

Sanitary Network Requirements

Local servicing sewers were designed using baseline peak flow rates from the criteria of 450 L/ca/day (and considering Harmon peaking factor) for residential and 180,000 L/Ha/day for non-residential. The following peak flow rates for each block were calculated:

Block	Residential		Commercial			Total
	Population	Peak flow (l/sec)	GFA (m ²)	Eq. Population	Peak flow (l/sec)	Peak Flow (l/sec)
А	2,551	49.6	32,053	764	6.7	56.3
В	2,444	49.2	7,937	87	1.7	50.9
С	1,089	21.9	3,606	40	0.8	22.7
D	5,158	101.2	61,295	1,790	12.8	113.9
Е	1,140	22.2	9,123	100	1.9	24.1
F	1,236	24.0	2,863	31	0.6	24.6
Total	13,617	268.2	116,877	2,813	24.3	292.5

An allowance of 0.26 L/second/ha should be considered for groundwater and infiltration into the pipes and maintenance holes.

The existing and proposed sanitary network were modelled in InfoWorks ICM using flow rates outlined in the City of Toronto sanitary network design criteria and flow monitoring data. For downstream sewer capacity analysis, modeling parameters in the baseline scenario have been adjusted based on the available

[|] Issue 2 | February 26th, 2021 | Arup Canada Inc.

Block	Asset ID	Resider	ntial	(Commercial		Total
		Population	Peak	GFA	Equivalent	Peak	Peak
			Flow		Population	Flow	Flow
			(l/sec)			(l/sec)	(l/sec)
A1 A2	CB3137606351	1,952	15.7	8,972	106	0.3	16.0
A3 A4	MH3148306388	599	5.0	23,081	658	1.8	6.8
B1	SL200958	736	5.9	3,526	39	0.1	6.0
B2	MH3130906328	1,708	13.8	4,411	49	0.1	13.9
С	SL200947	1,089	8.8	3,606	40	0.1	8.9
D1	MH3003428	1,170	10.7	25,440	801	2.2	12.9
D2	MH3148306388	1,071	8.9	24,885	738	2.1	11.0
D3	MH3148306388	2,917	24.3	10,970	252	0.7	25.0
Е	MH3148306388	1,140	9.5	9,123	100	0.3	9.8
F	MH3148306388	1,236	10.3	2,863	31	0.1	10.4
Total		13,618	112.9	116,877	2,814	7.8	120.7

monitoring data. The contributing peak flow rates from the proposed development for the downstream flow analysis are summarised below.

Considering the proposed network flow and baseline flow rates, the model output under Dry Weather Flow (DWF) condition indicates that some additional capacity may be required along Lake Shore Boulevard West. The preliminary DWF results from the current model indicates that upgrades may be required along Lake Shore Boulevard West to increase the capacity of the system and convey flows under post development conditions. Downstream sewer capacity and upgrade plan also needs to be analysed under WWF condition (once data is available).

Civica have been commission to carry out flow monitoring at 5 locations in order to calibrate the existing flow conditions. Some data available to update the DWF model and baseline scenario has been updated to reflect current monitoring data. However, a longer duration and additional information is required to fully calibrate the model. It should be noted that, there has not been any significant storm event data collected in this time period and so adequate WWF data is not yet available.

Boundary conditions, geometry of the network also needs to be confirmed since the current model has been developed based on the available data and is not fully calibrated.

Finally, alignment with the TGS v3 water reductions will be also evaluated during further analysis of the sanitary network. It is recommended that the discharge per capita be amended to align with the commitment to reduce water use.

| Issue 2 | February 26th, 2021 | Arup Canada Inc.

Stormwater Management Requirements

A Stormwater Management Report has been prepared under a separate cover for this Application which should be read in conjunction with the following section.

Stormwater management for the study area is achieved by a combination of major and minor system. Based on the TWAG data received from the City of Toronto, the surface runoff from the south west side of the site, drains into an existing 300mm and a 525mm diameter concrete pipe, which is located at the south end, along Lake Shore Boulevard West. These pipes drain eventually into the Mimico Creek outfall.

The current storm sewer network will be checked and updated using field data to make sure it is reflecting the current conditions. The current hydraulic capacity of these pipes is unknown, the design team conducting existing network analysis to understand if any mitigation measures are required to support proposed developments.

The stormwater management strategy aims to meet WWFMG criteria for water balance and water quality by retaining 25 mm of rainfall onsite and control the run-off from the development blocks to 2-year pre-development allowable release rates.

Overland flow from the retention features will be drained to minor system which will eventually join Lake Shore and Park Lawn Road sewer system, before draining into the Mimico Creek through the existing outfall.

The surface runoff exceeding 2-year pre-development allowable release rates where it is practical will flow through an approved existing overland flow route to the outfall. To address conveyance requirements of the system, the capacity of the existing minor and major systems should be evaluated, and any required upgrades for the existing network and the outfall needs to be considered for this design approach.

The project is targeting to meet TGS Tier 3 requirements for Water Balance, where at minimum, the first 25mm generated runoff from every storm event must be retained from all site surfaces through infiltration, evapotranspiration, water harvesting and water reuse. Arup proposed to meet the water balance requirements with the use of green roofs, rainwater harvesting tanks at block level and soil cells within the public right of way.

The collection of total runoff from the development plots is managed by controlling the flows to the allowable 2-year pre-development rate. The public and private streets within the project site are identified as collector road and the relief road is categorised as an arterial road. Dual drainage system has been considered to collect and convey stormwater flows for these roads. The minor system of the proposed roads is to design to capture and convey 5 and 10- year peak flow rates. For runoff generated by storm events greater than this, flow will be limited via inlet control devices and allowing the excess runoff to be conveyed to the outfall

| Issue 2 | February 26th, 2021 | Arup Canada Inc.

following an approved overland flow route. During severe storm events, the street would act as an open channel and will be designed accordingly.

WWFM guidelines in line with MOE SWM Planning and Design Manual, requires long term 80% removal of TSS on an average annual loading basis from all runoff leaving the proposed development site based on the post-development level of imperviousness. Retaining 25mm of rainfall on site to meet water balance target, will satisfy not only water quality target of 80% TSS removal from 90% average annual rainfall but also erosion and sediment control requirements for the site.

The proposed network, including the connection to the existing network on Park Lawn and Lake Shore, is shown in Appendix C of the Stormwater Management Report.

Groundwater

This report provides a summary of the groundwater condition and groundwater discharge to the municipal sewers during construction. Further information about groundwater condition for the existing site can be found in the Hydrological Review as submitted on 5th May 2020, attached as Appendix I to this report.

[|] Issue 2 | February 26th, 2021 | Arup Canada Inc.

Table of Contents

		P	age
Execut	ive Summ	ary	iii
1	Introduc	ction	1
	1.1	Project Description	1
	1.2	Existing Site Conditions	4
	1.3	Proposed Development	4
	1.4	Phasing Overview	6
	1.5	Scope of Servicing Report	6
2	Water S	upply and Distribution	8
	2.1	Design Criteria	8
	2.2	Existing Water Supply System	10
	2.3	Proposed Water Network	11
	2.4	Network Modelling	13
	2.5	Considerations for Water Demand Reductions & Toronto Green Standard	17
	2.6	Watermain Network & Development Phasing	20
	2.7	Upgrades to Existing System	20
3	Sanitary	v Drainage System	21
	3.1	Introduction	21
	3.2	Sanitary Design Criteria	21
	3.3	Existing Sanitary Drainage Network	23
	3.4	Proposed Sanitary Network	27
	3.5	Demand Reduction and TGS v3 Tier 3 Water Commitments	30
	3.6	Hydraulic Modelling	31
	3.7	Potential Network Upgrades	34
	3.8	Next Steps	36
4	Stormwa	ater System	37
	4.1	Introduction	37
	4.2	Design Criteria and Assumptions	37
	4.3	Existing Conditions	38
	4.4	Existing Storm Sewer System	40
	4.5	Proposed Stormwater Management Strategy	41
	4.6	Potential Network Upgrades	45
	4.7	Summary and Next Steps	46

5	Site Grading	46
6	Groundwater	47

Tables

Table 1 - Land Use Mix5
Table 2 - Population Equivalencies (City of Toronto Design Criteria for Sewers and Watermains, January 2021)8
Table 3 - Per Capita Water Demand (City of Toronto Design Criteria for Sewers and Watermains, January 2021)8
Table 4 - Peaking Factors (City of Toronto Design Criteria for Sewers and Watermains, January 2021, Table 30)9
Table 5 - Summary of Domestic Water Demands12
Table 6 - Summary of Fire Flow Requirements12
Table 7 - Water Model Scenarios15
Table 8 - Summary of Water Model Results 16
Table 9 - Toronto Green Standard Tiers for Water Demand Reduction17
Table 10 - LEED Defined Baselines for Fixture Flow Rates.18
Table 11 - Population Equivalents Based on Type of Housing, (City of Toronto Design Criteria for Sewers and Watermains, Jan 2021)21
Table 12 - Existing Network Per Capita Flow, (City of Toronto Design Criteria for Sewers and Watermains, Jan 2021) 21
Table 13 - Proposed Sewer Per Capita Flow, (City of Toronto Design Criteria for Sewers and Watermains, Jan 2021)22
Table 14 - Flow monitoring locations26
Table 15 - Proposed Peak Flow Rates29
Table 15 - Proposed Peak Flow Rates29Table 16 - Existing Downstream Sewer Flow Rates29
Table 15 - Proposed Peak Flow Rates29Table 16 - Existing Downstream Sewer Flow Rates29Table 17 - Proposed Reduced Sewer Per Capita Flow31
Table 15 - Proposed Peak Flow Rates29Table 16 - Existing Downstream Sewer Flow Rates29Table 17 - Proposed Reduced Sewer Per Capita Flow31Table 18 - Stormwater Management Design Criteria for Project Site37
Table 15 - Proposed Peak Flow Rates29Table 16 - Existing Downstream Sewer Flow Rates29Table 17 - Proposed Reduced Sewer Per Capita Flow31Table 18 - Stormwater Management Design Criteria for Project Site37Table 19 - Baseline Conditions of Project Site42
Table 15 - Proposed Peak Flow Rates29Table 16 - Existing Downstream Sewer Flow Rates29Table 17 - Proposed Reduced Sewer Per Capita Flow31Table 18 - Stormwater Management Design Criteria for Project Site37Table 19 - Baseline Conditions of Project Site42Table 20 - Proposed Conditions of Project Site42
Table 15 - Proposed Peak Flow Rates29Table 16 - Existing Downstream Sewer Flow Rates29Table 17 - Proposed Reduced Sewer Per Capita Flow31Table 18 - Stormwater Management Design Criteria for Project Site37Table 19 - Baseline Conditions of Project Site42Table 20 - Proposed Conditions of Project Site42Table 21 - Breakdown of Areas in Proposed Condition42
Table 15 - Proposed Peak Flow Rates29Table 16 - Existing Downstream Sewer Flow Rates29Table 17 - Proposed Reduced Sewer Per Capita Flow31Table 18 - Stormwater Management Design Criteria for Project Site37Table 19 - Baseline Conditions of Project Site42Table 20 - Proposed Conditions of Project Site42Table 21 - Breakdown of Areas in Proposed Condition42Table 22 - Water Balance Volume Calculation43

Table 24 - Potential Upgrades to Existing Storm Sewer Network46

| Issue 2 | February 26th, 2021 | Arup Canada Inc.

Figures

Figure 1 - Site Location 1	
Figure 2 - Proposed Development Layout 5	
Figure 3 - Proposed Development Phasing 6	
Figure 4 - Hydrant Test Locations and Indicati	ve Site Boundary 11
Figure 5 - Existing water network surrounding pumps at hydrant locations 13	development site with indicative 3
Figure 6 - Proposed and existing water network locations 14	k with indicative pumps at hydrant
Figure 7 - Calibration Curve at Hydrant 1 15	5
Figure 8 - Average Indoor Water Reductions 19	9
Figure 9 - Known existing sanitary water netwo	ork (TWAG)25
Figure 10 - Location Plan of Existing Inflows	26
Figure 11 - Location plan of flow monitoring s	stations 27
Figure 12 - Existing asset information from the Queensway Pumping Station and Toronto Water Digital Mapping C	e Gardiner Expressway to Humber Bay Shores WWTP (from Group) 36
Figure 13 - Existing Overland Flow Routes (Ba 39	ackground source: Google Maps)
Figure 14 - Known Existing Storm Sewer Netw	work (TWAG data) 40

Appendices

Appendix A

Land Use, Population & Massing

Appendix B

Drainage, Utility and Grading Drawings

Appendix C

Existing & Proposed Hydrant Tests

Appendix D Watermain Demand Calculations

Appendix E Water Modelling Output

Appendix F Sanitary Flow Calculations

[|] Issue 2 | February 26th, 2021 | Arup Canada Inc.

Appendix G Sanitary Modelling Output

Appendix H Flow Monitoring Report

Appendix I Hydrological Review

1 Introduction

1.1 Project Description

In October 2019, First Capital filed an Official Plan Amendment (OPA) application on behalf of FCR (Park Lawn) LP and CPPIB Park Lawn Canada Inc (the Owners) that establishes a framework for a transit-oriented mixed-use master plan redevelopment of a 27.7 acre/11 hectare site on the northeast corner of Park Lawn Road and Lake Shore Boulevard West, municipally known as 2150-2194 Lake Shore Boulevard West and 23 Park Lawn Road ("the site" or "2150 Lake Shore"), as shown in Figure 1.



Figure 1 - Site Location

1.1.1 The Initial Plan

The initial Master Plan Proposal introduced a new proposed Park Lawn GO station integrated with Toronto Transit Commission (TTC) streetcar and bus stations. A network of fine-grained internal streets was proposed and connected the site to the surrounding network, while a new 'relief road' was proposed along the northern edge of the site to function as an alternative access to the Gardiner Expressway and a bypass route for through traffic on Park Lawn Road and Lake Shore Boulevard West, providing traffic relief to the community.

A diverse open space system was proposed across the site, including a new 0.5hectare public park, a covered Galleria, three urban squares, and a series of largos (enlarged sidewalks), lanes and pedestrian mews woven into a rich public realm

| Issue 2 | February 26th, 2021 | Arup Canada Inc. %LOBALARUP COMMMERICAS/OBST/078/27000/277167-003 DESIGN3-02 REPORTS AND NARRATIVES/2020-09-17 FSR V2 FOR ZBA/2150 LAKE SHORE_FUNCTIONAL SERVICING REPORT 2021-02-28 DOCX network. The existing water tower on the site was proposed to be retained as a historic landmark.

A diverse mix of uses were proposed including significant employment uses comprising office-type, retail, entertainment, and service uses. 1.4 hectares of General Employment Areas were proposed along the Gardiner Expressway on the north edge of the site. Significant residential uses were also proposed with approximately 7,500 new units, including larger 2- and 3- bedroom family-sized units, as well as affordable housing units. The proposal featured a distinct assembly of built form typologies including low, mid and high-rise buildings. Fifteen towers were proposed, ranging in height between 22 and 71 storeys.

1.1.2 The May 2020 Master Plan Proposal

The May 2020 Master Plan proposal maintained the fundamental vision and features of the initial 2019 Master Plan proposal, including the provision of an integrated GO/TTC transit hub, a new relief road and a fine-grained internal street network, a covered galleria lined with retail and amenities, significant employment, diversity of housing options, and unique architecture featuring a blend of built form and uses. The height of proposed towers ranged from 16 to 70 storeys. The May 2020 proposal featured the following key changes:

- Provision of an enlarged park of approximately 1 hectare
- Accommodation of two potential elementary schools in response to the Toronto District School Board and Toronto Catholic District School Board's interest in co-locating schools within the Master Plan site
- Increased provision of employment GFA by approximately 33%, and locating the General Employment Areas around the GO station and in the central Galleria block, creating a cluster of office-type uses proximate to regional transit, public park, and retail and amenities in the Galleria
- Refinements to the built form to reinforce a pedestrian-scaled street wall along Park Lawn Road and Lake Shore Boulevard West through measures such as shifting towers behind mid-rise buildings and introducing setbacks
- Conversion of previously proposed street into a pedestrian plaza that extends Station Square to Park Lawn Road, creating a safe and convenient pedestrian connection between the proposed TTC bus activity along Park Lawn Road and the GO station

1.1.3 The Current Master Plan Proposal (February 2021)

The current Master Plan proposal has further evolved as a result of the continued effort to align with key feedback from various City departments and commenting agencies, as well as with policy directions emerging out of the City's draft

[|] Issue 2 | February 26th, 2021 | Arup Canada Inc.

^{\\}GLOBALARUP.COM/AMERICASUOBS\TOR\270000/277167-00\3 DESIGN\3-02 REPORTS AND NARRATIVES\2020-09-17 FSR V2 FOR ZBA\2150 LAKE SHORE_FUNCTIONAL SERVICING REPORT 2021-02-26 DOCX

Christie's Secondary Plan. The current proposal maintains the vision and key features of the May 2020 Master Plan proposal, incorporating the following additional revisions:

- **Boulevard Square Park:** In addition to the 1- hectare Community Park, the current proposal adds the 2,500 m2 Boulevard Square as a public park. This addition brings the proposed total on-site parkland provision to 1.25 hectares, nearly 2.5 times the size in the initial Master Plan proposal. As Boulevard Square was previously proposed as a privately-owned publicly-accessible space (POPS) with an underground parking structure below, this change required a reconfiguration and redistribution of parking areas to ensure that Boulevard Square Park is now fully unencumbered. The overall open space system continues to make up 42.6% of the net site area.
- New Community Uses: Discussions on community benefits with City staff have advanced since the May 2020 proposal. The current proposal now includes two daycares, a community recreation centre, a public library, and a not-for-profit community agency space, all to be delivered on site in contributing to the Master Plan vision of creating a complete community. These facilities are intended to be secured through a Section 37 agreement with the City, subject to review and finalization. These new facilities are proposed in addition to the space allocated for two potential schools within the site. It is noted that the actual realization of these schools rely on a number of factors including approval and funding by the Ministry of Education, to be secured by the two School Boards.
- A Sunnier Community Park: Access to sunlight in the proposed Community Park has been improved by shifting height and density away from the south and east of the park. With the exception of shadows cast by the existing context surrounding the site, the May 2020 proposal achieved no new net shadow on 70% of the park for 5 continuous hours during spring and autumn equinoxes. With the redistribution of height and density, the current Master Plan exceeds the more rigorous sunlight metric in the draft Christie's Secondary Plan to create no new shadows on 85% of the park or more for 5 continuous hours, achieving 6 continuous hours between 9:18 and 15:18.
- Enhanced Street Wall along Park Lawn, Lake Shore, and the Loop Road: A number of built form refinements have been made in reinforcing pedestrian-scaled street walls along Park Lawn Road, Lake Shore Boulevard West, and the loop road. This involved reducing street wall heights to be no taller than the width of the right-of-way on these streets, and stepping back upper floors of some mid-rise and podium buildings.
- **Retention of the Water Tower in Station Square:** As a response to City Staff comments, the historic water tower is now proposed to be located in Station Square, from its previous location in the Community Park. At this location, the water tower will have visual prominence as it will remain

[|] Issue 2 | February 26th, 2021 | Arup Canada Inc.

NGLOBALARUP COM/AMERICASUOBS/TOR/270000/277167-00/3 DESIGN/3-02 REPORTS AND NARRATIVES/2020-09-17 FSR V2 FOR ZBA/2150 LAKE SHORE_FUNCTIONAL SERVICING REPORT_2021-02-26.DOCX

visible from the Gardiner Expressway, and also visible from a number of other key locations including Park Lawn Road, the loop road, and the proposed Community Park.

- **Overall Redistribution of Height and Density:** The revisions noted • above; enhanced sunlight in the Community Park, new community facilities, and reinforcing the pedestrian scale; have all resulted in overall shifts in heights and distribution of density across the site. This has generally resulted in taller tower heights to the north of the Community Park and along Park Lawn Road, and lower tower heights to the immediate south and east of the Park. As a result, tower heights now range between 28 and 70 storeys, maintaining the 70 storey height peak at the proposed GO station. These revisions have also resulted in a modest increase in the overall density of the project. This includes non-residential density associated with the introduction of the proposed library, community recreation centre, two daycares, and community agency space, along with a 4.9% increase in residential density above the May 2020 Proposal associated with the costs of delivering the comprehensive package of new community benefits identified by the City.
- A Wider Loop Road: The central loop road has been widened from a 23metre right-of-way in the May 2020 submission to a 26-metre right-of-way in discussion with City staff. The portion of the street along the proposed Community Park has also been widened from 20 metres to 22 metres. Taking a complete streets approach, the widened right-of-way accommodates wider pedestrian zones, vehicle lanes, planting zones, a bidirectional multi-use trail, and TTC streetcar tracks, balancing the needs of all users.

1.2 Existing Site Conditions

The site is historically known as the Mr. Christie Cookie Factory, which was closed in 2013 and demolished in 2017. It is currently empty land with the exception of a Bank of Montreal building in the southwest corner (currently operational).

1.3 Proposed Development

The proposed site contains several mix-use buildings (residential, employment, institutional and retail), two potential schools, several open spaces, a number of potential community facilities, a new train station on the existing Lake Shore GO line, a TTC streetcar loop, and a series of public and private roadways, as shown in Figure 2 - Proposed Development Layout.



Figure 2 - Proposed Development Layout The building uses are described in Table 1:

Use Type	Gross Floor Area (m2)
Residential	584,932
Institutional / Other	17,071
Commercial / Retail	36,364
Employment	63,444
Total	701,809

Table 1 - Land Use Mix

Further granularity is provided in Appendix A.

1.4 Phasing Overview

The development is planned to be constructed in 6 phases, as shown below in Figure 3.



Figure 3 - Proposed Development Phasing

Phasing considerations for water and sewer infrastructure are discussed within their respective sections.

1.5 Scope of Servicing Report

Arup has been retained by the Owner to prepare a Functional Servicing Report, Stormwater Management Report, and civil/utility drawings in support of the combined Zoning By-Law and Draft Plan of Subdivision Application. The scope of the Functional Servicing Report, in conjunction with the Stormwater Management Report, examines the existing boundary servicing conditions (potable water, storm and sanitary), identifies requirements to service the proposed development, and analyses impacts to the surrounding existing infrastructure.

This report has been prepared in support of the Application, to satisfy the City of Toronto application requirements, and to provide analysis of the required municipal servicing to the site. Information forming the basis of this report include:

- Record Drawings from City of Toronto;
- Toronto Water Asset Group GIS files from Toronto Water received on 20/01/2020;
- Site Survey from EllisDon on February 24th, 2020; and
- Design information from the consultant/client team.

2 Water Supply and Distribution

The site will be serviced from existing water networks on Park Lawn Road and Lake Shore Boulevard West. To understand existing conditions and the impacts of proposed water demands, a model was created using EPANET. The calibration of the existing model, calculation of proposed demands, and results of the additional demands on the existing system are described below.

2.1 Design Criteria

The water network will be assessed using criteria set out in the Design Criteria for Sewers and Watermains (City of Toronto, January 2021), and as summarized below:

2.1.1 Demand Values

To understand demand, population equivalencies were used to calculate the equivalent population for commercial and office buildings.

Table 2 - Population Equivalencies (City of Toronto Design Criteria for Sewers and Watermains, January 2021)

Sector	Population Equivalent
Commercial/retail	Based on 1.1 persons/ 100m ²
Office Building	Based on 3.3 persons/ 100m ²

Per capita demand is outlined in City of Toronto Design Criteria for Sewers and Watermains as follows:

Table 3 - Per Capita Water Demand (City of Toronto Design Criteria for Sewers and Watermains, January 2021)

Sector	Per capita Demand
Residential, Commercial, Office	190 litres/capita/day
School Buildings	70-140 litres/student/day

These values were used to calculate the Average Day Demand (ADD) along with land use mixes described in Table 1.

2.1.2 Peaking Factors

Peaking factors will be applied to the ADD to obtain the Minimum Hour Demand (MHD), Peak Hour Demand (PHD), and Maximum Day Demand (MDD) as follows:

Table 4 - Peaking Factors (City of Toronto Design Criteria for Sewers and Watermains, January 2021, Table 30)

Land Use	MHD	PHD	MDD
Residential >150,000 population range*	0.80	2.25	1.50
Commercial/Retail	0.84	1.20	1.10
Industrial/Institutional	0.84	1.90	1.10
Apartments	0.84	2.50	1.30

*for residential demand calculations, the peaking factors for apartments were used as the population of the site is less than 150,000.

2.1.3 Fire Protection

The required fire flow will be calculated using the Fire Underwriters Survey (FUS). Assumptions for reduction/surcharge values are described in Appendix D.

2.1.4 Target Design Criteria

The following criteria must be achieved by new watermain design in the City of Toronto:

- Supply the greater of MDD + Fire Flow (FF) or PHD
- Maximum velocity under ADD < 2m/s
- Maximum velocity under FF < 3m/s
- Maximum Head Loss under PHD is 2-5m/1000m
- ADD pressure range of 350-550 kPa
- MHD and PHD pressure range of 275-700 kPa
- Minimum pressure under non-fire demand scenario > 275 kPa
- Minimum residual pressure during MDD + FF > 140 kPa

| Issue 2 | February 26th, 2021 | Arup Canada Inc.

- Maximum static pressure < 690 kPa
- Test pressure = 1000 kPa

2.2 Existing Water Supply System

Existing water network data for the site and surrounding area was provided by the City of Toronto. The site is currently serviced as follows:

- 300mm D.I. watermain on the east side of Park Lawn Road
- A 300mm PVC watermain on Marine Parade Drive
- A 300mm PVC watermain on The Marginal Boulevard
- A 300mm PVC watermain on the south side of Lake Shore Boulevard West (turns north to cross under Gardiner Expressway and rail corridor and connect with Queensway)
- 300mm DC and 200mm CI and 150mm DI watermains on The Queensway

2.2.1 Existing Site Resiliency

The site is bound by the Humber River to the east, Mimico Creek to the west, and by the Gardiner/GO Rail Corridor to the north – all of which are considered barriers for normal infrastructure installation. Existing water infrastructure currently services the site from all three directions, but understanding the requirements of the proposed network are important to ensure that additional infrastructure across these barriers is not required.

2.2.2 Existing Network Characteristics

The site exists within Pressure District 2, near the boundary to PD1W. In 2018, City of Toronto performed the Water Distribution Study and concluded that PD2 and PD1W were able to accommodate future growth based on domestic demand (Downtown Water Strategy, April 2018).

Four hydrant tests were completed on September 22nd between 9:30AM and 11:00AM in proximity to the site. Hydrant locations are shown in Figure 4 and detailed results are included in Appendix C. The observed static pressure at the hydrants ranged from 427 kpa to 689 kpa.

[|] Issue 2 | February 26th, 2021 | Arup Canada Inc.

NGLOBALARUP.COM/AMERICAS/JOBS/ITOR/270000/277167-00/3 DESIGN/3-02 REPORTS AND NARRATIVES/2020-09-17 FSR V2 FOR ZBA/2150 LAKE SHORE_FUNCTIONAL SERVICING REPORT_2021-02-26.DDCX



Figure 4 - Hydrant Test Locations and Indicative Site Boundary

2.3 **Proposed Water Network**

2.3.1 **Proposed Water Network Layout**

The proposed network, including connections to the existing network on Park Lawn and Lake Shore, is shown in Appendix B. It includes a 300mm PVC watermain along Street B (the loop road) that connects to Park Lawn Road and Lake Shore Boulevard West at four locations.

Each building has a minimum of one siamese domestic/fire connection as per City of Toronto standard detail T-1105302-1 and T-1104.02-3. Buildings over 81m will have an additional fire connection. Each building will be sprinklered and will have meters, backflow prevention, and fire pumps within a mechanical room.

2.3.2 Hydrant Coverage

Hydrants are included along Street B approximately 60m apart as per City of Toronto Design Criteria for Sewers and Watermains, Chapter 4, Table 33: Fire hydrant spacing and location. Final hydrant placements will ensure unobstructed access from hydrant to each building entrance is less than 40m.

Existing hydrants are present on both the east and west side of Park Lawn Road and along the south side of Lake Shore Boulevard West.

2.3.3 Domestic & Fire Demand Calculations

Domestic water demands and associated peaking values were calculated using populations, building uses, and City of Toronto requirements as described in

Section 1 and 2 of this report. Fire flow requirements for each building were calculated using the Fire Underwriter's Survey.

In summary, the development has a domestic Average Day Demand of 36.97 L/s. Building A1 required the highest calculated fire flow of 3434 GPM or 220 L/s. Table 5 and Table 6 provide a summary of the calculations. See Appendix D for detailed calculations.

	GFA	Population	Domestic D	emand	Pea	king Value	es (L/s)
Building	(m2)	ca	L/day	L/s	Min Hr	Peak Hr	Max Day
Development	701,809	17,302	3,192,179	36.97	31.05	83.29	46.65
Block A	141,511	3,314	628,050	7.29	6.12	16.04	9.14
A1 (Subtotal)	62,679	1,385	263,065	3.04	2.56	7.38	3.92
A2 (Subtotal)	30,084	673	127,932	1.48	1.24	3.64	1.91
A3 (Subtotal)	19,932	623	118,433	1.37	1.15	1.64	1.51
A4 (Subtotal)	28,815	633	118,619	1.39	1.17	3.38	1.80
Block B	112,812	2,531	480,816	5.56	4.67	13.66	7.20
B1 (Subtotal)	35,097	775	147,172	1.70	1.43	4.15	2.20
B2 (Subtotal)	77,715	1,756	333,644	3.86	3.24	9.52	5.00
Block C	50,427	1,129	214,580	2.48	2.09	6.10	3.21
Block D	282,968	7,820	1,392,261	16.11	13.54	34.08	19.99
D1 (Subtotal)	75,751	1,971	374,463	4.33	3.64	8.55	5.28
D2 (Subtotal)	70,775	1,809	343,636	3.98	3.34	7.84	4.85
D3 (Subtotal)	136,442	4,040	674,161	7.80	6.55	17.70	9.87
Block E	58,028	1,241	235,725	2.73	2.29	6.53	3.50
Block F	56,063	1,267	240,747	2.79	2.34	6.88	3.61

Table 5 - Summary of Domestic Water Demands

 Table 6 - Summary of Fire Flow Requirements

Building	FF (L/min)	FF (L/s)	US GPM
A1	13000	220	3434
A1 Market	7000	120	1849
A2	8000	127	2113
A3	9000	147	2378
A4	9000	150	2378
B1	8000	138	2113
B2	10000	163	2642

[|] Issue 2 | February 26th, 2021 | Arup Canada Inc.

\\GLOBALARUP.COM\AMERICAS\JOBS\TOR\270000277167-003 DESIGNI3-02 REPORTS AND NARRATIVES\2020-09-17 FSR V2 FOR ZBA\2150 LAKE SHORE_FUNCTIONAL SERVICING REPORT_2021-02-26.DOCX

Building	FF (L/min)	FF (L/s)	US GPM
C1	9000	153	2378
D1	8000	134	2113
D2	8000	134	2113
D3	10000	161	2642
E1	10000	163	2642
F1	6000	92	1585

2.4 Network Modelling

The existing system was modelled in PCSWM (using EPANET add-on). Elevations were assigned at all nodes based on existing TWAG information and the proposed subdivision's drawings. The model layout with node IDs is included in Figure 5 (existing) and Figure 6 (existing and proposed).



Figure 5 - Existing water network surrounding development site with indicative pumps at hydrant locations



Figure 6 - Proposed and existing water network with indicative pumps at hydrant locations

In order to calibrate the model to the results of the fire flow tests, three reservoirs were created at the model boundaries with using data from hydrants 2, 3, and 4. The model was then calibrated using the results of Hydrant 1 (see Figure 7 for calibration curve).



Figure 7 - Calibration Curve at Hydrant 1

While the model has a slightly higher static pressure than the hydrant test, the achievable flow at 140 kpa is lower and therefore conservative. The hydrant tests were assumed to be performed in an Average Day Demand condition, and existing ADD consumption data provided by the City of Toronto was used to run the existing test scenario. It is noted that these hydrant tests were performed during the COVID-19 pandemic and may not accurately reflect conditions in the system on a typical average day.

2.4.1 Model Scenarios

Several scenarios were run in the model to determine the appropriate pipe sizing and network capacity. The proposed sewer within the loop road is 300mm PVC.

Scenario ID	Proposed Network Demand	Existing Network Demand
LS_WM_0*	-	ADD
LS_WM_1	ADD	ADD
LS_WM_2	РН	РН
LS_WM_3	MDD + FF**	MDD

Table 7 - Water Model Scenarios

* Scenario 0 is a calibrated model of the existing system using consumption data from 2017, assumed to represent the ADD.

[|] Issue 2 | February 26th, 2021 | Arup Canada Inc.

^{\\}GLOBALARUP.COM\AMERICASJ\OBS\TOR\270000/277167-00\3 DESIGN\3-02 REPORTS AND NARRATIVES\2020-09-17 FSR V2 FOR ZBA\2150 LAKE SHORE_FUNCTIONAL SERVICING REPORT_2021-02-26.DOCX

**A fire was applied at Building A1 (to both building connections), as this was calculated to be the building requiring the largest fire flow (13,200 L/min).

2.4.2 Preliminary Scenario Results

Full results from the model scenarios are included in Appendix E. The modelling software outputs pressure in metres of head, which is converted from kPa by multiplying by 0.102. Therefore, when reading the model results, the values that are acceptable are as follows:

- Maximum velocity under ADD < 2m/s
- Maximum velocity under FF < 5m/s
- ADD pressure range of 35 m to 56 m
- MHD and PHD pressure range of 28 m to 71 m
- Minimum pressure during non-fire scenarios > 28 m
- Minimum residual pressure during MDD + FF > 14 m
- Maximum static pressure < 70 m

A summary of the preliminary model results is found in Table 8.

Scenario	Minimum Observed Pressure (m)	Maximum Observed Pressure (m)	Within Range? (Y/N)	Adequate Fire Flow? (Y/N)
LS_WM_0	68.35	79.34	N*	N/A
LS_WM_1	64.84	78.57	N*	N/A
LS_WM_2	57.22	71.23	Y	N/A
LS_WM_3	38.76	54.99	Y	Y

*the maximum observed pressure in the ADD scenarios exceeds the stipulated 56m pressure head. This is aligned with the static pressures observed at the hydrant tests (ranging from 427 kpa to 689 kpa or 43 m to 70 m of pressure head).

Pressure conditions experienced in the modelling scenarios are generally higher than the pressure ranges noted within the City design criteria. This may be due to the fact that the hydrant tests were assumed to be done at Average Day Demand, where they may have been carried out during a Maximum Day or Peak Hour condition. This is further heightened by the large number of residents who were

| Issue 2 | February 26th, 2021 | Arup Canada Inc.

IGLOBAL ARUP. COM/AMERICASJOBS/TOR/270000/277167-00/3 DESIGN/3-02 REPORTS AND NARRATIVES/2020-09-17 FSR V2 FOR ZBA/2150 LAKE SHORE_FUNCTIONAL SERVICING REPORT 2021-02-26.DOCX likely at home during the tests instead of in office environments due to the COVID-19 pandemic. It is recommended that additional hydrant testing is completed once the stay at home order is lifted to allow for re-calibration.

However, this area is at a low elevation within the water pressure district and historical hydrant test data has also demonstrated high pressures in the vicinity of the development site. Pressure reducing mechanisms may be considered during detailed design for building connections.

Velocities were observed to be within the desired range. Head losses were not greater than 5 m/1000 m during peak hour demand.

The Peak Hour Demand scenario pressure values fall within the acceptable range of 28 m to 71 m of pressure head. During a fire event applied to building A1, residual pressures remained above 14 m head (140 kPa) in the network while supplying sufficient fire flow. See Appendix E for full results.

2.5 Considerations for Water Demand Reductions & Toronto Green Standard

In line with the development's sustainability strategy, reduction in water use was presented in the Official Plan Amendment application.

All new buildings that require site planning applications must comply with Toronto Green Standard (TGS) which has demand reduction strategies for potable water use. City of Toronto is currently operating at TGS Version 3 (v3) Tier 1, and it is expected that TGS will target the next tier every 3 years, therefore it is likely that at the time of development TGS v3 Tier 3 will be the minimum requirement.

The table below outlines the target demand reductions for potable water for new mid-high rise residential and all non-residential developments.

Tier	Landscape demands		Buildings Demands	
	Strategy	Reduction	Strategy	Reduction
TGSv3 T1	Drought-Tolerant L potable water is use provide drought-tole least 50% of the lan	andscapes: Where d for irrigation, erant plants for dscape site area.	-	-
TGS v3 T2	Efficient Irrigation	60%	Water Efficient Fixtures or non potable water source	40%
TGS v3 T3	-	-	Water Efficient fixtures or non potable water source	50%

Table 9 - 7	Toronto	Green	Standard	Tiers for	Water	Demand	Reduction
-------------	---------	-------	----------	-----------	-------	--------	-----------

[|] Issue 2 | February 26th, 2021 | Arup Canada Inc.

^{\\}GLOBALARUP.COM/AMERICAS\JOBS\TOR\270000/277167-00/3 DESIGN(3-02 REPORTS AND NARRATIVES\2020-09-17 FSR V2 FOR ZBA\2150 LAKE SHORE_FUNCTIONAL SERVICING REPORT_2021-02-26.DOCX

The TGS v3 Water Efficient Fixtures calculations refer to LEED v4 BD+C Water Efficiency prerequisite and credit methodology for Indoor Water Use Reduction. Calculations for each Block at 2150 Lake Shore were completed using the USGBC's LEED v4 Indoor Water Use Reduction Calculator and the fixture flow rates summarized in Table 10 for the LEED defined baseline and Design cases.

The lower-flow design case fixture flow rates summarized in Table 10 are common fixture flow rates available in the Canadian market.

Fixture	LEED Baseline	Design	Unit	Source
Toilet	6.0	3.6	L/flush	https://fulcrum.ecomedes.com/products/uni son-ridge-limited/BT2316E233
Urinal	3.8	0.5	L/flush	https://fulcrum.ecomedes.com/products/co ntrac/4810BSX
Public lavatory faucet	1.9	1.9	L/min	No change
Residential lavatory faucet	8.3	1.9	L/min	https://www.allianceforwaterefficiency.org /resources/topic/faucet-fixtures
Kitchen faucet	8.3	1.9	L/min	https://www.allianceforwaterefficiency.org /resources/topic/faucet-fixtures
Residential kitchen faucet	8.3	1.9	L/min	https://www.allianceforwaterefficiency.org /resources/topic/faucet-fixtures
Showerhead	9.5	8.3	L/min	https://www.epa.gov/watersense/product- search
Residential showerhead	9.5	8.3	L/min	https://www.epa.gov/watersense/product- search

Table 10 - LEED Defined Baselines for Fixture Flow Rates.



Figure 8 - Average Indoor Water Reductions

The average baseline indoor water use, as well as the resulting average design case indoor water use reduction for all blocks is summarized in Figure 8. The low-flow fixtures listed in Table 10 result in approximately 44% indoor water use reduction compared to the LEED baseline, which meets the requirements of TGS v3 Tier 2 Core requirement WQ4.2 Water Efficient Fixtures, the criterion included in the 2150 Lake Shore minimum requirements and inherent design category.

For the 2150 Lake Shore site Tier 3 50% reduction could be achieved using grey or rainwater harvesting systems. Potential strategies for the project have been evaluated not only on their ability to reduce indoor potable water consumed by the project but have also been evaluated based on capital and operational carbon emissions. Due to the site's proximity to stable fresh water supply, a non-potable re-use network is not proposed as it is not considered to the most sustainable water management practice at this time.

In further design development, if TGS Tier 3 becomes mandated for planning, there is potential to reassess the reductions to calculated potable water demand This will be readdressed if or when the Tier 3 become statutory for planning. There's potential this reduction measures will be reassessed or revaluated; even lower flow fixtures and performance of fixture could be developed in the future.

It should be noted that while demand reductions measures are proposed for the site, water requirements discussed within this report for sizing the potable water network represent a "Maximum Demand" scenario, where the City's design criteria for domestic demand values have been used.

This section of the report is for information only and has not been used in any modelling or assessment of the suitability of the existing water network to serve the proposed development. The required demand values in the City's Design Criteria have been used.

2.6 Watermain Network & Development Phasing

Buildings that are part of Phase 1 (D1 and C1) will be serviced from Park Lawn Road. During Phase 2, the Loop Road will be constructed along with the 300mm water main. This phase will also include all of the watermain connections to the development from Lake Shore Boulevard West. The final length of watermain along Private Street D will be included as part of Phase 3 or Phase 5. During the Site Plan Application for each building, an analysis of the water requirements will be undertaken to ensure that each phase of development can be supported by previously installed infrastructure or existing infrastructure. Given that the Loop Road (and the bulk of the watermain that supplies the development and connects to Lake Shore Boulevard West and Park Lawn Road) is installed in Phase 2, issues with supply water to all subsequent phases is not anticipated.

2.7 Upgrades to Existing System

At the time of analysis with the available information on the existing network and the proposed development, upgrades to the existing water network in the area are not anticipated. The hydrant test results indicate a static pressure ranging from 427kpa to 689kpa and the modelled fire flow scenario resulted in residual pressures higher than 140kpa in the surrounding area.

3 Sanitary Drainage System

3.1 Introduction

This section outlines City of Toronto sanitary network design criteria, sanitary flow rates for each of the building blocks within the site and analyse the impact the development will have on the surrounding existing sanitary network to identify where upgrades may be required.

3.2 Sanitary Design Criteria

The sanitary network was assessed using criteria set out in the Design Criteria for Sewers and Watermains (City of Toronto, Jan 2021), and as summarized below:

3.2.1 Population Assumptions

The sanitary analysis will use the occupancy assumptions outlined in the criteria (Table 11). These assumptions differ from the masterplan occupancy assumptions as these are required for the analysis by Toronto Water.

Table 11 - Population Equivalents Based on Type of Housing, (City of Toronto Design Criteria for Sewers and Watermains, Jan 2021)

Apartments or condominium:	Persons per unit
bachelor	1.4
1 bedroom	1.4
2 bedroom	2.1
3 bedroom	3.1
4 bedroom	3.7

3.2.2 Existing Network Per Capita Flow

The sanitary downstream flow analysis used the flow rates for existing buildings and the proposed development as outlined in the criteria (Table 12).

Table 12 - Existing Network Per Capita Flow, (City of Toronto Design Criteria for Sewers and Watermains, Jan 2021)

Sector	Per capita Discharge
Residential	240 litres/capita/day
Commercial/Retail/Institutional (Non-Residential)	250 litres/capita/day

\\GLOBALARUP.COM\AMERICAS\JOBS\TOR\2700001277167-0013 DESIGN\3-02 REPORTS AND NARRATIVES\2020-09-17 FSR V2 FOR ZBA\2150 LAKE SHORE_FUNCTIONAL SERVICING REPORT 2021-02-26 DOCX

[|] Issue 2 | February 26th, 2021 | Arup Canada Inc.

3.2.3 Extraneous Flows

An infiltration allowance of 0.26 litre/second/ha will be applied as per the Criteria for all contributing plot and road areas.

3.2.4 Proposed Sewer Per Capita Flow

For sizing new local sewers the following baseline flow rates were considered:

Table 13 - Proposed Sewer Per Capita Flow, (City of Toronto Design Criteria for Sewers and Watermains, Jan 2021)

Sector	Per capita Discharge
Residential	450 litres/capita/day
Commercial/retail	180,000 litres/Ha/day

3.2.5 Peaking Factor

Commercial peaking factors are included within the average flow. Residential peaking factor was calculated using the Harmon equation considering the contributing upstream population. The peaking factor used at each point is a function of the upstream population.

Harmon equation: $PF=1 + (14/(4+(P/1000)^{1/2}))$ Where P=population

3.2.6 Sanitary Sewer Design

New proposed sewers are designed in accordance with the Criteria to ensure adoptable standards from Toronto Water, key criteria to note are as follows:

- Sewer capacities will be computed by using the Manning formula.
- The minimum allowable size for a sanitary sewer will be 250 mm diameter.
- Generally, sanitary sewers will be designed to flow at a maximum of 80 percent full flow design capacity of the pipe.
- The minimum actual velocity permitted in a sanitary sewer when flowing full will be 0.6 m/second to ensure the flow is self cleansing.
- The maximum velocity permitted in sanitary sewers is 3 m/second.
- Minimum Slope for 250mm pipe is 0.5%, minimum slope of the first leg is 1%.
- The minimum depth of a sanitary sewer will be 2.75 metres measured from the centre line elevation of the road to obvert of the sewer. In some

places this may not be achievable due to the invert of downstream connection. These locations will be discussed with the City.

3.3 Existing Sanitary Drainage Network

Existing utility information has been ascertained using asset information from the Toronto Water Asset Group (TWAG) GIS data and Toronto City Sanitary Drawing MC-4984, last revised in 1994. The asset mapping indicates the presence of sanitary sewers along roads surrounding the site. The system shown in Figure 5 is a gravity system which flows north east where it crosses beneath the Gardiner Expressway and Metrolinx corridor. The gravity system terminates at the Queensway Pumping Station which the pumps into the Humber Bay Wastewater Treatment Plant.

These mains are present in the following locations:

- Park Lawn Road a 375mm PVC sanitary wastewater pipe conveys flows from the north-west of the site, southeast along Park Lawn Road. This sewer appears to originate north of the Gardiner Expressway and collects flow from the developments on the west side of Park Lawn Road and continues onto Marine Parade Drive.
- Park Lawn Road the 375mm pipe increases to a 450mm pipe just before the junction with Marine Parade Drive and Lake Shore Boulevard.
- Lake Shore / Marine Parade MH3121606317 Weir at the junction of Park Lawn, Lake Shore, and Marine Parade Drive, the manhole has a weir that directs flow from Park Lawn to Marine Parade Drive with a 200mm high overflow weir to a 300mm pipe along Lake Shore.



| Issue 2 | February 26th, 2021 | Arup Canada Inc.

- Marine Parade Drive A 600mm (material to be confirmed) pipe along Marine Parade Drive carries flow from Park Lawn Road South and collects flow from various developments along the north side of Marine Parade Drive. The sewer follows the curve of Marine Parade Drive and meets up with Lake Shore, where it continues to flow east. The sewer transitions to a 675mm concrete pipe one maintenance hole upstream of Palace Pier Court.
- Lake Shore Boulevard A 225mm Vitrified Clay (VC) pipe appears to collect flow from the 2150 Lake Shore site and discharges west to a 300mm VC sewer which then flows east along Lake Shore to Palace Pier Court. At this junction, the 300mm VC sewer joins with the 675mm concrete sewer and continues to flow east as a 675mm VC pipe.
- Approximately 60m east of Palace Pier Court, the 675mm VC sewer along Lake Shore Boulevard West collects flow from the development east of Palace Pier court and flows under the Gardiner Expressway and Metrolinx/GO rail corridor to a pumping station that discharges into a sewer on the Queensway, and eventually into the Humber Wastewater Treatment Plant.

The objective of this study is to determine if the current system can support the proposed development, or if upgrades/mitigations may be required.

The asset record does not show any existing sanitary network within the site boundary. It is therefore assumed that there may be a series of private/unadopted mains supplying the bulk of the light industrial area. It should be noted, under the current condition of the site, there is no sanitary flow discharge to the municipal network.


The existing sanitary network to be modelled in this study is shown in Figure 9.

Figure 9 - Known existing sanitary water network (TWAG)

3.3.1 Existing Sanitary Flow Generation

Estimates of sanitary flow generation from the existing plots which discharge to the existing network along Park Lawn Road, Lake Shore Boulevard West and Marine Parade Drive have been prepared in accordance with current City of Toronto standards, and are included in Appendix F.

The existing contributing population was calculated using the 2016 Census population data and the planning applications for developments post 2016.

The sanitary flow generation calculations are based on an estimated existing residential population of 18,980, commercial population equivalent of 192 and extraneous flows from 30 ha.

A location plan of existing plots and the assumed direct connections to the existing network is shown in Figure 10.



Figure 10 - Location Plan of Existing Inflows

3.3.2 Existing Sanitary Flow Monitoring

Civica have been commission to carry out flow monitoring at 5 locations in order to calibrate the existing flow conditions. Data has been collected from October 2020- January 2021 and is ongoing. Table 14 and Figure 11 display the flow monitoring locations, MH IDs and pipe dimeters.

- Some data available to update the DWF model. Baseline scenario has been updated to reflect current monitoring data. However, a longer duration and additional information is required to fully calibrate the model.
- There has not been any significant storm event data collected in this time period and so adequate WWF data is not yet available.

	MH ID	Pipe Diameter
FM1	MH3221506814	375
FM2	MH3003433	250
FM3	MH3209906731	600
FM4	MH3195006587	300
FM5	MH3119506363	600

Table 14 - Flow monitoring locations

[|] Issue 2 | February 26th, 2021 | Arup Canada Inc.



Figure 11 - Location plan of flow monitoring stations

3.3.3 Capacity of the Existing System

A hydraulic model was created using InfoWorks ICM to determine the capacity of the existing system. Model development included creating sub-catchments, existing sanitary sewer network and wastewater peak flow estimates.

The current model has been validated using the available monitoring data under DWF conditions. In addition, WWF Scenario will be analyzed to provide HGL assessment for both pre and post-development conditions. No storm event larger than a 1:2-year storm has been captured in the current record. Wet weather flow monitoring will take place during the late spring, summer and early fall in order to collect data during severe summer storm events.

See Section 3.6 for a summary of modelling results under DWF conditions.

3.4 Proposed Sanitary Network

The Proposed Sanitary Drainage Network shown in drawing LSB-ARP-XX-XX-DR-CD-70000 and LSB-ARP-XX-DR-CD-70001 in (Appendix B) shows the preliminary internal network of 250mm diameter pipes which were designed based on design flows in Table 15. The proposed network has been designed with 6 connections to the existing municipal network which will allow for phased development.

The proposed sanitary connections to the municipal network are as follows:

- Block D1 will have two connections to the existing 375mm diameter sanitary sewer flowing south east along Park Lawn Road. Connections will be made to Manhole MH3003428 and MH3003429.
- Buildings B1-1, B1-2, B1-3, B1-4 and Block C and will discharge to a new 250mm pipe in private street C which will connect to existing 375mm diameter pipe along Park Lawn Road. A new manhole is required in the existing network to allow for the proposed site connection.
- Buildings B2-1, B2-2 and B2-3 will discharge to a new 250mm pipe which will connect to existing manhole MH3130906328 along Lake Shore Boulevard West.
- Blocks A1 and A2 will discharge to a new 250mm diameter pipe flowing anticlockwise within the loop road which will cross Lake Shore Boulevard West and connect to the existing 300mm diameter pipe along Lake Shore Boulevard West. A new manhole is required in the existing network to allow for the proposed site connection, this connection should be installed during the other planned modifications to this intersection.
- Blocks A3 and A4 will discharge to a new 250mm diameter pipe flowing clockwise within the loop road which will connect to the existing 300mm diameter pipe flowing north along Lake Shore Boulevard West. The connection to manhole MH3148606410 will need to be beneath the existing TTC and should be installed during the other planned modifications to this intersection.
- Blocks D3, E and F will discharge to a new 250mm diameter pipe flowing south along private street D and will connect to the new 250mm diameter pipe flowing clockwise within the loop road.

3.4.1 New Local Sewers

The design of new local sanitary sewers will be based on the sewage flows from ultimate buildup of the proposed development and expected from tributary area. All contributing peak flows should be accounted for sizing the sewer pipes.

3.4.2 Design Flows

Preliminary estimates of expected sanitary flow generation for each of the proposed buildings within the 2150 Lake Shore Boulevard Master Plan have been prepared in accordance with current City of Toronto standards, and are included in Appendix F.

Design Peak Flow

For designing new local sewers, the baseline peak flow rates from the criteria of 450 l/ca/day for residential (and considering Harmon peaking factor) and 180,000 Litres/ha/day for non-residential were used. The following peak flow rates for each block were calculated:

Block	Resi	dential		Commercia	1	Total
	Population	Peak flow	GFA	Eq.	Peak flow	Peak Flow
		(l/sec)	(m ²)	Population	(l/sec)	(l/sec)
А	2,551	49.6	32,053	764	6.7	56.3
В	2,444	49.2	7,937	87	1.7	50.9
С	1,089	21.9	3,606	40	0.8	22.7
D	5,158	101.2	61,295	1,790	12.8	113.9
Е	1,140	22.2	9,123	100	1.9	24.1
F	1,236	24.0	2,863	31	0.6	24.6
Total	13,617	268.2	116,877	2,813	24.3	292.5

Table 15 - Proposed Peak Flow Rates

Extraneous Flows

An allowance of 0.26 L/second/ha has been considered for groundwater and infiltration into the pipes and maintenance holes.

3.4.3 Downstream Flow Analysis

The preliminary downstream flow analysis used peak flow rates from the criteria of 240 Litres/capita/day for residential and 250 Litres/capita/day for non-residential. The contributing peak flow rates from the proposed development are summarised below.

Block	Asset ID	Resider	ntial Commercial			Total	
		Population	Peak Flow (l/sec)	GFA	Equivalent Population	Peak Flow (l/sec)	Peak Flow (l/sec)
A1 A2	CB3137606351	1,952	15.7	8,972	106	0.3	16.0
A3 A4	MH3148306388	599	5.0	23,081	658	1.8	6.8
B1	SL200958	736	5.9	3,526	39	0.1	6.0
B2	MH3130906328	1,708	13.8	4,411	49	0.1	13.9

 Table 16 - Existing Downstream Sewer Flow Rates

INGLOBAL.ARUP.COM/AMERICASU/DBS/ITOR/270000/277167-00/3 DESIGN/3-02 REPORTS AND NARRATIVES/2020-09-17 FSR V2 FOR ZBA/2150 LAKE SHORE_FUNCTIONAL SERVICING REPORT_2021-02-26.DOCX

[|] Issue 2 | February 26th, 2021 | Arup Canada Inc.

Block	Asset ID	Residential		Commercial			Total
С	SL200947	1,089	8.8	3,606	40	0.1	8.9
D1	MH3003428	1,170	10.7	25,440	801	2.2	12.9
D2	MH3148306388	1,071	8.9	24,885	738	2.1	11.0
D3	MH3148306388	2,917	24.3	10,970	252	0.7	25.0
Е	MH3148306388	1,140	9.5	9,123	100	0.3	9.8
F	MH3148306388	1,236	10.3	2,863	31	0.1	10.4
Total		13,618	112.9	116,877	2,814	7.8	120.7

3.5 Demand Reduction and TGS v3 Tier 3 Water Commitments

There are two key drivers that lead the design team to believe that the actual average sanitary generation from the proposed development will be significantly less than the baseline design criteria:

- The sanitary criteria requires an average flow of 450 litres/capita/day for sizing of new local sewers which is 2.35 times higher than the potable water demands of 190 litres/capita/day, stated in the same guidelines for multi-unit buildings. The large average flow rate seen in the criteria may be to accommodate for any future increase in density, however considering types of the buildings for proposed development, it is highly unlikely that the density of this site could be increased. The large average flow rate seen in the criteria may also be to accommodate averages between single family dwellings and multi unit dwellings, however, all proposed unit will be multi-unit high-rise dwellings.
- 2. In line with the TGS requirements, building water demand reductions, as described in Section 2.5, will be reduced by an average of 44% to 107 Litres/capita/day, and therefore the sanitary flow generated will also be reduced. Hence, the proposed development inflows could be reduced for the downstream flow analysis.

The baseline flow rates in the Criteria do not well represent the likely site conditions for this development and therefore the following changes to the development flow rates are proposed in Table 17.

| Issue 2 | February 26th, 2021 | Arup Canada Inc.

NGLOBAL ARUP.COMAMERICASUOBSITOR/270000/277167-00/3 DESIGN/3-02 REPORTS AND NARRATIVES/2020-09-17 FSR V2 FOR ZBA/2150 LAKE SHORE_FUNCTIONAL SERVICING REPORT. 2021-02-26 DOCX

Sector	Design Criteria Per capita Demand	Expected Per capita Demand				
New Sewers						
Residential	450 litres/capita/day	252 litres/capita/day				
Commercial/retail	180,000 litres/Ha/day	100,800 litres/Ha//day				
Downstream flow a	Downstream flow analysis					
Residential	240 litres/capita/day	159 litres/capita/day				
Commercial/retail	250 litres/capita/day	165 litres/capita/day				

Table 17 - Proposed Reduced Sewer Per Capita Flow

3.6 Hydraulic Modelling

A preliminary downstream analysis of the receiving sanitary sewers has been prepared using InfoWorks ICM. Three scenarios defined and analysed in order to assess performance of the downstream sewer system:

Existing Scenario

Estimates of sanitary flow generation from the existing developments which discharge to the existing network along Park Lawn Road, Lakeshore Boulevard, Marine Parade Drive, Palace Pier and the Gardiner have been prepared in accordance to the current City of Toronto standards and are included in Appendix F. The dry weather sanitary flows generated in the base scenario for the existing areas shown in figure 10 consists of wastewater and infiltration flows. The population for the surrounding developments was found through the combination of 2016 Census information and ZBA submissions for developments completed between 2016 and 2021. The population in the base scenario consists of four Dissemination Blocks and six developments that have been completed and are habitable. The flows generated from the wastewater flows has been calculated based off City of Toronto standards and available sanitary monitoring data in order to create an accurate base scenario.

Modeling parameters have been adjusted in the base scenario in order to match the current monitoring data. Finally, the infiltration flow has also been calculated for each area based off the monitoring data. The total infiltration for each network has been provided in the monitoring information data that was received and the infiltration factor has been adjusted based off the interpreted monitoring results in order to have an accurate base flow in the system. To create an accurate base model the wastewater and base flows for the 2 unfinished developments and 2150 Lakeshore have been set to zero as there should be negligible infiltration to the system from these sites as it is assumed that there is no direct sanitary connections.

Intermediate Scenario

In the Intermediate scenario the two unfinished developments noted above (As the approved development) were added to the base scenario listed above. The information for the population and area of these developments was found through the ZBA submission. The wastewater and infiltration flows for the two new developments were not based off the monitoring data as the buildings are not yet contributing to the system and instead the generated flows are were calculated based off the City of Toronto Design Criteria for Sewers and Watermains. A flow of 240 l/c/day for residential and 250 l/c/day for commercial was used to estimate the wastewater flows and a peaking factor was calculated by the use of the Harmon equation. As per city of Toronto standards the infiltration allowance for these sites has been set to 0.26 l/s/ha.

Proposed Scenario

In the Proposed scenario proposed development at 2150 Lakeshore Boulevard was added to the intermediate scenario explained above. As in the intermediate scenario the wastewater and infiltration flows were calculated based off the City of Toronto Design Criteria for sewers and watermains. A flow of 240 l/c/day for residential and 250 l/c/day for commercial was used to estimate the wastewater flows and a peaking factor was calculated by the use of the Harmon equation. As per city of Toronto standards the infiltration allowance for these sites has been set to 0.26 l/s/ha. It should be noted that this scenario also simulated based on 450 l/c/day generate rates for residential area.

the current model terminates upstream of the PS as the downstream boundary conditions of the model needs to be also verified in the later stages.

The modelling results and sewer profiles have been included as Appendix G. As indicated in this analysis, a summary of the site flows under existing and proposed conditions is as follows:

3.6.1 Existing Critical Pipe Capacity

- 375mm diameter pipe along Park Lawn Road 175 l/s
- 300mm diameter pipe along Lake Shore Boulevard West 37 l/s
- 225mm diameter pipe along Lake Shore Boulevard West 24 l/s
- 675mm diameter pipe along Lake Shore Boulevard West 285 l/s
- 600mm pipe along Marine Parade Drive 120 l/s
- 675mm pipe beneath the Gardiner **333 L/s**

3.6.2 Existing DWF Conditions

- 375mm diameter pipe along Park Lawn Road is shown with spare capacity in the pipe
- 225mm diameter pipe along Lake Shore Boulevard West is shown with spare capacity in the pipe
- 300mm diameter pipe along Lake Shore Boulevard West is shown with spare capacity in the pipe
- 600mm pipe along Marine Parade Drive is shown with spare capacity in the pipe
- 675mm pipe beneath the Gardiner is shown with spare capacity in the pipe.

3.6.3 Proposed DWF Conditions

- 375mm diameter pipe along Park Lawn Road shown to with spare capacity in the pipe.
- 225mm diameter pipe along Lake Shore Boulevard West has no direct connections and is shown to with spare capacity in the pipe.
- 300mm diameter pipe along Lake Shore Boulevard West shown to exceed capacity under the DWF conditions, the pipe has been upgraded to a 450mm diameter.
- 600mm pipe along Marine Parade Drive is shown to with spare capacity in the pipe under DWF condition.
- 675mm pipe beneath the Gardiner is shown as capable of conveying the proposed development flows and is shown to with spare capacity in the pipe. The boundary condition of the model currently is set to free outfall and needs to be confirmed. In addition, existing pipe parameters needs to be confirmed, and the model will be fully calibrated once more flow data is available.

Based on the preliminary DWF conditions modeling results, there is a capacity issue in the existing network at Lake Shore Boulevard West to support the additional development flows and upgrades to this network are required along Lake Shore Boulevard. The model will be updated once more flow data is available which could change the results under both existing condition and post development scenarios.

3.6.4 Wet Weather Flow Conditions

Flow monitoring data thus far has not captured any major storm with intensity greater than a 1:2 year storm event. Hence it is not yet possible to accurately simulate WWF conditions. The WWF will be the critical scenario on the existing network and so the proposed design/upgrades are subject to change once the WWF data is available and additional upgrades may be required.

3.7 Potential Network Upgrades

Based on the DWF conditions simulation results Lake Shore Boulevard West system shown to exceed capacity under proposed conditions. In order to support the additional flows from the proposed development upgrades and mitigations are required to provide capacity in the system. The proposed upgrades are based on the DWF scenario and needs to be evaluated under WWF conditions (once data is available) and are subject to change.

3.7.1 Upgrades Existing Network

DWF modelling of the baseline flow rates indicate that upgrades may be required along Lake Shore Boulevard West to increase the capacity of the system and convey flows under post development conditions. As shown drawing LSB-ARP-XX-XX-DR-CD-70000 the existing 300mm pipe should be increased to a 450mm pipe between manhole MH3148606410 and MH3214406762.

Three additional manholes are proposed for the connections to existing network along Park Lawn and Lake Shore Boulevard West, the location of these are shown in drawing LSB-ARP-XX-XX-DR-CD-70000. The design of this would be captured in the later stages of design.

At present it is assumed that the existing Manhole MH3122906287 with a weir is configured to covey most of the flows from Park Lawn Road into Marine Parade Drive, with surcharge condition diverting flow along Lake Shore Boulevard West system. If under WWF conditions Marine Parade Drive is exceeding capacity, then upgrades to the weir height, benching or invert levels may be required in this manhole to divert more of the flows along Lake Shore Boulevard West. The design of this would be captured in the later stages of design.

It should be noted that, current Dry Weather Flow modelling results indicate that the current system has enough capacity to service first phase of development without any improvement. This assumption will also be reassessed based on future monitoring data and WWF modelling results.

Dry weather flow monitoring indicates that there is system capacity at the location where the flow crosses the Gardiner/GO Rail Corridor (675mm SAN). Final modelling results and required upgrades will be confirmed once required weather data is available and the boundary conditions are confirmed.

[|] Issue 2 | February 26th, 2021 | Arup Canada Inc.

^{\\}GLOBAL.ARUP.COM/AMERICAS\JOBS\TOR\270000/277167-00\3 DESIGN\3-02 REPORTS AND NARRATIVES\2020-09-17 FSR V2 FOR ZBA\2150 LAKE SHORE_FUNCTIONAL SERVICING REPORT 2021-02-26 DOCX

3.7.2 Existing Queensway Pumping Station

Once the network has crossed the Gardiner/GO Rail Corridor the flows are conveyed to Queensway Pumping Station (PS) and then pumped to the Humber Wastewater Treatment Plan. As indicated by Toronto Water (via e-mail on October 6th, 2020), the Queensway PS has 4 pumps, 120L/s discharge rate each, three on-duty and one stand-by. SCADA data was provided for August and September for the Queensway PS, however the information on typical pump configuration/capacity was not observed. Further data collection is anticipated to occur in the next stage of analysis.

- The nominal maximum capacity of three on-duty pumps at Queensway pumping station is estimated to be 360 L/s (Needs to be confirmed based on the pump configuration and other design parameters)
- Existing incoming peak design flow to the pumping station is 172.4 l/s under DWF (calculated by Toronto Water with theoretical values of 240L/cap/d, peaking factor 3, and I/I rate of 0.26 l/s/ha).
- DWF modelling indicates an existing flow rate of 120l/s from beneath the Gardiner to the pumping station and proposed from rate of 267 l/s for (Proposed scenario considering 250 l/c/day). There is an additional lateral connection to the pumping station from the west along Queensway (Dissemination Block #35201526023), estimated very low flow. These flow rates suggest that there is capacity in the PS to support the proposed development, however, the current model terminates upstream of the PS as the boundary conditions of the model needs to be verified in the later stages based on the actual design of the existing PS.
- WWF monitoring data is outstanding (monitoring data being collected) and the capacity of the PS will need to be reassessed once the WWF has been modelled.

Downstream of the pumping station Figure 12 indicates that the flows are conveyed into a 12" (300mm) forcemain. Comprehensive information on the forcemain is conflicting as GIS TWAG data indicates an additional 450mm forcemain. The capacity of these are subject to size of the sump/configuration of PS.

The forcemains ultimately discharge to a 600mm gravity main which connects to the 72" (1500mm) @0.06% trunk sewer before going to Humber WWTP (Limited information on other incoming flows, Toronto water to confirm available capacity in this network).



Figure 12 - Existing asset information from the Gardiner Expressway to Queensway Pumping Station and Humber Bay Shores WWTP (from Toronto Water Digital Mapping Group)

3.8 Next Steps

Further modelling is required to assess the need to upgrade existing network:

- 1. Identify discrepancies between TWAG GIS data and SUE information and update model accordingly.
- 2. Limit of the analysis as well as downstream boundary conditions should be confirmed by Toronto Water.
- 3. Additional DWF Monitoring data will eliminate uncertainty in existing development flow rates.
- 4. WWF Scenario will be analyzed on receipt of adequate data from the ongoing monitoring program to provide HGL assessment for both pre and post-development conditions. Mitigations will be reassessed based on the outcome of the WWF modelling.

As described in Section 3.5 it is expected that the proposed development flow rates used do not well represent the likely conditions when considering the baseline water consumption and the target demand reduction of 44% in line with the TGS requirements. Considering the phased development of the site, meters and flow monitors should be used to collect data on actual new building water consumption, building sewage generation and peak flows within the network. This will allow further calibration of the model and a better understanding if upgrades are required to the existing network, and at which Phase they will be required.

4 Stormwater System

A Stormwater Management Report has been prepared under separate cover for the Application which should be read in conjunction with the following section.

4.1 Introduction

This section outlines City of Toronto storm network design criteria, stormwater management strategy for the site and an analysis of the impact of the proposed development on the existing downstream storm sewer network.

4.2 Design Criteria and Assumptions

The stormwater management approach for new development site is driven by the relevant federal, provincial, and municipal legislation, by-laws and design guidelines and criteria. The governmentally mandated criteria include the following:

- City of Toronto's Wet Weather Flow Management (WWFM) guidelines (2006)
- City of Toronto's Design Criteria for Sewer and Watermains (DCSW, 2021)
- Toronto Green Standard (TGS, version 3, 2019)
- Toronto and Region Conservation Authority (TRCA) Stormwater Management Criteria (2012)
- The Ministry of Environment (MOE) Stormwater Management Planning and Design Manual (2003)

In accordance with the City of Toronto's WWFM guidelines, stormwater management systems for redevelopment areas will be required to control post-development flows from the 100-year storm event to 2-year pre-development levels.

Criteria	Requirements	Governing Authority
Water Quality Management	Enhanced level of protection is required by long- term 80% removal of Total Suspended Solids (TSS) on an annual loading basis from all run-off leaving the proposed development site.	WWFMG – Section 2.2.2.1 (a)

Table 18 - Stormwater Management Design Criteria for Project Site

[|] Issue 2 | February 26th, 2021 | Arup Canada Inc.

^{\\}GLOBALARUP COM\AMERICAS\JOBS\TOR\270000/277167-00\3 DESIGN\3-02 REPORTS AND NARRATIVES\2020-09-17 FSR V2 FOR ZBA\2150 LAKE SHORE_FUNCTIONAL SERVICING REPORT_2021-02-26.DOCX

Water Balance Management	In all cases, the minimum on-site runoff retention requires the proponent to retain all runoff from small design rainfall event- typically 5mm m (In Toronto, storms with 24- hour volumes of 5 mm or less contribute about 50% of the total average annual rainfall volume) through infiltration, evapotranspiration & rainwater reuse.	WWFMG – Section 2.2.1.2 (2) Toronto Green Standards – Tier-1
	Retain runoff generated from a minimum of 25 mm depth of rainfall from all site surfaces through infiltration, evapotranspiration and water harvesting and reuse.	Toronto Green Standards – Tier 3
Water Quantity - Erosion and Sediment Control	Regardless of size for all development sites, temporary erosion and sediment control for construction must be provided on-site.	WWFMG – Section 2.2.3.5 (a)
Water Quantity – Discharge criteria to municipal sewers	The allowable release rate to the municipal storm sewer system (minor system) from the development site during a 2-year design storm event must not exceed the peak runoff rate from the site under pre- development conditions during the same storm event, or existing capacity of the receiving storm sewer, whichever is less.	WWFMG – Section 2.2.3.7

4.3 Existing Conditions

The existing topography is generally sloping East towards Lake Shore Boulevard West and south towards the Park Lawn Road and Lake Shore Boulevard West intersection.

Stormwater management for the study area is achieved by a combination of major and minor system. Based on the TWAG data received from the City of Toronto, the surface runoff from the south west side of the site, drains into an existing 300mm and a 525mm diameter concrete pipe, which is located at the south end, along Lake Shore Boulevard West. These pipes drain eventually into the Mimico Creek outfall.

The Humber bay shore precinct is located to the east of the proposed site. The Functional Servicing Report for Humber Bay Shores Precinct was prepared by Schaeffer and Associates Ltd. in 2014. This report indicates that the east part of the proposed development along Lake Shore Boulevard West, drains to Lake Ontario. This runoff is conveyed as an overland flow via multiple lanes perpendicular to Lake Shore Boulevard West, acting as overland flow routes. Figure 13 indicates the overland flow routes from along the Lake Shore Boulevard West and Park Lawn Road based on the available topographic information and the functional servicing report.



Figure 13 - Existing Overland Flow Routes (Background source: Google Maps)

4.3.1 Key Assumptions

Based on the available information and meeting with Toronto Water, following assumptions have been adopted:

- In absence of final geotechnical investigation results for the site, it is assumed that the infiltration rate and soil type as well as groundwater table will support current SWM design to achieve water balance, water quality and quantity targets. These calculations will be revised, upon receiving the actual infiltration rate values and other relevant geotechnical information.
- Based on preliminary investigations, infiltration rates for the native soil are estimated be in the range of 12 -30 mm/hr. The development lots of the site will be built up from existing levels using granular permeable material and so greater infiltration rates are anticipated. All the infiltration measures and parameters will be verified at the detailed design stage and all the stormwater management facilities with infiltration components will be reassessed and re-designed based on the final geotechnical information.

- The existing municipal network information is based on the TWAG data made available by the City of Toronto. This information will be confirmed and revised as a result of the SUE investigation.
- The relief road (Street A) is assumed to be an Arterial road and other streets within the site, to be collector roads. The drainage design for these roads is done to meet stormwater management requirements for these categories of roads.

4.4 Existing Storm Sewer System

The known existing stormwater infrastructure near the site is shown in Figure 14 in green. The CUMAP and TWAG asset records do not show a complete extent of any network within much of the site. It is therefore assumed that there may be a series of private/un-adopted sewers servicing the bulk of the industrial area.

TWAG data was used to ascertain existing utility information, findings of which has been discussed in the Existing infrastructure section. Based on the available information, it is assumed that the surface runoff from the previous use i.e. Christie Cookie Factory, drained in to a 300mm diameter concrete pipe located south of the site, which eventually outfalls into the Mimico Creek.



Figure 14 - Known Existing Storm Sewer Network (TWAG data)

Existing utility asset information has been ascertained from the Toronto Water Asset Group (TWAG). The asset mapping indicates the presence of storm sewers along roads surrounding the site. These mains are present in the following locations:

- Park Lawn Road A 300mm concrete storm sewer flows south along Park Lawn Road towards the intersection where it is upsized to a 600 Vitrified Clay (VC) pipe and flows into the 900mm concrete pipe outfall to Mimico Creek.
- Marine Parade Drive a 450mm concrete storm sewer collects flow from the bus loop at the intersection of Marine Parade Drive and Lake Shore Boulevard West and connects to the 900mm concrete outfall to Mimico Creek.
- Lake Shore Boulevard West from west the intersection of the Marginal Boulevard and Lake Shore Boulevard West, a 250mm VC pipe (transitioning to 375mm and 525mm) flows towards Park Lawn Road. It is joined by a 525mm concrete pipe from the 2150 Lake Shore property, where it crosses Lake Shore Boulevard West in a 1200mm concrete sewer and turns to flow west on Lake Shore Boulevard West as a 750mm concrete pipe (see Figure 14). This pipe joins up with the 900mm outfall to Mimico Creek.

The 525mm pipe appears to continue flowing west to meet up with the 600mm VC pipe at the intersection of Park Lawn Road and Lake Shore Boulevard West (to be confirmed in the field)

The current storm sewer network will be checked and updated using field data to ensure it is reflecting the current conditions. The current hydraulic capacity of these pipes is unknown. The design team will conduct existing network analysis to understand if any mitigation measures are required to support proposed developments upon receiving further information.

4.5 **Proposed Stormwater Management Strategy**

4.5.1 Key Drivers

The stormwater management and drainage strategy must consider; proposed site levels, infiltration rates, the capacity of existing's sewers, the proposed landscape strategy, and the level of flood protection to be provided (1:100-year storm).

4.5.2 Stormwater Strategy

The stormwater management strategy aims to meet WWFMG criteria for water balance and water quality by retaining 25 mm of rainfall onsite and control the run-off from the development blocks to 2-year pre-development allowable release rates.

Overland flow from the retention features will be drained to minor system which will eventually join Lake Shore and Park Lawn Road sewer system, before draining into the Mimico Creek through the existing outfall.

The surface runoff exceeding 2-year pre-development allowable release rates where it is practical will flow through an approved existing overland flow route to the outfall. To address conveyance requirements of the system, the capacity of the existing minor and major systems should be evaluated, and any required upgrades for the existing network and the outfall needs to be considered for this design approach.

The proposed storm water strategy is discussed in more detail in the Stormwater Management Report. Drawings LSB-ARP-XX-XX-DR-CD-60000 and LSB-ARP-XX-XX-DR-60001 in Appendix B, represents the stormwater management plan for 2150 Lake Shore.

4.5.3 **Baseline Conditions**

The baseline conditions for the project site are summarized in Table 19, based on the City storm drainage plan MC 2525/3 as received on 27th January 2021.

The baseline conditions for the project site are summarized in Table 19.

Table 19 - Baseline Conditions of Project Site

Site Area (ha)	Percent Impervious (%)	Composite Runoff Coefficient
11.13	78	0.76

4.5.4 **Proposed Conditions**

The proposed conditions of the project site are summarized in Table 20 and Table 21. The proposed site is divided into separate catchments, based on the proposed development blocks. The development blocks are private property and consists of buildings, podium, and landscape area. The development blocks are connected by internal loop road which is a public right of way, a public and a private street and a pedestrian street. The internal loop will consist of a dedicated lane for TTC streetcar.

Table 20 - Proposed Conditions of Project Site

Site Area (ha)	Percent Impervious (%)	Composite Runoff Coefficient
11.13	65	0.69

Table 21 - Breakdown of Areas in Proposed Condition

Land Cover	Area (ha)	Percent of Site Area (%)	Runoff coefficient
Hardscape	7.25	65	0.9
Landscape	2.58	23	0.25
Green Roof	1.30	12	0.25
Total	11.13	100	

4.5.5 Water Balance

The primary objective of the Water Balance criteria is to preserve the predevelopment hydrology by capturing and managing the annual rainfall on the development site.

The project is targeting to meet TGS Tier 3 requirements for Water Balance, where at minimum., the first 25mm generated runoff from every storm event must be retained from all site surfaces through infiltration, evapotranspiration, water harvesting and water reuse. The water balance target for the project site will be reevaluated at Site Plan stage.

The total volume of water retention required to retain 25mm of runoff on site, without considering initial abstraction is 2721 m³. This has been determined as outlined in Table 22.

Land Use	Area	Water Depth Retention	Volume Retained on	Volume of Retention
	(IIA)	and Green Roof (mm)	Site (m3)	(m3)
Roof Area –	1.3	25.0*	325	325
Green				
Roof Area –	0.9	25.0*	217	217
Hardscape**				
Landscape	2.5	5.0	126	630
Hardscape	6.2	1.2	74	1549
Total	10.9		743	2721
Volume of runoff retained by soil cells within Public ROW (m ³)			963	
Volume to be Retained by RWH tanks and underground geocellular facilities			1016	
under Park and				

Table 22 - Water Balance Volume Calculation

*Green roofs would be designed to capture runoff due to 25mm of rainfall on the total available roof area.

** The hardscape roof area represents 40% of the available roof area. Not all building towers are designed to have green roof. Area for such roofs is accounted within the "Hardscape" area.

The design team aims to meet the water balance requirements with the use of green roofs, rainwater harvesting tanks at block level and soil cells within the public right of way. Large geocellular storage beneath the Christie Park and Boulevard square with infiltration provided at the bottom to capture runoff from park and adjacent development blocks.

4.5.6 Water Quantity Control

Water Quantity targets focus primarily on flood flow management and erosion control aimed to minimize the impacts on downstream flooding, stream bank erosion, and overflows of infrastructure. For discharge into existing sewer according to the WWFM guidelines, the allowable release rate to the municipal storm sewer system during a 2-year design storm event must not exceed the peak

runoff rate from the site under pre-development conditions during the same storm event, or existing capacity of the receiving storm sewer (whichever is less).

The collection of total run-offs from the development plots is managed by controlling the flows to the allowable 2-year pre-development rate. The public and private streets within the project site are identified as collector road and the relief road is categorised as an arterial road. Dual drainage system has been considered to collect and convey stormwater flows for these roads. The minor system of the proposed roads is to design to capture and convey 5 and 10- year peak flow rates. For runoff generated by storm events greater than this, flow will be limited via inlet control devices and allowing the excess runoff to be conveyed to the outfall following an approved overland flow route. During severe storm events, the street would act as an open channel and will be designed accordingly.

The existing site has extensive impervious area which is greater than 50% of the total site area. The run-off coefficient from the existing site is limited to 0.5 per WWMG guidelines for water quantity assessment. Table 23 presents the preliminary targeted allowable runoff rate from all the proposed development plots and roads.

Plots	Area (ha)	Allowable Release rate (l/s)
А	1.95	238.7
В	1.09	134.2
С	0.67	82.3
D1	0.83	101.7
D2 & D3	1.87	229.2
E	0.53	64.9
F	0.41	49.7
Portion of relief Road within property boundary	0.33	74.5
Public Street B	1.30	238.3
Public Street C	0.12	22.0
Private Street D	0.21	38.6

Table 23 - Preliminary allowable release rate for the development plots

It is assumed that existing overland flow route to the outfall are approved by the city. If no approved overland flow route exists, alternative solution for stormwater strategy is to fully capture the 100-year flows and discharging at the existing Mimico creek outfalls using existing municipal storm network. This will be achieved by providing cross-falls and longitudinal grading of the roads towards catch basins located at low points. The existing downstream system will be assessed, and any required mitigation/upgrade will be proposed. TRCA requirements also needs to be followed at the existing Mimico Creek outfall.

4.5.7 Water Quality Control and Erosion and Sediment Control

WWFM guidelines in line with MOE SWM Planning and Design Manual, requires long term 80% removal of TSS on an average annual loading basis from all runoff leaving the proposed development site based on the post-development level of imperviousness. Retaining 25mm of rainfall on site to meet water balance target, will satisfy not only water quality target of 80% TSS removal from 90% average annual rainfall but also erosion and sediment control requirements for the site.

4.5.8 Proposed Storm Servicing

The proposed network, including the connection to the existing network on Park Lawn and Lake Shore, is shown in Appendix C of the Stormwater management report. It includes pipes of 300mm, 375mm, 450mm and 525mm diameter proposed along Street B, Street C, Private street D and a portion of the relief road between Plot E and Plot F.

A storm sewer is proposed beneath The Mews (area between B1 and B2 buildings) connecting to the existing manhole MH3003495 on Park Lawn Road.

A 450mm diameter pipe is proposed from the relief road and Block F to existing manhole MH3159006428 on Lake Shore Boulevard West.

Two pipe runs within the loop road, both reaching 525mm diameter, connecting to existing manhole MH3147506388 and existing 525mm pipes. It is recommended to make these connections at the same time as planned upgrades to these intersections.

The final alignment and size of the storm sewers will be designed and confirmed at detailed design stage.

4.6 **Potential Network Upgrades**

Based on the simulation results the existing stormwater systems along Lakeshore Boulevard West and Park Lawn Road have shown to exceed capacity under proposed conditions. To support the additional flows from the proposed development, upgrades and mitigation are required to provide capacity in the system. The current modelling and proposed upgrades are based the available TWAG data as provided by City of Toronto under post-development conditions. The current hydraulic model and proposed upgrades will be re-evaluated upon receiving further results of the SUE investigation. Please note complete assessment of the Mimico Creek outfall and any potential upgrade needs to be confirmed with TRCA. Table 24 presents the potential upgrades proposed to the existing storm sewer network.

	Ma	nhole	Storm Sewer Diameter (mm)				
Location	U/s	D/s	Ex.	Prop.			
Lakeshore Boulevard West	MH3159006428	MH3157006421	250	450			
Boulevalu west	MH3157006421	MH3147506388	375	450			
	MH3147506388	MH3138906358	525	600			
Park Lawn	MH3003440	MH3003480	300	375			
Road	MH3003480	MH3003497	300	375			
	MH3003497	MH3003495	375	450			

Table 24 - Potential Upgrades to Existing Storm Sewer Network

4.7 Summary and Next Steps

This section presents the proposed overview of stormwater management strategy and design of the proposed development at 2150 Lake Shore. Technical requirements including Quantity, Quality, Water Balance and Erosion control also have been outlined and addressed as outlined in the City of Toronto Sewer and Watermain Design and Wet Weather Flow Management Guidelines.

Proposed public roads will be designed considering dual drainage methodology. The minor system is sized based on 10 and 5-Year storm events, considering the category of the proposed road. Any runoff exceeding minor system design storm capacity shall be carried by proposed ROWs, Lake Shore Boulevard West, and Park Lawn Road. It is assumed that approved overland flow route to the outfall are available.

The enhanced water quality control targets required 80% removal of TSS on annual loading from the annual average runoff. Retaining 25mm of rainfall on site will satisfy not only water quality target of 80% TSS removal from 90% average annual rainfall but also erosion and sediment control requirements for the site.

5 Site Grading

Preliminary grading has been completed with the following objectives:

- Match existing elevations at the project limits (Park Lawn Road, Lake Shore Boulevard West, GO Rail Corridor, Gardiner Expressway);
- Allow for relief road underpass at the GO Rail Corridor; and

• Respect the stormwater management requirements and provide passive flood protection from buildings

For the proposed site levels grading plan, cut and fill isopach and grading long sections, refer to drawings LSB-ARP-XX-DR-CE-20001, LSB-ARP-XX-XX-DR-CE-20002 and LSB-ARP-XX-XX-DR-CE-21001- LSB-ARP-XX-XX-DR-CE-21006.

As the design progresses into the next stage, the grading will be developed to ensure it:

- is within the City's criteria for minimum and maximum slopes;
- optimizes cut/fill where possible;
- favours universal accessibility;
- achieves required cover over basement structures for utilities and planting; and
- has detailed passive flood protection in specific areas within the Master Plan to meet the stormwater management requirements.

For information on the soil profile and ground conditions refer to the Preliminary Geotechnical Study which summarizes the findings from available geotechnical investigations and provides assessment on the soil, bedrock and groundwater characteristics of the subject property.

6 Groundwater

A report consisting of Hydrological Review of the proposed site has been prepared in accordance with the requirements set out by the City of Toronto. It was submitted to the City on 15th May 2020 and since then there has been no significant changes to the report.

This report summarizes findings from available relevant investigations and provides an analysis of available groundwater information and can be found attached to the Functional Servicing Report as Appendix I for further details.

During construction of the basements it is anticipated that the groundwater level will have to be lowered below the bottom of the proposed excavation. The Hydrological Review report discusses the analytical assessment and anticipated groundwater discharge for each basement. The anticipated discharge from each basement is less than 1 litre per second. The quantity of groundwater discharge from basement will be revised based on the outcome of further investigation. The groundwater quality sampling and testing for the purpose of groundwater discharge will be carried out as part of further investigation at the site.

Current hydraulic models do not consider groundwater discharge into the municipal sewers. The groundwater discharge strategy will be established, and hydraulic models and downstream capacity analysis will be updated, upon receiving further information.

Appendix A

Land Use, Population & Massing

[|] Issue 2 | February 26th, 2021 | Arup Canada Inc.

Appendix B

Drainage, Utility and Grading Drawings

[|] Issue 2 | February 26th, 2021 | Arup Canada Inc.

Appendix C

Existing & Proposed Hydrant Tests

[|] Issue 2 | February 26th, 2021 | Arup Canada Inc.

Appendix D

Watermain Demand Calculations

[|] Issue 2 | February 26th, 2021 | Arup Canada Inc.

Appendix E

Water Modelling Output

[|] Issue 2 | February 26th, 2021 | Arup Canada Inc.

Appendix F

Sanitary Flow Calculations

[|] Issue 2 | February 26th, 2021 | Arup Canada Inc.

Appendix G

Sanitary Modelling Output

| Issue 2 | February 26th, 2021 | Arup Canada Inc.

Appendix H

Flow Monitoring Report

[|] Issue 2 | February 26th, 2021 | Arup Canada Inc.

Appendix I

Hydrological Review

[|] Issue 2 | February 26th, 2021 | Arup Canada Inc.

Appendix A

Land Use, Population & Massing

[|] Issue 2 | February 26th, 2021 | Arup Canada Inc.

J/TOR/270000/277167-00/3 DESIGN/3-02 REPORTS AND NARRATIVES/2020-09-17 FSR V2 FOR ZBA/2150 LAKE SHORE_FUNCTIONAL SERVICING REPORT_2021-02-05.DOCX

Allies and Morrison

85 Southwark Street London SE1 0HX telephone +44 20 7921 0100 web alliesandmorrison.com email studio@alliesandmorrison.com

Allies and Morrison LLP, Registered in England & amp; Wales (OC404597), 85 Southwark Street, London SE1 0HX 1/25/2021 Rev: P7

2150 Lake Shore

17219

Area Schedule

Zone	Plot	Building	Use	GBA_sqm	GBA_sqft	GFA_sqm	GFA_sqft	Studio	1BDR	1BD	R+DEN 2BDR	2BD	R+DEN 3BDR	Т	otal
Α	A1														
		A1-1													
			Residential	39,508	425,259	34,767	374,228	5	22	76	147	67	89	45	446
		A1-1 Total	Retail	40,797	439,135	35,927	386,716	i	22	76	147	67	89	45	446
		A1-2													
		A1-2 Total	Residential	22,734 22,734	244,707 244 707	20,006 20,006	215,342 215,342		13 13	44 44	85 85	39 39	51 51	26 26	258 258
			li	22,701	211,101	20,000	210,012						01	20	200
		A I-Market Build	Retail	2,006	21,592	1,805	19,433	6	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
		A1-Market Buildin	g Total	2,006	21,592	1,805	19,433	5	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
		A1-Podium													
			Employment	353	3,796	318	3,416	i	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
			Residential	1,167	43 000	3 596	38 700		0	2	4	2	3	0	13
		A1-Podium Total	- totali	5,515	59,353	4,940	53,167		1	2	4	2	3	1	13
	A1 Total			71,052	764,787	62,679	674,658	1	36	122	236	108	143	72	717
	A2														
		A2-1													
			Residential Retail	31,377 605	337,737	27,612 545	297,209)	18	60 0	117	53 0	71	35	354
		A2-1 Total	i totaii	31,982	344,248	28,156	303,068	5	18	60	117	53	71	35	354
		A2-Podium													
			Residential	431	4,635	379	4,079)	0	1	2	1	1	0	5
		AO De diume Tetel	Retail	1,721	18,529	1,549	16,676	i	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
		A2-Podium Totai		2,152	23,164	1,928	20,755)	0	1	2	I	Ţ	0	5
	A2 Total			34,134	367,412	30,084	323,823		18	61	119	54	72	35	359
	A3	A3.1													
		70-1	Employment	10,344	111,337	9,310	100,203	5	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
		A3-1 Total		10,344	111,337	9,310	100,203	5	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
		A3-2	Encolor manual	0.440	00 747	4 000	00.445		0	0	0	0	0	0	0
		A3-2 Total	Employment	2,110	22,717	1,899	20,445		0	0	0	0	0	0	0
		A3-3													
			Employment	6,325	68,080	5,693	61,272	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
		A3-3 Total		6,325	68,080	5,693	61,272		0	0	0	0	0	0	0
		A3-Podium	Employment	1 629	17 532	1 466	15 770		0	0	0	0	0	0	0
			Retail	1,739	18,721	1,400	16,849		0	0	0	0	0	0	0
		A3-Podium Total		3,368	36,253	3,031	32,628	}	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	A3 Total			22,147	238,387	19,932	214,548	;	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	A4														
		A4-1	Desidential	04.077	000 000	04 450	220.000		44	47	01	44		00	070
			Residential	24,377 443	262,393 4 771	21,452	230,900		0	47	91	41	55 0	28 0	276
			Community	901	9,704	811	8,734	Ļ	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
		A4-1 Total		25,721	276,868	22,661	243,933	5	14	47	91	41	55	28	276
		A4-2	D	0 774	40.004	0.004	05 740		0	-		0	0		10
		A4-2 Total	Residential	3,774 3,774	40,621 40,621	3,321	35,746) ;	2	7	14	6	9	4	42 42
		A4-Podium													
			Residential	1,016	10,936	894	9,624	Ļ	1	2	4	2	2	1	12
			Retail	2,067	22,244	1,860	20,020)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
		A4-Podium Total	Community	3,170	34,120	2,833	30,489		1	2	4	2	2	1	12
	A4 Total			32,665	351,609	28,815	310,169)	17	56	109	49	66	33	330
A Total				159.998	1,722,195	141.511	1,523.199	1	71	239	464	211	281	140	1,406
в				1		,	,							-	
	B1	D4.4													
		D1-1	Residential	19,808	213,207	17,431	187,622	2	11	38	74	34	45	22	224
		B1-1 Total		19,808	213,207	17,431	187,622	2	11	38	74	34	45	22	224

B1-2

		Residential	8,075	86,916	7,106	76,486	5	16	30	14	18	9	92
		Retail	257	2,761	231	2,485	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	B1-2 Total		8,332	89,677	7,337	78,971	5	16	30	14	18	9	92
	B1-3												
		Residential	7,211	77,619	6,346	68,305	4	14	27	12	16	8	81
	B1-3 Total		7,211	77,619	6,346	68,305	4	14	27	12	16	8	81
	B1-Podium												
		Residential	782	8,414	688	7,404	0	2	3	1	2	1	9
		Retail	2,216	23,856	1,994	21,470	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
		Community	1,445	15,556	1,301	14,000	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	B1-Podium Total		4,443	47,826	3,983	42,875	0	2	3	1	2	1	9
B1 Total			39,794	428,329	35,097	377,773	20	70	134	61	81	40	406
B2													
	B2-1												
		Residential	33,779	363,594	29,726	319,963	19	65	126	57	76	38	381
		Retail	1,981	21,319	1,783	19,187	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	B2-1 Total		35,760	384,913	31,508	339,150	19	65	126	57	76	38	381
	B2-2												
		Residential	47,756	514,045	42,025	452,360	27	92	178	81	108	54	540
	B2-2 Total		47,756	514,045	42,025	452,360	27	92	178	81	108	54	540
	B2-3												
		Residential	995	10,707	876	9,422	1	2	4	2	2	1	12
	B2-3 Total		995	10,707	876	9,422	1	2	4	2	2	1	12
	B2-Podium												
		Residential	770	8,290	678	7,295	0	1	3	1	2	1	8

В	B2	B2-Podium B2-Podium Total	Retail	2,920 3,690	31,433 39,723	2,628 3,306	28,290 35,585	0 0	0 1	0 3	0 1	0 2	0 1	0 8
	B2 Total			88,201	949,388	77,715	836,516	47	160	311	141	188	94	941
B Total				127,995	1,377,717	112,812	1,214,289	67	230	445	202	269	134	1,347
C														
	С	C-1	Decidential	20.649	406 770	24,800	375 550	22	76	140	67	00	45	449
		C-1 Total	Residential	39,648 39,648	426,772	34,890 34,890	375,559	22	76	148	67	90 90	45 45	448 448
		C-2	Residential	3 620	38 964	3 186	34 288	2	7	13	6	8	4	40
		C-2 Total		3,620	38,964	3,186	34,288	2	7	13	6	8	4	40
		C-3	Residential	9,175	98,757	8,074	86,906	5	18	34	16	21	10	104
		C-3 Total		9,175	98,757	8,074	86,906	5	18	34	16	21	10	104
		C-Podium	Residential	762	8,199	671	7,215	0	1	3	1	2	1	8
		C-Podium Total	Retall	4,007 4,769	43,132 51,331	3,606 4,277	38,819 46,034	0	0 1	3	0 1	2	1	8
	C Total			57,212	615,824	50,427	542,788	29	102	198	90	121	60	600
C Total				57,212	615,824	50,427	542,788	29	102	198	90	121	60	600
D	D1													
		D1-1	Residential	56,740	610,748	49,931	537,458	32	109	211	96	128	64	640
		D1-1 Total		56,740	610,748	49,931	537,458	32	109	211	96	128	64	640
		D1-2	Employment	7,740	83,315	6,966	74,984	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
		D1-3		7,740	00,010	0,900	74,904	Ū	0	0	U	0	U	0
		- D1-3 Total	Employment	16,656 16,656	179,288 179,288	14,990 14,990	161,359 161,359	0 0	0 0	0 0	0 0	0 0	0 0	0 0
		D1-Podium												
			Employment Residential	1,918 431	20,641 4,642	1,726 379	18,577 4,085	0 0	0 1	0 2	0 1	0 1	0 0	0 5
		D1-Podium Total	Retall	1,953 4,302	21,018 46,301	1,758 3,863	18,916 41,578	0	1	0 2	1	0 1	0	0 5
	D1 Total			85,438	919,652	75,751	815,379	32	110	213	97	129	64	645
	D2	D2-1												
		D2-1 Total	Residential	51,667 51,667	556,135 556,135	45,467 45,467	489,399 489,399	29 29	99 99	193 193	88 88	117 117	58 58	584 584
		D2-2							_		_	_		
		D2-2 Total	Employment	21,617 21,617	232,688 232,688	19,455 19,455	209,419 209,419	0 0	0 0	0 0	0 0	0 0	0	0
		D2-Podium	Employment	1 801	19 389	1 621	17 450	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
			Residential Retail	481	5,182 45,552	423 3,809	4,560 40,997	0	1 0	2 0	1 0	1 0	1 0	6 0
		D2-Podium Total		6,514	70,123	5,853	63,007	0	1	2	1	1	1	6
	D2 Total			79,798	858,946	70,775	761,825	29	100	195	89	118	59	590
	D3	D3-1		50.000		44.500	400.050			100				
		D3-1 Total	Residential	50,680 50,680	545,520 545,520	44,598 44,598	480,058 480,058	29 29	97 97	189 189	86 86	114 114	57 57	572 572
		D3-2	Residential	40 893	440 171	35 986	387 350	23	78	152	69	92	46	460
		D3-2 Total	Residential	40,893	440,171	35,986	387,350	23	78	152	69	92	46	460
		D3-3	Residential	48,596	523,081	42,764	460,311	27	93	181	82	110	55	548
		D3-3 Total		48,596	523,081	42,764	460,311	27	93	181	82	110	55	548
		D3-Podium	Residential	2,413	25,970	2,123	22,854	1	5	9	4	5	3	27
			Retail School (poten	9,823	9,264 105,731	775 8,841 1,255	8,338 95,158 14,580	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
		D3-Podium Total	Community	14,602	157,165	13,094	140,929	1	5	9	4	5	3	27
	D3 Total			154,771	1,665,937	136,442	1,468,648	80	273	531	241	321	161	1,607
D Total				320,007	3,444,535	282,968	3,045,853	141	483	939	427	568	284	2,842
_ <u>E</u>	E	F 1												
		E-1 Total	Residential	47,438 47,438	510,614 510,614	41,745 41,745	449,340 449,340	27 27	91 91	177 177	80 80	107 107	54 54	536 536
		E-2		,	0.0,011		110,010		01				0.1	
		E-2 Total	Residential	7,430 7,430	79,972 79,972	6,538 6,538	70,375 70,375	4 4	14 14	28 28	13 13	17 17	8 8	84 84
		E-Podium												
			Residential Retail	706 3,427	7,603 36,892	621 3,084	6,691 33,203	0 0	1	3 0	1	2 0	1 0	8 0
		E-Podium Total	Community	6,710 10,843	72,222 116,717	6,039 9,745	65,000 104,893	0	0 1	0 3	0 1	0 2	0 1	0 8
	E Total			65,711	707,303	58,028	624,609	31	106	208	94	126	63	628
E Total				65,711	707,303	58,028	624,609	31	106	208	94	126	63	628
F	F													
		F-1	Residential	53,294	573,651	46,899	504,813	30	102	199	90	120	60	601
		F-1 10tal		53,294	o <i>r3</i> ,651	40,899	ວປ4,813	30	102	199	90	120	60	601
		F-2 Total	Residential	2,865 2,865	30,839 30,839	2,521 2.521	27,138 27,138	2 2	5 5	11 11	5 5	6 6	3	32 32
		F-Podium		_,000	,000	_, 5= 1		-	-		-	-	Ŭ	52
		_	Residential Retail	4,296 3,181	46,245 34,239	3,780 2,863	40,696 30,815	2 0	8 0	16 0	7 0	10 0	5 0	48 0
		F-Podium Total		7,477	80,484	6,643	71,511	2	8	16	7	10	5	48
F Total	⊢ iotai			63,636	684,974	56,063	603.462	34	115	226	102	136	68	681
				33,030					115		102	100		
Grand Total	794,559	8,552,548	701,809	7,554,199	373	1,275	2,480	1,126	1,501	749	7,504			
-------------	---------	-----------	---------	-----------	-----	-------	-------	-------	-------	-----	-------			

GBA TOTALS	Use Type	GBA_sqm	GBA_sqft	GFA_sqm	GFA_sqft
	Retail	38,900	418,710	35,010	376,839
	Residential	664,695	7,154,702	584,932	6,296,138
	Employment	70,493	758,783	63,444	682,905
	School (potential)	9,823	105,731	8,841	95,158
	Community	10,648	114,622	9,583	103,160
	Grand Total	794,559	8,552,548	701,809	7,554,199

Appendix B

Drainage, Utility and Grading Drawings

[|] Issue 2 | February 26th, 2021 | Arup Canada Inc.



Notes

- All coordinates are in metres and referenced to Zone 10 of the Ontario Coordinate System, NAD (North American Datum) 1927, 1968 adjustment, 3 degree MTM (NAD 27 MTM 3 degrees Zone 10)
 Existing services are based in the topographical survey issued by EllisDon on 24 February 2020 and TWAG information provided by Toronto Water on 04 February 2020
- February 2020. Connection to existing networks is subject to agreement with statutory authorities and approving bodies.
- Location and extent of existing services is indicative only and is intended to identify approximate location of main service corridors. For full details refer to utility providers record drawings or topographical
- survey. Off-site reinforcement and temporary construction services are excluded from this drawing. Proposed network design, plot connections and details are indicative only and are subject to
- approval.
 9. Conflicts with existing utilities and other proposed works should be notified to the Engineer of Record.

Legend

_____ - _ ___ Site Boundary Basement/Tunnel Extent — — — — — — Extent of Right-of-way

Existing Utilities				
SAN	Sanitary Ne	etwork		
	Water Netv	vork		
G G	Intermediat	e pressure	gas main	
G G	High press	ure gas ma mmunicati	in Sns	
	Network	minianeau	5113	
TT	Rogers Tel Network	ecommunio	cations	
SL SL	Street Ligh	ts		
— н — н —	Hydro (Ele Overhead I	ctrical Netw Electrical	vork)	
он он	Rail Signal			
· x · x · x ·	Existing Uti or diverted	lities to be	abandoned	
Proposed Utilitie	es			
	Sanitary Se	ewer and N	lanhole	
	Stormwate	r Sewer an r Eoroo Mo	d Manhole	
	Pumping S	tation		
	Park area i	ncluding S	WM facility	
	Soakawav	tion pit with Infi	Itration	
	capacity			
	Soil Cells w	vith Infiltrati	on Capacity	
	Soft Lands	cape		
	Gas Main I	, ntermediat	e and High	
	pressure	mmural - 1	no Netro I	
			ons Network	
	Network	ecommuni	Jauuiis	
	Hydro Netv	vork	1	
		ς,		
02 26/02/21	AF	FF	HJ	
02 26/02/21 Zoning By-Law A	AF pplication r1	FF	HJ	
02 26/02/21 Zoning By-Law A 01 15/05/20	AF pplication r1 AF	FF	HJ	
0226/02/21Zoning By-Law A0115/05/20For planning subRevDate	AF pplication r1 AF mission _{By}	FF	HJ HJ Appd	
0226/02/21Zoning By-Law A0115/05/20For planning subRevDate	AF pplication r1 AF mission _{By}	FF FF Chkd	HJ HJ Appd	
0226/02/21Zoning By-Law A0115/05/20For planning subRevDate	AF pplication r1 AF mission By	FF FF Chkd	HJ HJ Appd	
02 26/02/21 Zoning By-Law A 01 15/05/20 For planning sub Rev Date	AF pplication r1 AF mission By	FF FF Chkd	HJ HJ Appd	
02 26/02/21 Zoning By-Law A 01 15/05/20 For planning sub Rev Date Arun Canada Inc	AF pplication r1 AF mission By	FF FF Chkd H. R. T. JI 1005178	HJ HJ Appd	
02 26/02/21 Zoning By-Law A 01 15/05/20 For planning sub Rev Date Arup Canada Inc. 121 Bloor Street East Suite 900	AF pplication r1 AF mission By	FF FF Chkd H. R. T. JI 1005178 26/02/20	HJ HJ Appd	
02 26/02/21 Zoning By-Law A 01 15/05/20 For planning sub Rev Date Arup Canada Inc. 121 Bloor Street East Suite 900 Toronto ON M4W 3M5 Canada	AF pplication r1 AF mission By	FF FF Chkd H. R. T. JE 1005178 26/02/20	HJ HJ Appd OVAV HI Appd	
0226/02/21Zoning By-Law A0115/05/20For planning subRev DateDateArup Canada Inc.121 Bloor Street EastSuite 900Toronto ON M4W 3M5Canadawww.arup.com	AF pplication r1 AF mission By	FF FF Chkd H. R. T. JE 1005178 26/02/20	HJ HJ Appd	
0226/02/21Zoning By-Law A0115/05/20For planning subRevDateDateArup Canada Inc.121 Bloor Street EastSuite 900Toronto ON M4W 3M5Canadawww.arup.comClientFirst Capital	AF pplication r1 AF mission By P	FF FF Chkd H. R. T. JE 1005178 26/02/20 WN/CE OF	HJ HJ Appd	
0226/02/21Zoning By-Law A0115/05/20For planning subRev DateDateArup Canada Inc.121 Bloor Street EastSuite 900Toronto ON M4W 3M5Canadawww.arup.comClientFirst Capitaland 2253213	AF pplication r1 AF mission By (Park La 3 Ontario	FF FF Chkd H. R. T. JE 1005178 26/02/20 WN) Cor Limited	HJ HJ Appd	
0226/02/21Zoning By-Law A0115/05/20For planning subRevDateDateArup Canada Inc.121 Bloor Street EastSuite 900Toronto ON M4W 3M5Canadawww.arup.comClientFirst Capitaland 2253213	AF application r1 AF mission By P (Park La 3 Ontario	FF FF Chkd H. R. T. JE 1005178 26/02/20 WN) Cor Limited	HJ HJ Appd	
0226/02/21Zoning By-Law A0115/05/20For planning subRevDateArup Canada Inc.121 Bloor Street EastSuite 900Toronto ON M4W 3M5Canadawww.arup.comClientFirst CapitalAnd 2253213	AF pplication r1 AF mission By P (Park La 3 Ontario	FF FF Chkd H. R. T. JI 1005178 26/02/20 Wn) Cor Limited	HJ HJ Appd	
0226/02/21Zoning By-Law A0115/05/20For planning subRevDateArup Canada Inc.121 Bloor Street EastSuite 900Toronto ON M4W 3M5Canadawww.arup.comClientFirst CapitalAnd 2253213Project Title2150 Lake S	AF pplication r1 AF mission By (Park La Ontario hore	FF FF Chkd H. R. T. JI 1005178 26/02/20 WN) Cor Limited	HJ HJ Appd	
0226/02/21Zoning By-Law A0115/05/20For planning subRevDateArup Canada Inc.121 Bloor Street East Suite 900 Toronto ON M4W 3M5 Canada www.arup.comClientFirst Capital and 2253213Project Title 2150 Lake S	AF pplication r1 AF mission By (Park La Ontario hore	FF FF Chkd H.R.T.JI 1005178 26/02/20 WN) Cor Limited	HJ HJ Appd	
0226/02/21Zoning By-Law A0115/05/20For planning subRevDateArup Canada Inc.121 Bloor Street East Suite 900 Tornto ON M4W 3M5 Canada www.arup.comClientFirst Capital and 2253213Project Title 2150 Lake S	AF AF mission By P (Park La Ontario hore	FF FF Chkd H.R.T.JI 1005178 26/02/20 WN) Cor Limited	HJ HJ Appd	
0226/02/21Zoning By-Law A0115/05/20For planning subRevDateArup Canada Inc.121 Bloor Street East Suite 900 Toronto ON M4W 3M5 Canada www.arup.comClientFirst Capital and 2253213Project Title 2150 Lake S	AF pplication r1 AF mission By (Park La Ontario hore	FF FF Chkd H.R.T.JI 1005178 26/02/20 WN) Cor Limited	HJ HJ Appd	
02 26/02/21 Zoning By-Law A 01 01 15/05/20 For planning sub Rev Rev Date Arup Canada Inc. 121 Bloor Street East Suite 900 Toronto ON M4W 3M5 Canada www.arup.com Client First Capital and 2253213 Project Title 2150 Lake S	AF AF AF mission By (Park La (Park La Ontario hore andscap	FF FF Chkd H.R.T.JI 1005178 26/02/20 WN) Cor Limited	HJ HJ Appd	
0226/02/21Zoning By-Law A0115/05/20For planning subRevDateArup Canada Inc.121 Bloor Street EastSuite 900Toronto ON M4W 3M5Canadawww.arup.comClientFirst Capital and 2253213Project Title2150 Lake SDrawing TitleComposite L and Utilities	AF AF AF mission By (Park La (Park La Ontario hore hore andscap Plan	FF FF Chkd H.R.T.JI 1005178 26/02/20 WN) Cor Limited	HJ HJ Appd	
0226/02/21Zoning By-Law A0115/05/20For planning subRevDateArup Canada Inc.121 Bloor Street East Suite 900 Toronto ON M4W 3M5 Canada www.arup.comClientFirst Capital and 2253213Project Title 2150 Lake SDrawing TitleComposite L and Utilities	AF AF AF mission By (Park La (Park La Ontario hore andscap Plan	FF Chkd H.R.T.JI 1005178 26/02/20 WN) Cor Limited	HJ HJ Appd	
02 26/02/21 Zoning By-Law A 01 15/05/20 For planning sub Rev Date ARD O <td colspa<="" td=""><td>AF AF AF Mission By (Park La Ontario hore AF AF Mark La AF AF AF AF AF AF AF AF AF AF</td><td>FF FF Chkd FF Chkd FF Chkd Chr Chkd Chkd Chr Chkd Chr Chr Chr Chr Chr Chr Chr Chr</td><td>HJ HJ Appd</td></td>	<td>AF AF AF Mission By (Park La Ontario hore AF AF Mark La AF AF AF AF AF AF AF AF AF AF</td> <td>FF FF Chkd FF Chkd FF Chkd Chr Chkd Chkd Chr Chkd Chr Chr Chr Chr Chr Chr Chr Chr</td> <td>HJ HJ Appd</td>	AF AF AF Mission By (Park La Ontario hore AF AF Mark La AF AF AF AF AF AF AF AF AF AF	FF FF Chkd FF Chkd FF Chkd Chr Chkd Chkd Chr Chkd Chr Chr Chr Chr Chr Chr Chr Chr	HJ HJ Appd
0226/02/21Zoning By-Law A0115/05/20For planning subRevDateARU CARCUArup Canada Inc.121 Bloor Street EastSuite 900Tornto ON M4W 3M5Canadawww.arup.comClientFirst Capitaland 2253213Project Title2150 Lake SDrawing TitleComposite Land UtilitiesScale at Arch D1:1000Role	AF AF AF mission By (Park La (Park La 3 Ontario hore andscap Plan	FF FF Chkd FF Chkd FF Chkd Chr Chkd Chr Chr Chr Chr Chr Chr Chr Chr	HJ HJ Appd	
0226/02/21Zoning By-Law A0115/05/20For planning subRevDateARU CARCUArup Canada Inc.121 Bloor Street East Suite 900 Toronto ON M4W 3M5 Canada www.arup.comClientFirst Capital and 2253213Project Title 2150 Lake SDrawing Title Composite L and UtilitiesScale at Arch D 1:1000Role Civil	AF AF AF mission By (Park La Ontario hore andscap Plan	FF FF Chkd FF Chkd FF Chkd FF Chkd Chkd FF Chkd Chkd CorrESSI 26/02/200 CF CorrESSI Chkd CorrESSI Chkd Chr Chkd Chkd Chr Chkd Chr Chkd Chr Chr Chr Chkd Chr Chr Chr Chr Chr Chr Chr Chr	HJ HJ Appd OVAR BAR 21 OVTING 21 OV	
02 26/02/21 Zoning By-Law A 01 15/05/20 For planning sub Rev Date Arup Canada Inc. 121 Bloor Street East Suite 900 Toronto ON M4W 3M5 Canada www.arup.com Client First Capital and 2253213 Project Title 2150 Lake S Drawing Title Composite Laand Utilities Scale at Arch D. 1000 1:1000 Role Civil Suitability Detaile	AF AF AF Mission By (Park La (Park La Ontario hore hore andscap Plan	FF FF Chkd FF Chkd FF Chkd FF Chkd FF Chkd Chkd Corressin 26/02/200 Corressin 26/02/200 Corressin Chkd Corressin 26/02/200 Corressin Chkd Corressin Chkd Corressin Chkd Corressin Core	HJ HJ Appd OVAR BAR S02 21 OVTING Poration	
0226/02/21Zoning By-Law A0115/05/20For planning subRevDateArup Canada Inc.121 Bloor Street East Suite 900 Toronto ON M4W 3M5 Canada www.arup.comClientFirst Capital and 2253213Project Title 2150 Lake SDrawing TitleComposite L and UtilitiesScale at Arch D 1:1000RoleCivilSuitabilityDetaileArup Job No277167	AF AF AF Mission By (Park La (Park La Ontario hore hore andscap Plan d Masterplan	FF FF Chkd FF Chkd FF Chkd FF Chkd FF Chkd Chkd Corressin Corres	HJ HJ Appd OVAL HIGH ENS 02 21 OVTINING Poration	

LSB-ARP-XX-XX-DR-CU-80000



- approximate location of main service corridors. For full details refer to utility providers record drawings



N							
	1.						
) • *					P	0
• LS							
۰LS					\bigcirc		
		+LS					
	315	۰LS			VPY		
۰LS	1.3		6		ARDINER EXT.		
	۰LS	(3				0
	O G					DINER EXP	
					GAF		rts
							.LS
	+LS		15				3.00
						0.00	A.
							\square
				0			
			$\odot \odot \odot \odot \odot$		9000		
		··· ⁵ O O O					
						8. //////	
0			The second				
						///////////////////////////////////////	
¢				P			
_							\bigcirc
		All HIMME (a)				STATIO	
				× ×		SQUA	RĘ
	,LS		free for the second sec				
						D1-2	
							1
							1 1
Ň.						D)1-1
)1-1
)1-1
							01-1
						D	01-1
							01-1
							01-1
				4 92 88 84 82			
				4 98 88 84 82 78			01-1
				4 92 88 84 82 78			01-1
				A 92 88 84 82 78 -			01-1
				A 92 88 84 82 78			
				A 92 88 84 82 78			
		Subcatchment	Area Summary				
		Subcatchment	Area Summary	Green Roof Area	1 1 <t< td=""><td></td><td></td></t<>		
	Plot	Subcatchment Hardscape (ha)	Area Summary Landscape (ha)	Green Roof Area (ha)	Total (ha)		
	Plot	Subcatchment Hardscape (ha)	Area Summary Landscape (ha) 38 0.13	Green Roof Area (ha) 0.43	Total (ha) 1.95		
	Plot	Subcatchment Hardscape (ha)	Area Summary Landscape (ha) 38 0.13 31 0.13	Green Roof Area (ha) 0.43 0.15	Total (ha) 1.95 1.09		
	Plot	Subcatchment Hardscape (ha) Plot A 1.3 Plot B 0.8 Plot C 0.4	Area Summary Landscape (ha) 38 0.13 31 0.13 35 0.10 70 0.17	Green Roof Area (ha) 0.43 0.15 0.12	Total (ha) 1.95 1.09 0.67		
		Subcatchment Hardscape (ha) Plot A 1.3 Plot B 0.8 Plot C 0.4 Plot D 1.7 Plot F 0.2	Area Summary Landscape (ha) Landscape (ha) B Control C	Green Roof Area (ha) 0.43 0.15 0.12 0.44	Total (ha) 1.95 1.09 0.67 2.71		
		Subcatchment Hardscape (ha) Plot A 1.3 Plot B 0.8 Plot C 0.4 Plot D 1.7 Plot E 0.3 Plot F 0.2	Area Summary Landscape (ha) 38 0.13 31 0.13 31 0.13 35 0.10 79 0.47 36 0.06 29 0.08	Green Roof Area (ha) 0.43 0.15 0.12 0.44 0.11	Total (ha) 1.95 1.09 0.67 2.71 0.53 0.41		
	Plot Flot	Subcatchment Hardscape (ha) Plot A 1.3 Plot B 0.8 Plot C 0.4 Plot C 0.4 Plot E 0.3 Plot F 0.2 Park 0 2	Area Summary Landscape (ha) 38 0.13 31 0.13 31 0.13 35 0.10 79 0.47 36 0.06 29 0.08 21 0.83	Green Roof Area 82 82 82 82 82 82 82 82 82 84 82 82 82 83 84 82 82 83 84 82 83 84 84 84 84 84 84 84 84 84 84 84 84 85 84 85 86 87 88 84 85 86 86 87 87 88 87 87 88 87 87 87 87 87 </td <td>Total (ha) 1.95 1.09 0.67 2.71 0.53 0.41 1.03</td> <td></td> <td></td>	Total (ha) 1.95 1.09 0.67 2.71 0.53 0.41 1.03		
	Plot Flot Flot Flot Flot Flot Flot Flot F	Subcatchment Hardscape (ha) Plot A 1.3 Plot B 0.8 Plot C 0.4 Plot	Area Summary Landscape (ha) 38 0.13 31 0.13 31 0.13 35 0.10 79 0.47 36 0.06 29 0.08 21 0.83 36 0.24	Green Roof Area (ha) 0.43 0.15 0.12 0.141 0.12 0.11 0.00	Total (ha) 1.95 1.09 0.67 2.71 0.53 0.41 1.03 0.30		
	Plot	Subcatchment Hardscape (ha) Plot A 1.3 Plot B 0.4 Plot D 1.7 Plot E 0.3 Plot F 0.2 Park 0.0	Area Summary Landscape (ha) 38 0.13 31 0.13 31 0.13 35 0.10 79 0.47 36 0.06 29 0.08 21 0.83 36 0.24 39 0.03	Green Roof Area (ha) 0.43 0.15 0.13 0.14 0.15 0.12 0.14 0.15 0.12 0.14 0.15 0.12 0.14 0.15 0.12 0.14 0.15 0.12 0.14 0.15	Total (ha) 1.95 1.09 0.67 2.71 0.53 0.41 1.03 0.30 0.12		
	Plot Flot	Subcatchment Hardscape (ha) Plot A 1.3 Plot B 0.4 Plot D 1.7 Plot E 0.3 Plot F 0.2 Park 0.0 eet C 0.0 0.1	Area Summary Landscape (ha) 38 0.13 31 0.13 35 0.10 79 0.47 36 0.06 29 0.08 21 0.83 36 0.24 39 0.03 36 0.24	Green Roof Area (ha) 0.43 0.15 0.13 0.14 0.15 0.12 0.43 0.15 0.12 0.43 0.15 0.12 0.43 0.15 0.12 0.43 0.15 0.12 0.44 0.11 0.04 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00	Total (ha) 1.95 1.09 0.67 2.71 0.53 0.41 1.03 0.30 0.12 0.21		
	Plot Plot F F F F F F F F F F F F F F F F F F F	Subcatchment Hardscape (ha) Plot A 1.3 Plot B 0.4 Plot C 0.4 Plot E 0.3 Plot F 0.2 Park 0.0 eet C 0.0 0.1 0.00	Area Summary Landscape (ha) 38 0.13 31 0.13 32 0.13 33 0.13 34 0.13 35 0.10 79 0.47 36 0.06 29 0.08 21 0.83 36 0.24 39 0.03 6 0.24 39 0.03	Green Roof Area (ha) 0.43 0.43 0.15 0.12 0.43 0.15 0.11 0.12 0.44 0.11 0.044 0.11 0.044 0.11 0.044 0.11 0.044 0.11 0.044 0.11 0.044 0.11 0.041	Total (ha) 1.95 1.09 0.67 2.71 0.53 0.41 1.03 0.30 0.12 0.21 1.30		
	Plot Plot Fi	Subcatchment A second s	Area Summary Landscape (ha) 38 0.13 31 0.13 35 0.10 79 0.47 36 0.06 29 0.08 21 0.83 36 0.06 29 0.08 21 0.83 36 0.05 38 0.33 25 0.08	Green Roof Area (ha) 0.43 0.78 0.15 0.12 0.13 0.144 0.15 0.12 0.43 0.15 0.12 0.13 0.144 0.15 0.12 0.143 0.15 0.16 0.17 0.18 0.011	Total (ha) 1.95 1.09 0.67 2.71 0.53 0.41 1.03 0.30 0.12 0.21 1.30 0.33		
	Plot Plot F F F F F F F F F F F F F F F F F F F	Subcatchment Hardscape (ha) Plot A 1.3 Plot B 0.4 Plot C 0.4 Plot E 0.3 Plot F 0.2 Park 0.0 eet C 0.0 eatrest 0.02	Area Summary Landscape (ha) 38 0.13 31 0.13 31 0.13 32 0.13 33 0.13 33 0.13 34 0.13 35 0.10 79 0.47 36 0.06 29 0.08 21 0.83 36 0.24 39 0.03 36 0.24 39 0.03 36 0.05 38 0.33 36 0.05 38 0.33 36 0.08	Green Roof Area (ha) 0.43 0.43 0.15 0.12 0.14 0.11 0.12 0.14 0.15 0.12 0.14 0.15 0.16 0.17 0.18 0.11 0.001 0.002 0.003 0.004 0.005 0.006 0.007 0.008 0.009 0.000	Total (ha) 1.95 1.09 0.67 2.71 0.53 0.41 1.03 0.30 0.12 0.21 1.30 0.33 0.03		
	Plot Plot Final L Relief Road - Within Property Bour Area along Park Lawn	Subcatchment Hardscape (ha) Plot A 1.3 Plot B 0.4 Plot D 1.7 Plot E 0.3 Plot F 0.2 Park 0.0 eet C 0.0 eary 0.2 Road 0.1	Area Summary Landscape (ha) 38 0.13 31 0.13 31 0.13 32 0 0.08 2 0.08 2 0.08 2 0.08 2 0.08 2 0.08 2 0.08 2 0.08 2 0.08 2 0.08 2 0.08 2 0.08 2 0.08 2 0 0.08 2 0 0.08 2 0 0.08 2 0 0.08 2 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	Green Roof Area (ha) 0.43 0.15 0.12 0.43 0.15 0.12 0.44 0.11 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00	Total (ha) 1.95 1.09 0.67 2.71 0.53 0.41 1.03 0.30 0.12 0.21 1.30 0.33 0.33 0.33 0.20		
	Plot Plot Flot Flot Flot Flot Flot Flot Flot F	Subcatchment Hardscape (ha) Plot A 1.3 Plot B 0.4 Plot B 0.4 Plot C 0.4 Plot B 0.3 Plot C 0.4 Plot E 0.3 Plot E 0.3 Plot F 0.2 Park 0.2 Park 0.2 Road 0.1 Area	Area Summary Landscape (ha) 38 0.13 31 0.13 31 0.13 35 0.10 79 0.47 36 0.06 29 0.08 21 0.83 36 0.24 39 0.03 6 0.24 39 0.03 6 0.24 39 0.03 6 0.05 38 0.33 25 0.08 21 0.83 36 0.13 36 0.10 79 0.47 36 0.06 29 0.03 36 0.05 38 0.33 39 0.03 30 0.05 38 0.33 30 0.05 38 0.33 39 0.05 39 0.05 38 0.33 39 0.05 39 0.05 30 0.	Green Roof Area (ha) 0.43 0.15 0.12 0.13 0.14 0.15 0.12 0.43 0.15 0.12 0.43 0.15 0.12 0.13 0.14 0.15 0.12 0.43 0.11 0.04 0.11 0.04 0.11 0.12 0.11 0.12 0.13 0.14 0.15 0.12 0.04 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00	Total (ha) 1.95 1.09 0.67 2.71 0.53 0.41 1.03 0.30 0.12 0.21 1.30 0.33 0.33 0.33 0.33 0.20 0.24		

Do not scale

All levels are in metres and coordinates referenced to Zone 10 of the Ontario Coordinate System, NAD (North American Datum) 1927, 1968 adjustment, 3 degree MTM (NAD 27 MTM 3 degrees Zone 10). 2. Existing level information based on the following topographic survey: "19-075BD01_Nad27-Exp(Jan09,2020)" dated January 2020, performed by EllisDon. Ground levels in private areas (e.g. galleria, park, boulevard square) are indicative and subject to further design development in the next masterplan 4. Proposed ground levels have been defined to comply with accessibility requirements, to guarantee sufficient soil depth for tree planting and to ensure the stormwater falls towards the highway drainage system. 5. 5. For cross-sections comparing existing and proposed ground levels, please refer to drawing series LSB-ARP-XX-XX-DR-CE-21001 to 21006. Site Boundary Basement/Tunnel Extent —————— Extent of Right-of-way Building entrance (as per A&M ground floor plan) ——85.000 Major Contour (500mm intervals) Minor Contour (100mm intervals) THIS DRAWING CONTAINS EXTENSIVE USE OF COLOUR LINE WORK AND MUST BE PLOTTED IN COLOUR. 03 26/02/21 AF LS HJ Zoning By-Law Application r1 02 | 13/11/20 | AF LS HJ For information FF 01 15/05/20 AR HJ For planning submission Rev Date By Chkd Appd ARUI H. R. T. JEENS 100517802 **Arup Canada Inc.** 121 Bloor Street East Suite 900 Toronto ON M4W 3M5 Canada www.arup.com 26/02/2021 VCE OF O First Capital (Park Lawn) Corporation and 2253213 Ontario Limited

2150 Lake Shore

Grading Plan

Scale at Arch D 1:1000

Civil

Suitability Detailed Masterplan

277167

LSB-ARP-XX-XX-DR-CE-20001

Rev

03

	— SAN — — SAN —
	— stм — — от — stм —
	—
7	— G — G — G — G —
	— G — G — G — G —
	— T — T — T —
	TTT
(— н — н — н —

Appendix C

Hydrant Tests

[|] Issue 2 | February 26th, 2021 | Arup Canada Inc.

J/ITOR/270000/277167-00/3 DESIGN/3-02 REPORTS AND NARRATIVES/2020-09-17 FSR V2 FOR ZBA/2150 LAKE SHORE_FUNCTIONAL SERVICING REPORT_2021-02-05.DOCX

> T 416.282.1665 F 416.282.7702 www.corix.com

Hydrant 1

FLOW TEST REPORT

Date	SEPTEMBER 22ND 2020
Customer	ARUP
Job Location	2150 LAKESHORE BOULEVARD WEST, TORONTO ON.
Time of Test	9:45AM
Location of test (flow)	CV CENTURY 3PORT HYDRANT, 2169 LAKESHORE BOULEVARD W, TORONTO
Location of test (residual)	CV CENTURY 3PORT HYDRANT, 2143 LAKESHORE BOULEVARD W, TORONTO
Main Size (mm)	

Static Pressure (psi)

94

Comments

PERFORMED ONE COMPLETE NFPA 291 FLOW TEST ON LAKESHORE BLVD W, TORONTO

Crew Member

> T 416.282.1665 F 416.282.7702 www.corix.com

Hydrant 2	FLOW TEST REPORT
Date	SEPTEMBER 22ND 2020
Customer	ARUP
Job Location	2150 LAKESHORE BOULEVARD WEST, TORONTO ON.
Time of Test	9:30AM
Location of test (flow)	CV CENTURY 3PORT HYDRANT, 36 PARKLAWN RD (EAST SIDE, NORTH LAKESHORE)
Location of test (residual)	CV CENTURY 3PORT HYDRANT, 90 PARKLAWN RD (EAST SIDE, BEFORE CNR BRIDGE)
Main Size (mm)	
Static Pressure (psi)	86

Static Pressure (psi)

Comments

PERFORMED ONE COMPLETE NFPA 291 FLOW TEST ON PARKLAWN ROAD, TORONTO

Crew Member

> T 416.282.1665 F 416.282.7702 www.corix.com

Hydrant 3

FLOW TEST REPORT

Date	SEPTEMBER 22ND 2020
Customer	ARUP
Job Location	2150 LAKESHORE BOULEVARD WEST, TORONTO ON.
Time of Test	11:00AM
Location of test (flow)	CONCORD DAIGLE D67M 3PORT, 2204 LAKESHORE BOULEVARD WEST, TORONTO
Location of test (residual)	CONCORD DAIGLE D67M 3PORT, ACROSS FROM 2230 LAKESHORE BOULEVARD WEST, TORONTO
Main Size (mm)	
Static Pressure (psi)	62

Comments

PERFORMED ONE NFPA 291 FLOW TEST ON LAKESHORE BOULEVARD WEST, TORONTO

Crew Member

> T 416.282.1665 F 416.282.7702 www.corix.com

Hydrant 4	FLOW TEST REPORT
Date	SEPTEMBER 22ND 2020
Customer	ARUP
Job Location	2150 LAKESHORE BOULEVARD WEST, TORONTO ON.
Time of Test	10:30AM
Location of test (flow)	CV CENTURY 3PORT HYDRANT ONLAKESHORE BLVD W, 88 PALACE PIER CRT, TORONTO
Location of test (residual)	DARLING B50B 2PORT HYDRANT, 2045 LAKESHORE BLVD W @ PALACE PIER CRT, TORONTO
Main Size (mm)	
Static Pressure (psi)	100

Comments

PERFORMED ONE NFPA 291 FLOW TEST ON LAKESHORE BLVD W @ PALACE PIER CRT, TORONTO

Crew Member

Appendix D

Watermain Demand Calculations

| Issue 2 | February 26th, 2021 | Arup Canada Inc.

			Job No.				Rev.	
AR	ARUP		277167 First Capital Realty				01	
		Member/Loc	cation	City of	Toronto			
Job Title	2150 Lake Shore Boulevard	Drg. Ref.		See Ap	oendix B			
Calculation	Domestic Water Demand	Made by	C.G.	Date	13/01/2021	Chd.	F.F.	

General Assumptions & Calculations Population Mix

Unit	Population	% Mix
Bachelor	1.4	5%
1 BDRM	1.4	50%
2 BDRM	2.1	35%
3 BDRM	3.1	10%

Calculation Inputs

Criteria			Value	Unit	Notes	
Water Demand			190	L/p/day	City of Toronto Design Criteria	
Commercial/Retail	Population E	quivalents	1.1	p/100m ²	City of Toronto Design Criteria	
Office Population E	quivalent		3.3	p/100m ²	City of Toronto Design Criteria	
School Population			1100	р	Allies & Morrison Population	
School Demand			70-140	L/p/day	City Design Criteria (Select 105L)	
Peaking Factors			-		-	
	MIN Hr	Peak Hr	MAX Day	Notes		
Industrial/Institute 0.84 1.90			1.10	City of Toronto Design Criteria		
Commercial 0.84 1.20			1.10	City of Toronto Design Criteria		
Apartments	0.84	2.50	1.30	City of Tore	onto Design Criteria	

*p = people/person

ARUP		Job No.		Rev.			
		277167 First Capital				ty	01
		Member/Location City			ity of Toronto		
Job Title	2150 Lake Shore Boulevard	Drg. Ref. See Appendix B			pendix B		
Calculation	Domestic Water Demand	Made by	C.G.	Date	13/01/2021	Chd.	F.F.

Summary of Domestic Demands

-	GFA	Population	Domestic	Demand	Peak	ing Values	(L/s)
Building	(m ²)	са	L/day	L/s	Min Hr	Peak Hr	Max Day
Development	701,809	17,302	3,192,179	36.97	31.05	83.29	46.65
Block A	141,511	3,314	628,050	7.29	6.12	16.04	9.14
A1 (Subtotal)	62,679	1,385	263,065	3.04	2.56	7.38	3.92
A1-1	35,927	823	156,344	1.81	1.52	4.49	2.35
A1-2	20,006	468	88,996	1.03	0.87	2.58	1.34
A1-Podium	4,940	73	13,953	0.16	0.14	0.26	0.19
A1-Market	1,805	20	3,773	0.04	0.04	0.05	0.05
A2 (Subtotal)	30,084	673	127,932	1.48	1.24	3.64	1.91
A2-1	28,156	648	123,099	1.42	1.20	3.54	1.85
A2-Podium	1,928	25	4,833	0.06	0.05	0.09	0.07
A3 (Subtotal)	19,932	623	118,433	1.37	1.15	1.64	1.51
A3-1	9,310	307	58,371	0.68	0.57	0.81	0.74
A3-2	1,899	63	11,907	0.14	0.12	0.17	0.15
A3-3	5,693	188	35,692	0.41	0.35	0.50	0.45
A3-Podium	3,031	66	12,464	0.14	0.12	0.17	0.16
A4 (Subtotal)	28,815	633	118,619	1.39	1.17	3.38	1.80
A4-1	22,661	515	96,061	1.13	0.95	2.79	1.47
A4-2	3,321	76	14,459	0.17	0.14	0.42	0.22
A4-Podium	2,833	43	8,099	0.09	0.08	0.17	0.11
Block B	112,812	2,531	480,816	5.56	4.67	13.66	7.20
B1 (Subtotal)	35,097	775	147,172	1.70	1.43	4.15	2.20
B1-1	17,431	406	77,197	0.89	0.75	2.23	1.16
B1-2	7,337	169	32,118	0.37	0.31	0.92	0.48
B1-3	6,346	147	27,854	0.32	0.27	0.81	0.42
B1-Podium	3,983	53	10,002	0.12	0.10	0.19	0.13
B2 (Subtotal)	77,715	1,756	333,644	3.86	3.24	9.52	5.00
B2-1	31,508	711	135,035	1.56	1.31	3.85	2.02
B2-2	42,025	980	186,219	2.16	1.81	5.39	2.80
B2-3	876	21	4,047	0.05	0.04	0.12	0.06
B2-Podium	3,306	44	8,343	0.10	0.08	0.16	0.11
Block C	50,427	1,129	214,580	2.48	2.09	6.10	3.21
C1 (Subtotal)	50,427	1,129	214,580	2.48	2.09	6.10	3.21
C1-1	34,890	814	154,584	1.79	1.50	4.47	2.33
C1-2	3,186	73	13,794	0.16	0.13	0.40	0.21
C1-3	8,074	189	35,815	0.41	0.35	1.04	0.54
C1-Podium	4,277	55	10,387	0.12	0.10	0.19	0.14

			Job No.					
ARUP		277167		Fi	rst Capital Real	ty	01	
711001		Member/Loo	Member/Location City of To			Toronto		
Job Title	2150 Lake Shore Boulevard	Drg. Ref.	Drg. Ref. See Appendix B					
Calculation	Domestic Water Demand	Made by	C.G.	Date	13/01/2021	Chd.	F.F.	

Block D	282,968	7,820	1,392,261	16.11	13.54	34.08	19.99
D1 (Subtotal)	75,751	1,971	374,463	4.33	3.64	8.55	5.28
D1-1	49,931	1162	220,704	2.55	2.15	6.39	3.32
D1-2	6,966	230	43,677	0.51	0.42	0.61	0.56
D1-3	14,990	495	93,990	1.09	0.91	1.31	1.20
D1-Podium	3,863	85	16,093	0.19	0.16	0.25	0.21
D2 (Subtotal)	70,775	1,809	343,636	3.98	3.34	7.84	4.85
D2-1	45,467	1060	201,343	2.33	1.96	5.83	3.03
D2-2	19,455	642	121,985	1.41	1.19	1.69	1.55
D2-Podium	5,853	107	20,308	0.24	0.20	0.31	0.26
D3 (Subtotal)	136,442	4,040	674,161	7.80	6.55	17.70	9.87
D3-1	44,598	1038	197,163	2.28	1.92	5.70	2.97
D3-2	35,986	835	158,631	1.84	1.54	4.59	2.39
D3-3	42,764	995	189,069	2.19	1.84	5.47	2.84
D3-Podium	13,094	1173	129,298	1.50	1.26	1.94	1.67
Block E	58,028	1,241	235,725	2.73	2.29	6.53	3.50
E1 (Subtotal)	58,028	1,241	235,725	2.73	2.29	6.53	3.50
E-1	41,745	973	184,889	2.14	1.80	5.35	2.78
E-2	6,538	152	28,918	0.33	0.28	0.84	0.44
E-Podium	9,745	115	21,918	0.25	0.21	0.35	0.29
Block F	56,063	1,267	240,747	2.79	2.34	6.88	3.61
F1 (Subtotal)	56,063	1,267	240,747	2.79	2.34	6.88	3.61
F-1	46,899	1090	207,176	2.40	2.01	5.99	3.12
F-2	2,521	58	10,944	0.13	0.11	0.32	0.16
F-Podium	6,643	119	22,627	0.26	0.22	0.56	0.33

ARUP		Job No.		Rev.			
		277167		rst Capital Real	ty	01	
		Member/Location City			ity of Toronto		
Job Title	2150 Lake Shore Boulevard			See App	oendix B		
Calculation	Domestic Water Demand	Made by	C.G.	Date	13/01/2021	Chd.	F.F.

Detailed Breakdown of Building Demands

Block A

Building	g A1					
	Unit Type	Studio	1 BDRM	2 BDRM	3+ BDRM	Total Unite
	People/Unit	1.4	1.4	2.1	3.1	Total Onits
	A1-1	22	223	156	45	446
	A1-2	13	129	90	26	258
	A1-Podium	1	6	5	1	13

Building	A1-1	GFA	Population	Domestic	Demand	Peak	ing Values	(L/s)
	Use/Unit	(m ²)	са	L/day	L/s	Min Hr	Peak Hr	Max Day
	Residential	34,767	810	153,919	1.78	1.50	4.45	2.32
	Retail	1,160	13	2,425	0.03	0.02	0.03	0.03
	Total	35,927	823	156,344	1.81	1.52	4.49	2.35

Building	A1-2	GFA Population Dom		Domestic Demand		Domestic Demand		Peak	ing Values	(L/s)
	Use/Unit	(m²)	са	L/day	L/s	Min Hr	Peak Hr	Max Day		
	Residential	20,006	468	88,996	1.03	0.87	2.58	1.34		
	Total	20,006	468	88,996	1.03	0.87	2.58	1.34		

Building	A1-Podium	GFA	Population	Domestic	Demand	Peak	ing Values	(L/s)
	Use/Unit	(m²)	са	L/day	L/s	Min Hr	Peak Hr	Max Day
	Residential	1,027	23	4,446	0.05	0.04	0.13	0.07
	Retail	3,596	40	7,515	0.09	0.07	0.10	0.10
	Employment	318	10	1,992	0.02	0.02	0.03	0.03
	Total	4,940	73	13,953	0.16	0.14	0.26	0.19

Building	A1-Market	GFA	Population	Domestic	Demand	Peaking Values (L/s)			
	Use/Unit	(m²)	са	L/day	L/s	Min Hr	Peak Hr	Max Day	
	Retail	1,805	20	3,773	0.04	0.04	0.05	0.05	
	Total	1,805	20	3,773	0.04	0.04	0.05	0.05	

Block	A	Summary						
Building	A1	GFA	Population	Domestic	Demand	Peak	ing Values	(L/s)
	Use/Unit	(m ²)	са	L/day	L/s	Min Hr	Peak Hr	Max Day
	Residential	55,800	1,302	247,361	2.86	2.40	7.16	3.72
	Retail	6,561	72	13,712	0.16	0.13	0.19	0.17
	Employment	318	10	1,992	0.02	0.02	0.03	0.03
	Total	62,679	1,385	263,065	3.04	2.56	7.38	3.92

ARUP		Job No.		Rev.			
		277167		irst Capital Real	ty	01	
		Member/Loo	cation	City of	ity of Toronto		
Job Title	2150 Lake Shore Boulevard		Drg. Ref. See Appendix B				
Calculation	Domestic Water Demand	Made by	C.G.	Date	13/01/2021	Chd.	F.F.

Building A2

Unit Type	Studio	1 BDRM	2 BDRM	3+ BDRM	Total Unite
People/Unit	1.4	1.4	2.1	3.1	Total Offics
A2-1	18	177	124	35	354
A2-Podium	0	3	2	0	5

Building	A2-1	GFA	Population	Domestic	Demand	Peak	ing Values	(L/s)
	Use/Unit	(m²)	са	L/day	L/s	Min Hr	Peak Hr	Max Day
	Residential	27,612	642	121,961	1.41	1.19	3.53	1.84
	Retail	545	6	1,138	0.01	0.01	0.02	0.01
	Total	28,156	648	123,099	1.42	1.20	3.54	1.85

Building	A2-Podium	GFA	Population	Domestic	Demand	Peak	ing Values	(L/s)
	Use/Unit	(m²)	са	L/day	L/s	Min Hr	Peak Hr	Max Day
	Residential	379	8	1,596	0.02	0.02	0.05	0.02
	Retail	1,549	17	3,237	0.04	0.03	0.04	0.04
	Total	1,928	25	4,833	0.06	0.05	0.09	0.07

Block A

Summary **Domestic Demand** Buildin(A2 GFA Population Peaking Values (L/s) Use/Unit Min Hr Peak Hr (m^2) L/day L/s Max Day са Residential 27,991 650 123,557 1.43 1.20 3.58 1.86 Retail 2,093 0.05 4,375 0.04 0.06 0.06 23 Total 30,084 673 127,932 1.48 1.24 3.64 1.91

Building A3

Building	A3-1	GFA	Population	Domestic	Demand	Peak	ing Values	(L/s)
	Use/Unit	(m²)	са	L/day	L/s	Min Hr	Peak Hr	Max Day
	Employment	9,310	307	58,371	0.68	0.57	0.81	0.74
	Total	9,310	307	58,371	0.68	0.57	0.81	0.74

Building	A3-2	GFA	Population	Population Domestic Demand		Peaking Values (L/s)		
	Use/Unit	(m ²)	са	L/day	L/s	Min Hr	Peak Hr	Max Day
	Employment	1,899	63	11,907	0.14	0.12	0.17	0.15
	Total	1,899	63	11,907	0.14	0.12	0.17	0.15

Building	A3-3	GFA	Population	Domestic Demand		n Domestic Demand Peaking Val		ing Values	(L/s)
	Use/Unit	(m²)	са	L/day	L/s	Min Hr	Peak Hr	Max Day	
	Employment	5,693	188	35,692	0.41	0.35	0.50	0.45	
	Total	5,693	188	35,692	0.41	0.35	0.50	0.45	

Building	A3-Podium	GFA	Population	Domestic	Demand	Peaking Values (L/s)		
	Use/Unit	(m²)	са	L/day	L/s	Min Hr	Peak Hr	Max Day
	Employment	1,466	48	9,192	0.11	0.09	0.13	0.12
	Retail	1,565	17	3,271	0.04	0.03	0.05	0.04
	Total	3,031	66	12,464	0.14	0.12	0.17	0.16

Block	A	Summary						
Building	A3	GFA	Population	Domestic Demand		Peaking Values (L/s)		(L/s)
	Use/Unit	(m ²)	са	L/day	L/s	Min Hr	Peak Hr	Max Day
	Retail	1,565	17	3,271	0.04	0.03	0.05	0.04
	Employment	18,367	606	115,162	1.33	1.12	1.60	1.47
	Total	19,932	623	118,433	1.37	1.15	1.64	1.51

		Job No.					Rev.	
ARUP		277167		rst Capital Real	ty	01		
71101		Member/Loc	cation	City of	City of Toronto			
Job Title	2150 Lake Shore Boulevard	Drg. Ref.		See Ap	oendix B			
Calculation	Domestic Water Demand	Made by	C.G.	Date	13/01/2021	Chd.	F.F.	

Building A4

Unit Type	Studio	1 BDRM	2 BDRM	3+ BDRM	Total Unite
People/Unit	1.4	1.4	2.1	3.1	
A4-1	14	138	96	28	276
A4-2	2	21	15	4	42
A4-Podium	1	6	4	1	12

Building	A4-1	GFA	Population	Domestic	Demand	Peak	ing Values	(L/s)
	Use/Unit	(m ²)	са	L/day	L/s	Min Hr	Peak Hr	Max Day
	Residential	21,452	501	95,228	1.10	0.93	2.76	1.43
	Retail	399	4	833	0.01	0.01	0.01	0.01
	Community	811	9	1,695	0.02	0.02	0.02	0.02
	Total	22,661	515	96,061	1.13	0.95	2.79	1.47

Building	A4-2	GFA	Population	Domestic	Demand	Peak	ing Values	(L/s)
	Use/Unit	(m ²)	са	L/day	L/s	Min Hr	Peak Hr	Max Day
	Residential	3,321	76	14,459	0.17	0.14	0.42	0.22
	Total	3,321	76	14,459	0.17	0.14	0.42	0.22

Building	A4-Podium	GFA	Population	Domestic	Demand	Peak	ing Values	(L/s)
	Use/Unit	(m²)	са	L/day	L/s	Min Hr	Peak Hr	Max Day
	Residential	894	21	4,047	0.05	0.04	0.12	0.06
	Retail	1,860	20	3,888	0.05	0.04	0.05	0.05
	Community	78	1	164	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
	Total	2,833	43	8,099	0.09	0.08	0.17	0.11

Block	Α	Summary							
Building	A4	GFA	Population	Domestic Demand		Peak	ing Values	es (L/s)	
	Use/Unit	(m ²)	са	L/day	L/s	Min Hr	Peak Hr	Max Day	
	Residential	25,667	599	113,734	1.32	1.11	3.29	1.71	
	Retail	2,259	25	4,721	0.05	0.05	0.07	0.06	
	Employment/								
	Community	889	10	1,858	0.02	0.02	0.03	0.02	
	Total	28,815	633	120,314	1.39	1.17	3.38	1.80	

Block	Α	Summary						
		GFA	Population	Domestic Demand		Peaking Values (L/s)		
	Use/Unit	(m²)	са	L/day	L/s	Min Hr	Peak Hr	Max Day
	Residential	109,458	2,551	484,652	5.61	4.71	14.02	7.29
	Retail	12,479	137	26,080	0.30	0.25	0.36	0.33
	Employment	19,574	626	119,013	1.38	1.16	1.65	1.52
	Total	141,511	3,314	629,745	7.29	6.12	16.04	9.14

			Job No.				
ARUP		277167 First Capital Realty					01
		Member/Loc	cation	City of	ity of Toronto		
Job Title	2150 Lake Shore Boulevard	Drg. Ref.	Ĩ	See App	oendix B		
Calculation	Domestic Water Demand	Made by	C.G.	Date	13/01/2021	Chd.	F.F.

Block B

Unit Type	Studio	1 BDRM	2 BDRM	3+ BDRM	Total Unite
People/Unit	1.4	1.4	2.1	3.1	
B1-1	11	112	79	22	224
B1-2	5	46	32	9	92
B1-3	4	41	28	8	81
B1-Podium	0	5	3	1	9

Building	din(B1-1 GFA Pop		Population	Domestic Demand		Peaking Values (L/s)		
	Use/Unit	(m ²)	са	L/day	L/s	Min Hr	Peak Hr	Max Day
	Residential	17,431	406	77,197	0.89	0.75	2.23	1.16
	Total	17,431	406	77,197	0.89	0.75	2.23	1.16

Buildin (B1-2		GFA	Population	Domestic Demand		Peaking Values (L/s)		
	Use/Unit	(m ²)	са	L/day	L/s	Min Hr	Peak Hr	Max Day
	Residential	7,106	167	31,635	0.37	0.31	0.92	0.48
	Retail	231	3	483	0.01	0.00	0.01	0.01
	Total	7,337	169	32,118	0.37	0.31	0.92	0.48

Building	B1-3	GFA	Population	Domestic Demand		Peaking Values (L/s		(L/s)
	Use/Unit	(m²)	са	L/day	L/s	Min Hr	Peak Hr	Max Day
	Residential	6,346	147	27,854	0.32	0.27	0.81	0.42
	Total	6,346	147	27,854	0.32	0.27	0.81	0.42

Building	B1-Podium	GFA	Population	Domestic	Demand	Peak	ing Values	(L/s)
	Use/Unit	(m²)	са	L/day	L/s	Min Hr	Peak Hr	Max Day
	Residential	688	16	3,116	0.04	0.03	0.09	0.05
	Retail	1,994	22	4,168	0.05	0.04	0.06	0.05
	Community	1,301	14	2,718	0.03	0.03	0.04	0.03
	Total	3,983	53	10,002	0.12	0.10	0.19	0.13

Block B Summary Buildin(B1 GFA Population **Domestic Demand** Peaking Values (L/s) Use/Unit Min Hr Peak Hr (m^2) са L/day L/s Max Day Residential 31,571 736 111,948 1.30 1.09 3.24 1.68 Retail 0.05 3,526 24 4,168 0.04 0.06 0.05 Employment/ 0.03 Community 1,301 14 2,718 0.03 0.04 0.03 775 3.33 Total 36,398 118,834 1.38 1.16 1.77

		Job No.					Rev.	
AR	277167 First Capital Realty				ty	01		
I HOI		Member/Loc	ation	City of	City of Toronto			
Job Title	2150 Lake Shore Boulevard	Drg. Ref.		See Ap	pendix B			
Calculation	Domestic Water Demand	Made by	C.G.	Date	13/01/2021	Chd.	F.F.	

Building B2

Unit Type	Studio	1 BDRM	2 BDRM	3+ BDRM	Total Unite
People/Unit	1.4	1.4	2.1	3.1	Total Office
B2-1	19	191	133	38	381
B2-2	27	270	189	54	540
B2-3	1	6	4	1	12
B2-Podium	0	4	3	1	8

Buildin(B2-1		GFA	Population	Domestic Demand		Peaking Values (L/s)		
	Use/Unit	(m ²)	са	L/day	L/s	Min Hr	Peak Hr	Max Day
	Residential	29,726	691	131,309	1.52	1.28	3.80	1.98
	Retail	1,783	20	3,726	0.04	0.04	0.05	0.05
	Total	31,508	711	135,035	1.56	1.31	3.85	2.02

Building	B2-2	GFA	Population	Domestic	stic Demand Peakin		Demand Peaking Values (L/s)		(L/s)
	Use/Unit	(m ²)	са	L/day	L/s	Min Hr	Peak Hr	Max Day	
	Residential	42,025	980	186,219	2.16	1.81	5.39	2.80	
	Total	42,025	980	186,219	2.16	1.81	5.39	2.80	

Building	B2-3	GFA	Population	Domestic Demand		Peaking Values (L/s)		
	Use/Unit	(m²)	са	L/day	L/s	Min Hr	Peak Hr	Max Day
	Residential	876	21	4,047	0.05	0.04	0.12	0.06
	Total	876	21	4,047	0.05	0.04	0.12	0.06

Building	B2-Podium	GFA	Population	Domestic Demand		Peaking Values (L/s)		
	Use/Unit	(m²)	са	L/day	L/s	Min Hr	Peak Hr	Max Day
	Residential	678	15	2,850	0.03	0.03	0.08	0.04
	Retail	2,628	29	5,493	0.06	0.05	0.08	0.07
	Total	3,306	44	8,343	0.10	0.08	0.16	0.11

Block	В	Summary	Immary								
Building	B2	GFA	Population	Domestic Demand		Peaking Values (L/s)					
	Use/Unit	(m²)	са	L/day	L/s	Min Hr	Peak Hr	Max Day			
	Residential	73,304	1,708	324,425	3.75	3.15	9.39	4.88			
	Retail	4,411	49	9,219	0.11	0.09	0.13	0.12			
	Total	77,715	1,756	333,644	3.86	3.24	9.52	5.00			

Block	В	Summary						
		GFA	Population	Domestic	Demand	Peak	ing Values	(L/s)
	Use/Unit	(m²)	са	L/day	L/s	Min Hr	Peak Hr	Max Day
	Residential	104,875	2,443	436,373	5.05	4.24	12.63	6.57
	Retail	7,937	73	13,387	0.15	0.13	0.19	0.17
	Employment	1,301	14	2,718	0.03	0.03	0.04	0.03
	Total	114,112	2,531	452,478	5.24	4.40	12.85	6.77

		Job No.					Rev.
AR	ARUP		277167 Fir			ty	01
			cation	City of	City of Toronto		
Job Title	2150 Lake Shore Boulevard	Drg. Ref.	Ĩ	See App	oendix B		
Calculation	Domestic Water Demand	Made by	C.G.	Date	13/01/2021	Chd.	F.F.

Block C

Building	y C1							
	Unit Type	Studio	1 BDRM	2 BDRM	3+ BDRM	Total Unite		
	People/Unit	1.4	1.4	2.1	3.1	Total Offics		
	C1-1	22	224	157	45	448		
	C1-2	2	20	14	4	40		
	C1-3	5	52	37	10	104		
	C- Podium	0	4	3	1	8		
Building	C1-1	GFA	Population	Domestic	Demand	Peak	ing Values	(L/s)
	Use/Unit	(m ²)	са	L/day	L/s	Min Hr	Peak Hr	Max Day
	Residential	34,890	814	154,584	1.79	1.50	4.47	2.33
	Total	34,890	814	154,584	1.79	1.50	4.47	2.33
Building	C1-2	GFA	Population	Domestic	Demand	Peak	ing Values	(L/s)
	11 // 1 !+	(2)		1 / 1 -	17.	Min II.		
	Use/Unit	(m)	са	L/day	L/S	Min Hr	Peak Hr	Max Day
	Residential	(m) 3,186	ca 73	L/day 13,794	L/s 0.16	0.13	Peak Hr 0.40	Max Day 0.21
	Residential Total	(m) 3,186 3,186	ca 73 73	L/day 13,794 13,794	L/s 0.16 0.16	0.13 0.13	Peak Hr 0.40 0.40	Max Day 0.21 0.21
	Residential Total	(m) 3,186 3,186	ca 73 73	L/day 13,794 13,794	L/s 0.16 0.16	0.13 0.13	0.40	Max Day 0.21 0.21
Building	Residential Total	(m) 3,186 3,186 GFA	ca 73 73 Population	L/day 13,794 13,794 Domestic	L/s 0.16 0.16 Demand	0.13 0.13 0.13	Peak Hr 0.40 0.40 ing Values	Max Day 0.21 0.21 (L/s)
Buildin	Residential Total C1-3 Use/Unit	(m) 3,186 3,186 GFA (m ²)	ca 73 73 Population ca	L/day 13,794 13,794 Domestic L/day	L/s 0.16 0.16 Demand L/s	Min Hr 0.13 0.13 0.13 Peak Min Hr	Peak Hr 0.40 0.40 ing Values Peak Hr	Max Day 0.21 0.21 (L/s) Max Day
Buildine	C1-3 Use/Unit Residential C1-3 Use/Unit Residential	(m) 3,186 3,186 GFA (m ²) 8,074	ca 73 73 Population ca 189	L/day 13,794 13,794 Domestic L/day 35,815	L/s 0.16 0.16 Demand L/s 0.41	Min Hr 0.13 0.13 Peak Min Hr 0.35	Peak Hr 0.40 0.40 ing Values Peak Hr 1.04	Max Day 0.21 0.21 (L/s) Max Day 0.54
Buildin	C1-3 Use/Unit Residential C1-3 Use/Unit Residential Total	(m) 3,186 3,186 GFA (m ²) 8,074 8,074	ca 73 73 Population ca 189 189	L/day 13,794 13,794 Domestic L/day 35,815 35,815	L/s 0.16 0.16 Demand L/s 0.41 0.41	Min Hr 0.13 0.13 Peak Min Hr 0.35 0.35	Peak Hr 0.40 0.40 ing Values Peak Hr 1.04 1.04	Max Day 0.21 0.21 (L/s) Max Day 0.54 0.54
Buildin	Residential Total C1-3 Use/Unit Residential Total	(m) 3,186 3,186 GFA (m ²) 8,074 8,074	ca 73 73 Population ca 189 189	L/day 13,794 13,794 Domestic L/day 35,815 35,815	L/s 0.16 0.16 Demand L/s 0.41 0.41	Min Hr 0.13 0.13 Peak Min Hr 0.35 0.35	Peak Hr 0.40 0.40 ing Values Peak Hr 1.04 1.04	Max Day 0.21 0.21 (L/s) Max Day 0.54 0.54
Building Building	C1-3 Use/Unit Residential Use/Unit Residential Total C1-Podium	(m) 3,186 3,186 GFA (m ²) 8,074 8,074 GFA	ca 73 73 Population ca 189 189 Population	L/day 13,794 13,794 Domestic L/day 35,815 35,815 Domestic	L/s 0.16 0.16 L/s 0.41 0.41 0.41	Min Hr 0.13 0.13 Peak Min Hr 0.35 0.35 0.35	Peak Hr 0.40 0.40 ing Values Peak Hr 1.04 1.04 1.04	Max Day 0.21 0.21 (L/s) Max Day 0.54 0.54 (L/s)
Building Building	C1-3 Use/Unit Residential Use/Unit Residential Total C1-Podium Use/Unit	(m) 3,186 3,186 (m ²) 8,074 8,074 6FA (m ²)	ca 73 73 Population ca Population ca	L/day 13,794 13,794 Domestic L/day 35,815 35,815 Domestic L/day	L/s 0.16 0.16 L/s 0.41 0.41 0.41 Demand L/s	Min Hr 0.13 0.13 Peak Min Hr 0.35 0.35 0.35 Peak Min Hr	Peak Hr 0.40 0.40 ing Values Peak Hr 1.04 1.04 Peak Hr	Max Day 0.21 0.21 (L/s) Max Day 0.54 0.54 (L/s) Max Day
Building Building	C1-3 Use/Unit Residential C1-3 Use/Unit Residential C1-Podium Use/Unit Residential	(m) 3,186 3,186 (m ²) 8,074 8,074 8,074 GFA (m ²) 671	ca 73 73 Population ca Population ca 15	L/day 13,794 13,794 Domestic L/day 35,815 35,815 Domestic L/day 2,850	L/s 0.16 0.16 L/s 0.41 0.41 0.41 Demand L/s 0.03	Min Hr 0.13 0.13 Peak Min Hr 0.35 0.35 Peak Min Hr 0.03	Peak Hr 0.40 0.40 ing Values Peak Hr 1.04 1.04 Peak Hr 0.40	Max Day 0.21 0.21 0.21 0.21 Max Day 0.54 0.54 0.54 0.54 0.54 0.54 0.54
Building Building	Residential Total C1-3 Use/Unit Residential Total C1-Podium Use/Unit Residential Residential Retail	(m) 3,186 3,186 GFA (m ²) 8,074 8,074 8,074 GFA (m ²) 671 3,606	ca 73 73 Population ca Population ca 15 40	L/day 13,794 13,794 Domestic L/day 35,815 35,815 Domestic L/day 2,850 7,537	L/s 0.16 0.16 L/s 0.41 0.41 0.41 Demand L/s 0.03 0.09	Min Hr 0.13 0.13 Peak Min Hr 0.35 0.35 Peak Min Hr 0.03 0.07	Peak Hr 0.40 0.40 ing Values Peak Hr 1.04 1.04 Peak Hr 0.08 0.10	Max Day 0.21 0.21 0.21 (L/s) Max Day 0.54 0.54 0.54 0.54 0.54 0.54 0.54 0.54 0.54 0.54 0.54

Block	С	Summary	mmary								
Building	C1	GFA	Population	Domestic Demand		Peaking Values (L/s)		(L/s)			
	Use/Unit	(m ²)	са	L/day	L/s	Min Hr	Peak Hr	Max Day			
	Residential	46,820	1,090	207,043	2.40	2.01	5.99	3.12			
	Retail	3,606	40	7,537	0.09	0.07	0.10	0.10			
	Total	50,427	1,129	214,580	2.48	2.09	6.10	3.21			

Block	С	Summary	Summary							
		GFA	Population	Domestic	Demand	Peak	ing Values	(L/s)		
	Use/Unit	(m ²)	са	L/day	L/s	Min Hr	Peak Hr	Max Day		
	Residential	46,820	1,090	207,043	2.40	2.01	5.99	3.12		
	Retail	3,606	40	7,537	0.09	0.07	0.10	0.10		
	Total	50,427	1,129	214,580	2.48	2.09	6.10	3.21		

		Job No.					Rev.
AR	ARUP		277167 First Ca			ty	01
			ation	City of	ity of Toronto		
Job Title	2150 Lake Shore Boulevard	Drg. Ref.		See Ap	oendix B		
Calculation	Domestic Water Demand	Made by	C.G.	Date	13/01/2021	Chd.	F.F.

Block D

Building	g D1						
	Unit Type	Studio	1 BDRM	2 BDRM	3+ BDRM		
	People/Unit	1.4	1.4	2.1	3.1	Total Onits	
	D1-1	32	320	224	64	640	
	D1-Podium	0	3	2	0	5	

Building	D1-1	GFA	Population	Domestic Demand		Peaking Values (L/s)		(L/s)
	Use/Unit	(m²)	са	L/day	L/s	Min Hr	Peak Hr	Max Day
	Residential	49,931	1,162	220,704	2.55	2.15	6.39	3.32
	Total	49,931	1,162	220,704	2.55	2.15	6.39	3.32

Building	D1-2	GFA	Population	Domestic Demand		d Peaking Values (l		(L/s)
	Use/Unit	(m²)	са	L/day	L/s	Min Hr	Peak Hr	Max Day
	Employment	6,966	230	43,677	0.51	0.42	0.61	0.56
	Total	6,966	230	43,677	0.51	0.42	0.61	0.56

Building	D1-3	GFA	Population	Domestic Demand		Peaking Values (L/s)		
	Use/Unit	(m ²)	са	L/day	L/s	Min Hr	Peak Hr	Max Day
	Employment	14,990	495	93,990	1.09	0.91	1.31	1.20
	Total	14,990	495	93,990	1.09	0.91	1.31	1.20

Building	D1-Podium	GFA	Population	Domestic	Demand	Peak	ing Values	(L/s)
	Use/Unit	(m²)	са	L/day	L/s	Min Hr	Peak Hr	Max Day
	Residential	379	8	1,596	0.02	0.02	0.05	0.02
	Retail	1,758	19	3,674	0.04	0.04	0.05	0.05
	Employment	1,726	57	10,823	0.13	0.11	0.15	0.14
	Total	3,863	85	16,093	0.19	0.16	0.25	0.21

Block	D	Summary							
Building	D1	GFA	Population	Domestic	Domestic Demand		Peaking Values (L/s)		
	Use/Unit	(m²)	са	L/day	L/s	Min Hr	Peak Hr	Max Day	
	Residential	50,310	1,170	222,300	2.57	2.16	6.43	3.34	
	Retail	1,758	19	3,674	0.04	0.04	0.05	0.05	
	Employment	23,683	782	148,490	2	1	2	2	
	Total	75,751	1,971	374,463	4.33	3.64	8.55	5.28	

				Job No.				
ARUP		277167	277167 First Capital Realty					
		Member/Location City			City of Toronto			
Job Title	2150 Lake Shore Boulevard	Drg. Ref.		See App	oendix B			
Calculation	Domestic Water Demand	Made by	C.G.	Date	13/01/2021	Chd.	F.F.	

Building D2

Studio	1 BDRM	2 BDRM	3+ BDRM	Total Unite
1.4	1.4	2.1	3.1	Total Offics
29	292	205	58	584
0	3	2	1	6
	Studio 1.4 29 0	Studio 1 BDRM 1.4 1.4 29 292 0 3	Studio 1 BDRM 2 BDRM 1.4 1.4 2.1 29 292 205 0 3 2	Studio 1 BDRM 2 BDRM 3+ BDRM 1.4 1.4 2.1 3.1 29 292 205 58 0 3 2 1

Building	D2-1	GFA	Population	Domestic Demand		Peaking Values (L/s)		(L/s)
	Use/Unit	(m ²)	са	L/day	L/s	Min Hr	Peak Hr	Max Day
	Residential	45,467	1,060	201,343	2.33	1.96	5.83	3.03
	Total	45,467	1,060	201,343	2.33	1.96	5.83	3.03

Building	D2-2	GFA	Population	Domestic Demand		Peaking Values (L/s)		(L/s)
	Use/Unit	(m²)	са	L/day	L/s	Min Hr	Peak Hr	Max Day
	Employment	19,455	642	121,985	1.41	1.19	1.69	1.55
	Total	19,455	642	121,985	1.41	1.19	1.69	1.55

Buildin	D2-Podium	GFA	Population	Domestic	Demand	Peak	ing Values	(L/s)
	Use/Unit	(m²)	са	L/day	L/s	Min Hr	Peak Hr	Max Day
	Residential	423	12	2,185	0.03	0.02	0.06	0.03
	Retail	3,809	42	7,960	0.09	0.08	0.11	0.10
	Employment	1,621	53	10,163	0.12	0.10	0.14	0.13
	Total	5,853	107	20,308	0.24	0.20	0.31	0.26

Block D Buildin(D2

C	D	Summary							
ing	D2	GFA	Population	Domestic	Demand	Peaking Values (L/s)			
	Use/Unit	(m ²)	са	L/day	L/s	Min Hr	Peak Hr	Max Day	
	Residential	45,890	1,071	203,528	2.36	1.98	5.89	3.06	
	Retail	3,809	42	7,960	0.09	0.08	0.11	0.10	
	Employment	21,076	696	132,148	1.53	1.28	1.84	1.68	
	Total	70,775	1,809	343,636	3.98	3.34	7.84	4.85	

				Job No.				
ARUP		277167	277167 First Capital Realty					
	Member/Location City			City of Toronto				
Job Title	2150 Lake Shore Boulevard	Drg. Ref.		See Ap	oendix B			
Calculation	Domestic Water Demand	Made by	C.G.	Date	13/01/2021	Chd.	F.F.	

Building D2

Unit Type	Studio	1 BDRM	2 BDRM	3+ BDRM	Total Unite
People/Unit	1.4	1.4	2.1	3.1	Total Offics
D3-1	29	286	200	57	572
D3-2	23	230	161	46	460
D3-3	27	274	192	55	548
D3-Podium	1	14	9	3	27

Building	D3-1	GFA	Population	Domestic Demand		Peaking Values (L/s)		(L/s)
	Use/Unit	(m²)	са	L/day	L/s	Min Hr	Peak Hr	Max Day
	Residential	44,598	1,038	197,163	2.28	1.92	5.70	2.97
	Total	44,598	1,038	197,163	2.28	1.92	5.70	2.97

Building	D3-2	GFA	Population	Domestic Demand		Peaking Values (L/s)		(L/s)
	Use/Unit	(m ²)	са	L/day	L/s	Min Hr	Peak Hr	Max Day
	Residential	35,986	835	158,631	1.84	1.54	4.59	2.39
	Total	35,986	835	158,631	1.84	1.54	4.59	2.39

Building	D3-3	GFA	Population	Domestic	c Demand Peakin		eaking Values (L/s)	
	Use/Unit	(m ²)	са	L/day	L/s	Min Hr	Peak Hr	Max Day
	Residential	42,764	995	189,069	2.19	1.84	5.47	2.84
	Total	42,764	995	189,069	2.19	1.84	5.47	2.84

Building	D3-Podium	GFA	Population	Domestic Demand		Peak	ing Values	(L/s)
	Use/Unit	(m²)	са	L/day	L/s	Min Hr	Peak Hr	Max Day
	Residential	2,123	49	9,348	0.11	0.09	0.27	0.14
	Retail	775	9	1,620	0.02	0.02	0.02	0.02
	School	8,841	1,100	115,500	1.34	1.12	1.60	1.47
	Community	1,355	15	2,831	0.03	0.03	0.04	0.04
	Total	13,094	1,173	129,298	1.50	1.26	1.94	1.67

Block	D	Summary						
Building	D3	GFA	Population	Domestic	Demand	Peaking Values (L/s)		
	Use/Unit	(m²)	са	L/day	L/s	Min Hr	Peak Hr	Max Day
	Residential	125,472	2,917	554,211	6.41	5.39	16.04	8.34
	Retail	2,129	23	4,450	0.05	0.04	0.06	0.06
	School	8,841	1,100	115,500	1.34	1.12	1.60	1.47
	Total	136,442	4,040	674,161	7.80	6.55	17.70	9.87

Block	D	Summary						
		GFA	Population	Domestic	Demand	Peak	ing Values	(L/s)
	Use/Unit	(m ²)	са	L/day	L/s	Min Hr	Peak Hr	Max Day
	Residential	221,673	5,158	980,039	11.34	9.53	28.36	14.75
	Retail	7,696	85	16,084	0.19	0.16	0.22	0.20
	Employment	44,759	1,477	280,638	3.25	2.73	3.90	3.57
	School	8,841	1,100	115,500	1.34	1.12	1.60	1.47
	Total	282,968	7,820	1,392,261	16.11	13.54	34.08	19.99

		Job No.					Rev.
AR	ARUP			F	First Capital Realty		01
71101		Member/Loc	ation	City of	City of Toronto		
Job Title	2150 Lake Shore Boulevard	Drg. Ref.		See Ap	oendix B		
Calculation	Domestic Water Demand		C.G.	Date	13/01/2021	Chd.	F.F.

Block E

Building	g E					
	Unit Type	Studio	1 BDRM	2 BDRM	3+ BDRM	Total Unite
	People/Unit	1.4	1.4	2.1	3.1	
	E-1	27	268	187	54	536
	E-2	4	42	30	8	84
	E-Podium	0	4	3	1	8

Building	E-1	GFA	Population	tion Domestic Demand		Peaking Values (L/s)		
	Use/Unit	(m²)	са	L/day	L/s	Min Hr	Peak Hr	Max Day
	Residential	41,745	973	184,889	2.14	1.80	5.35	2.78
	Total	41,745	973	184,889	2.14	1.80	5.35	2.78

Building	E-2	GFA	Population	Domestic	Demand	Peaking Values (L		(L/s)
	Use/Unit	(m²)	са	L/day	L/s	Min Hr	Peak Hr	Max Day
	Residential	6,538	152	28,918	0.33	0.28	0.84	0.44
	Total	6,538	152	28,918	0.33	0.28	0.84	0.44

Building	E-Podium	GFA	Population	Domestic	Demand	Peaking Values (L/s)		
	Use/Unit	(m²)	са	L/day	L/s	Min Hr	Peak Hr	Max Day
	Residential	621	15	2,850	0.03	0.03	0.08	0.04
	Retail	3,084	34	6,446	0.07	0.06	0.09	0.08
	Community	6,039	66	12,622	0.15	0.12	0.18	0.16
	Total	9,745	115	21,918	0.25	0.21	0.35	0.29

Block E Summary **Buildin**(E GFA Population **Domestic Demand** Peaking Values (L/s) Use/Unit Min Hr Peak Hr Max Day (m^2) са L/day L/s Residential 1,140 216,657 2.11 48,905 2.51 6.27 3.26 Retail 9,123 100 6,446 0.07 0.06 0.09 0.08 1,241 Total 58,028 223,103 2.58 2.17 6.36 3.34

Block E

Summary

	GFA	Population	lation Domestic Demand Peaking Values			(L/s)	
Use/Unit	(m ²)	са	L/day	L/s	Min Hr	Peak Hr	Max Day
Residential	48,905	1,140	216,657	2.51	2.11	6.27	3.26
Retail	9,123	100	6,446	0.07	0.06	0.09	0.08
Total	58,028	1,241	223,103	2.58	2.17	6.36	3.34

		Job No.					Rev.	
ARUP		277167 First Capital Realty				ty	01	
	/ III III		ation	City of	ity of Toronto			
Job Title	Job Title 2150 Lake Shore Boulevard			See Ap	pendix B			
Calculation	Domestic Water Demand	Made by	C.G.	Date	13/01/2021	Chd.	F.F.	

Block F

Building	g F							
	Unit Type	Studio	1 BDRM	2 BDRM	3+ BDRM	Total Unite		
	People/Unit	1.4	1.4	2.1	3.1	Total Offics		
	F-1	30	301	210	60	601		
	F-2	2	16	11	3	32		
	F-Podium	2	24	17	5	48		
Buildin	F-1	GFA	Population	Domestic	Demand	Peak	ing Values	(L/s)
	Use/Unit	(m ²)	са	L/day	L/s	Min Hr	Peak Hr	Max Day
	Residential	46,899	1,090	207,176	2.40	2.01	5.99	3.12
	Total	46,899	1,090	207,176	2.40	2.01	5.99	3.12

Building	F-2	GFA	Population	Domestic	Demand	Peak	ing Values	(L/s)
	Use/Unit	(m²)	са	L/day	L/s	Min Hr	Peak Hr	Max Day
	Residential	2,521	58	10,944	0.13	0.11	0.32	0.16
	Total	2,521	58	10,944	0.13	0.11	0.32	0.16

Building	F-Podium	GFA	Population	Domestic	Demand	Peaking Values (L/s)			
	Use/Unit	(m²)	са	L/day	L/s	Min Hr	Peak Hr	Max Day	
	Residential	3,780	88	16,644	0.19	0.16	0.48	0.25	
	Retail	2,863	31	5,983	0.07	0.06	0.08	0.08	
	Total	6,643	119	22,627	0.26	0.22	0.56	0.33	

Block	F	Summary						
Building	F	GFA	Population	Domestic	Demand	Peak	ing Values	(L/s)
	Use/Unit	(m²)	са	L/day	L/s	Min Hr	Peak Hr	Max Day
	Residential	53,200	1,236	234,764	2.72	2.28	6.79	3.53
	Retail	2,863	31	5,983	0.07	0.06	0.08	0.08
	Total	56,063	1,267	240,747	2.79	2.34	6.88	3.61

Block F Summary GFA Population **Domestic Demand** Peaking Values (L/s) Use/Unit Min Hr Peak Hr (m^2) са L/day L/s Max Day Residential 1,236 2.28 53,200 234,764 2.72 6.79 3.53 Retail 2,863 31 5,983 0.07 0.06 0.08 0.08 Total 1,267 2.79 6.88 56,063 240,747 2.34 3.61

<u>ــــــــــــــــــــــــــــــــــــ</u>		Job No.			Sheet No.		
AR	UP	277167		F	irst Capital Real	ty	
_ _ _ _ '		Member/Lo	cation	City of	Toronto		
Job Title	2150 Lake Shore Boulevard	Drg. Ref.		See App	oendix B		
Calculation	Fire Protection Requirements	Made by	C.G.	Date	05/02/2021	Chd.	F.F.
FIRE FLOW	CALCULATIONS - INPUTS & ASSUMPTIONS						
Fire Flow F	ormula: $F = 220C\sqrt{A}$ where F = Required Fire Flow (L/min.) A = Effective Area (m2) C = Construction Type Coeffecient	Fire Unde Water Su	erwriters : pply for I	Survey (Fl Public Fire	JS) Protection		
C - Constru	ction Type Coefficient						
	1.5 Wood Frame 1 Ordinary Construction 0.8 Non-combustible Construction 0.6 Fire-resistive Construction All buildings for the 2150 Lake Shore Boulevard De construction (i.e. fully protected frame, floors, and reduction)	velopment si pofs).	ite are as	sumed to	meet fire-resist	iive	
A - Effectiv	e Area						
A - Effectiv	e Area The total floor area (including all storeys, but exclud being considered. For fire-resistive buildings, consid any floors immediately above them up to eight, whe vertical openings and exterior vertical communication the area of the largest floor plus 25% of each of the All buildings for the 2150 Lake Shore Boulevard De	ling basemen der the two la n the vertica ons are prope two immedia velopment si	nts at lea argest ad I opening erly prote ately adjo ite are as	st 50% be joining flo js are inac cted (one oining floo ssumed to	flow grade) in th ors plus 50% of dequately protec hour rating), co rs. meet fire-resist	ne buildi Feach o cted. If f onsider o	ing If the only
A - Effectiv	e Area The total floor area (including all storeys, but exclude being considered. For fire-resistive buildings, considered any floors immediately above them up to eight, whe vertical openings and exterior vertical communication the area of the largest floor plus 25% of each of the All buildings for the 2150 Lake Shore Boulevard De construction, and therefore the value for A is considered two adjoining floors, excluding basements. The pool considered the largest floor area.	ling basemen der the two la n the vertica ons are prope two immedia velopment si lered to be th ium for each	nts at lea argest ad I opening erly prote ately adjo ite are as ne area o building	st 50% be joining flo s are inac cted (one oining floo sumed to f the large is up to tw	elow grade) in th ors plus 50% of dequately protec hour rating), co rs. meet fire-resist est floor plus 25' vo floors tall and	ne buildi feach o cted. If f onsider sive % of the d is	ing If the only e
A - Effectiv	e Area The total floor area (including all storeys, but exclude being considered. For fire-resistive buildings, consid- any floors immediately above them up to eight, whe vertical openings and exterior vertical communication the area of the largest floor plus 25% of each of the All buildings for the 2150 Lake Shore Boulevard De construction, and therefore the value for A is consid- two adjoining floors, excluding basements. The pool considered the largest floor area.	ling basemen der the two la n the vertica ons are prope two immedia velopment si lered to be th ium for each	nts at lea argest ad l opening erly prote ately adjo ite are as ne area o building	st 50% be joining flo is are inac cted (one oining floo ssumed to f the large is up to tw	elow grade) in th ors plus 50% of dequately protect hour rating), co rs. meet fire-resist est floor plus 25° vo floors tall and	ne buildi Feach o cted. If t onsider tive % of the d is	ing If Ihe only
A - Effectiv Reduction 1) Assessm	e Area The total floor area (including all storeys, but exclude being considered. For fire-resistive buildings, considered any floors immediately above them up to eight, when vertical openings and exterior vertical communication the area of the largest floor plus 25% of each of the All buildings for the 2150 Lake Shore Boulevard Deconstruction, and therefore the value for A is considered the largest floor area. and Surcharge Factors: ent of Contents Fire Hazard:	ling basemen der the two la n the vertica ons are prope two immedia velopment si lered to be th ium for each	nts at lea argest ad I opening erly prote ately adjo ite are as ne area o building	st 50% be joining flo is are inac cted (one oining floo sumed to f the large is up to tw	elow grade) in th ors plus 50% of dequately protect hour rating), co rs. meet fire-resist est floor plus 25° vo floors tall and	te buildi f each o cted. If f onsider tive % of the d is	ing if the only e
A - Effectiv Reduction 1) Assessm	e Area The total floor area (including all storeys, but exclude being considered. For fire-resistive buildings, considered any floors immediately above them up to eight, whenvertical openings and exterior vertical communication the area of the largest floor plus 25% of each of the All buildings for the 2150 Lake Shore Boulevard Deconstruction, and therefore the value for A is considered the largest floor area. And Surcharge Factors: ent of Contents Fire Hazard: The value calculated above can be reduced for occo fire hazard. According to the FUS Appendix, Apartm Public Buildings, and Schools are considered low h include industrial items such as chemical works, soli- woodworking.	ling basemen der the two la n the vertica ons are prope two immedia velopment si lered to be th ium for each upancies ham nents, Dwelli azard (-15%)	nts at lea argest ad l opening erly prote ately adjo ite are as be area o building ving a lov ngs, Insti). Examp ng, varnis	st 50% be joining flo cted (one oining floo sumed to f the large is up to tw v fire haza tutions, Li les of high sh and pa	elow grade) in th ors plus 50% of dequately protect hour rating), co rs. meet fire-resist est floor plus 25 vo floors tall and ard, or increased braries, Office E hazard occupa int works, and	te buildi each o cted. If f onsider tive % of the d is d for hig Building ancies	ing if the only e
A - Effectiv	e Area The total floor area (including all storeys, but exclude being considered. For fire-resistive buildings, considered provide any floors immediately above them up to eight, where vertical openings and exterior vertical communication the area of the largest floor plus 25% of each of the All buildings for the 2150 Lake Shore Boulevard De construction, and therefore the value for A is considered the largest floor area. All buildings for the 2150 Lake Shore Boulevard De construction, and therefore the value for A is considered the largest floor area. and Surcharge Factors: ent of Contents Fire Hazard: The value calculated above can be reduced for occo fire hazard. According to the FUS Appendix, Apartm Public Buildings, and Schools are considered low h include industrial items such as chemical works, solwoodworking. -25% Non-Combustible Low Haz	ling basemen der the two la n the vertica ons are prope two immedia velopment si lered to be th ium for each upancies ha nents, Dwelli azard (-15%) vent extracti	nts at lea argest ad l opening erly prote ately adjo ite are as be area o building ving a lov ngs, Insti). Examp ng, varnis	st 50% be joining flo cted (one onining floo sumed to f the large is up to tw v fire haza tutions, Li les of high sh and pa	elow grade) in th ors plus 50% of dequately protect hour rating), co rs. meet fire-resist est floor plus 25 vo floors tall and ard, or increased braries, Office E hazard occupa int works, and	te buildi each o cted. If f onsider work of the d is d for hig Building ancies	ing if the only e
A - Effectiv Reduction 1) Assessm	e Area The total floor area (including all storeys, but exclude being considered. For fire-resistive buildings, considered provide the up to eight, where vertical openings and exterior vertical communication the area of the largest floor plus 25% of each of the All buildings for the 2150 Lake Shore Boulevard Deconstruction, and therefore the value for A is considered the largest floor area. All buildings for the 2150 Lake Shore Boulevard Deconstruction, and therefore the value for A is considered the largest floor area. and Surcharge Factors: ent of Contents Fire Hazard: The value calculated above can be reduced for occo fire hazard. According to the FUS Appendix, Apartm Public Buildings, and Schools are considered low h include industrial items such as chemical works, solwoodworking. -25% Non-Combustible -15% Limited Combustible 0% Combustible	ling basemen der the two la n the vertica ons are prope two immedia velopment si lered to be th ium for each upancies ha nents, Dwelli azard (-15% vent extracti	nts at lea argest ad l opening erly prote ately adjo ite are as be area o building ving a lov ngs, Insti). Examp ng, varnis	st 50% be joining flo is are inac cted (one oining floo sumed to f the large is up to tw v fire haza tutions, Li les of high sh and pa	elow grade) in th ors plus 50% of dequately protect hour rating), co rs. meet fire-resist est floor plus 25° vo floors tall and ard, or increased braries, Office E hazard occupa int works, and	te buildi Feach o cted. If f onsider % of the d is d for hig Building ancies	ing if the only e
A - Effectiv	e Area The total floor area (including all storeys, but exclude being considered. For fire-resistive buildings, considered provide any floors immediately above them up to eight, where vertical openings and exterior vertical communication the area of the largest floor plus 25% of each of the area of the largest floor plus 25% of each of the construction, and therefore the value for A is considered the largest floor area. All buildings for the 2150 Lake Shore Boulevard Deconstruction, and therefore the value for A is considered the largest floor area. and Surcharge Factors: ent of Contents Fire Hazard: The value calculated above can be reduced for occo fire hazard. According to the FUS Appendix, Apartm Public Buildings, and Schools are considered low h include industrial items such as chemical works, solwoodworking. -25% Non-Combustible -15% Limited Combustible 0% Combustible 15% Free Burning	ling basemen der the two la n the vertica ons are prope two immedia velopment si lered to be th ium for each upancies ha nents, Dwelli azard (-15%) vent extracti	nts at lea argest ad l opening erly prote ately adjo ite are as be area o building ving a lov ngs, Insti). Examp ng, varnis	st 50% be joining flo cted (one bining floo ssumed to f the large is up to tw v fire haza tutions, Li les of high sh and pa	How grade) in the ors plus 50% of dequately protect hour rating), co rs. meet fire-resist est floor plus 25 vo floors tall and ard, or increased braries, Office E hazard occupation int works, and	te buildi each o cted. If f onsider % of the d is d for hig Building ancies	ing if the only e

		Job No.		Shee	et No.		Rev.	
AR	RUP		277167		Fi	rst Capital Real	ty	
			Member/Lo	cation	Citv of T	oronto		
Job Title	2150 Lake Shore Boulevard		Drg. Ref.		See App	endix B		
Calculation	Ziso Date shore Doulevalu		Made by	C.G.	Date	05/02/2021	Chd.	FF
	Fire Protection Requiremen	its		0.01		00,01,1011		1.1.
!) Sprinkle	r Reduction System:							
	A reduction of up to 50% for con- reduction/surcharge 1) depend maximum of 30% for an adequistandards. Additional credit of and fire department hose lines will depend upon the extent to and beyond the fire area. Norr supervision including water flo for a fully supervised system.	omplete automat ding upon adequ uately designed s up to 10% may required. The p which the system nally this reducti w and conrol val	tic sprinkler protect acy of the system system confirming be granted if the v percentage reducti m is judged to red on will not be the lve alarm service.	tion (appli . The cred to NFPA vater supp on made f uce the po maximum Additional	led to the lit for the 13 and o ly is stan for an aut ossibility o allowed v I credit m	result after system with be ther NFPA spri dard for both th omatic sprinkle of fires spreadin without proper s ay be given of	e a nkler ne syste er syste ng withi system up to 1	em m n D%
) Fire Sen	All buildings for the 2150 Lake (30%). The water supply is a r provided (10%). System is ass applied.	Shore Boulevar new network and sumed to be fully	rd Development si therefore standar v supervised (10%	te will hav d water co). A total c	e NFPA onnectior redit of 5	13 sprinkler sys is are assumed 0% reduction h	stems I to be nas bee	n
	A surcharge (applied to the re- of the fire area under consider buildings being exposed, the s provision of automatic sprinkle exposed buildings, and the eff one side generally should not	sult after reduction ration. The perce separation, openiers and/or outside rect of hillside loc exceed the follow	on/surcharge 1) a entage shall deper ings in the expose e sprinklers in the cations on the pos wing limits:	pplied for s nd on the h ed building buildings sible sprea	structures neight, ar s, length exposed ad of the	s exposed withi ea, and constru and height of e the occupany fire. The charg	in 45 m uction o exposur of the e for ar	eters f the e, ıy
	Separation	Charge	The total	percentag	e shall be	e the sum for a	ll sides,	but
	0 to 3m	25%	shall not e	exceed 75	%.			
	3.1 to 10m	20%						
	10.1m to 20m	15%						
	20.1m to 30m	10%						
	30.1m to 45m	5%						
	For all buildings for the 2150 L above have been applied for a	ake Shore Bould adjacent building	evard Developme s, not considering	nt site, the reduction	separati s for new	on surcharges construction a	indicate nd	ed

		Job No.		She	Sheet No.		Rev.
ARUP		277167		Fi	rst Capital Real	ty	
		Member/Loc	cation	City of T			
Job Title	2150 Lake Shore Boulevard	Drg. Ref.		See App	endix B		
Calculation	Fire Protection Requirements	Made by	C.G.	Date	05/02/2021	Chd.	F.F.

FIRE FLOW CALCULATIONS - SUMMARY

Using the above noted calculation and reduction/surcharge factors, the required fire flow was calculated for each building, as shown on the following pages. A summary of required fire flow (FF) is below:

Building	FF (L/min)	FF (L/s)	US GPM	
A1	13000	220	3434	<use case<="" for="" test="" th=""></use>
A1 Market	7000	120	1849	
A2	8000	127	2113	
A3	9000	147	2378	
A4	9000	150	2378	
B1	8000	138	2113	
B2	10000	163	2642	
C1	9000	153	2378	
D1	8000	134	2113	
D2	8000	134	2113	
D3	10000	161	2642	
E1	10000	163	2642	
F1	6000	92	1585	

To test the network on a worst-case scenario, a fire will be applied at Building A1, along with Maximum Day Demands across the development and surrounding neighbourhoods.

		Job No.		She	et No.		Rev.	
AR	UP	277167		Fi	rst Capital Realt	ty		
		Member/Loc	cation	City of 1	City of Toronto			
Job Title	2150 Lake Shore Boulevard	Drg. Ref.		See App	endix B			
Calculation	Fire Protection Requirements	Made by	C.G.	Date	14/01/2021	Chd.	F.F.	

FIRE FLOW CALCULATIONS - BLOCK A SUMMARY

Building	Fire Flow (L/s)	
A1	220	<- Worst Case
A1 Market	120	
A2	127	1
A3	147	1
A4	153	1

					Job No.		She	et No.		Rev.
AR					277167		Fi	irst Capital Rea	lty	
1 11					Member/Loo	cation	City of 1	Toronto		
Job Title	2150 Jaka				Drg. Ref.		See Apr	pendix B		
Calculation	2150 Lake	Shore Boulevard			Made by	ſG	Date	14/01/2021	Chd.	
	Fire Protec	tion Requiremer	nts			0.0.		14/01/2021		F.F.
FIRE FLOW	CALCULATIO	NS - A1								
1) Fire Flov	v Formula:	$F = 220C\sqrt{A}$			Fire Unde	rwriters S	Survey (Fl	US)		
		where			Water Su	oply for P	ublic Fire	Protection		
		F = Required Fi	re Flow (L/m	in.)						
		A = Effective Ar	ea (m²)							
		C = Constructio	n Type Coef	fecient						
		1.5	Wood Fram	e						
		1	Ordinary Co	nstruction						
	Cala at	0.8	Non-combu	stible Const	iruction					
	Select	0.6	Fire-resistiv	e Construct	ion					
E	ffective Area:	For fire-resistive	buildings w	ith 1-hour fir	re rating, th	ie area sł	nall be the	e total area of tl	ne large	st
		floor plus 25% c	of the two adj	oining floors	S.					
	Largest Floor	4210.3	m ²							
4	Adjacent Floor	4210.3	m 2							
A	djacent Floor	2456	m ⁻			_				
Total F	loor Area, A =	5876.88	m			F	₁ = 10	119 L/min		
						F	i ₁ = 10	000 (nearest 1	000 L/r	nin.)
Reduction	and Surcharge	e Factors:								
2) Assessm	ent of Content	ts Fire Hazard:								
_,	-25%	Non-Combustib	е							
	-15%	Limited Combus	tible							
	0%	Combustible								
	15%	Free Burning								
Select	25%	Rapid Burning			Red	uction (2))= 1	500 L/min		
						F	₂ = 11	500 L/min		
3) Sprinkle	r Reduction Sy	stem:								
	50%	Reduction for A	utomatic Spr	inkler Syste	m per NFF	PA 13		7EQ 1 /min		
					Reu	uction (3))= -5 :_ r			
1) Fire Con	aration Charac					Г	₃ - 5	750 L/min		
4) File Sept	Separation	Building Face	Quantity	Charge	1					
	0 to 3m			25%	1					
	3.1 to 10m	N, NW	2	20%	1					
	10.1m to 20m	W	1	15%]					
	20.1m to 30m	S	1	10%						
	30.1m to 45m	1		5%						
		Surcharg	e Factor (4)	65%		F	$F_4 = F_2 \times S$	Surcharge Facto	or	
						F	f ₄ = 7	475 L/min		
5) Fire Flov	v Requirement	s:								
F=	13225	L/min.	F =	$F_3 + F_4$		(with re	duction d	and surcharge j	factors)	
F=	13000	L/min.	(nearest 10	00 L/min.)		(2,000 L	_/min. < F	< 45,000 L/mi	n.)	
F=	3434	US GPM								
F=	220	L/s								
					Job No.		She	et No.		Rev.
--------------	----------------	------------------------	-----------------------	---------------	--------------	------------	-------------------------------	--------------------	----------	-------
					277167		Fi	irst Capital Rea	lty	
					Member/Loc	ation	City of 1	Toronto		
Job Title	2150 Jaka				Drg. Ref.		See Apr	pendix B		
Calculation	2150 Lake	Shore Boulevard			Made by	CG	Date	14/01/2021	Chd.	
	Fire Protec	tion Requiremer	nts			0.0.		14/01/2021		г.г.
FIRE FLOW	CALCULATIO	NS - A1 Market								
1) Fire Flov	v Formula:	$F = 220C\sqrt{A}$			Fire Under	writers :	Survey (Fl	US)		
		where			Water Sup	oply for F	Public Fire	Protection		
		F = Required Fi	re Flow (L/m	in.)						
		A = Effective Ar	ea (m²)							
		C = Constructio	n Type Coef	fecient						
		1.5	Wood Fram	e						
		1	Ordinary Co	nstruction						
1	Salast	0.8	Fire registiv		ion					
1	Select	0.0								
E	ffective Area:	For fire-resistive	buildings w	ith 1-hour fi	e rating, th	e area sl	hall be the	e total area of th	ne large	st
	Largest Floor	100r plus 25% 0	m^2	oining tioon	5.					
	Largest Floor	1060	111 m ²							
		1060	111 2							
	Adjacent Floor	1060	m ⁻			_				
I otal F	loor Area, A =	1590.00	m			F	- ₁ = 5	263 L/min		
		F				F	⁻ ₁ = 5	000 (nearest 1	000 L/n	nin.)
Reduction	and Surcharge	e Factors:								
2) Assessm	ent of Content	ts Fire Hazard:	L.							
	-25%	Non-Compustib	le tible							
	-13%	Combustible								
	15%	Free Burning								
Select	25%	Rapid Burning			Redu	uction (2) =	750 L/min		
	K					È	, =,= 5	750 L/min		
3) Sprinkle	r Reduction Sy	stem:					-			
, ,	50%	Reduction for A	utomatic Spr	inkler Syste	m per NFP	A 13				
					Redu	uction (3) = -2	875 L/min		
						F	- ₃ = 2	875 L/min		
4) Fire Sep	aration Charge	2			1					
	Separation	Building Face	Quantity	Charge	-					
	U to 3m			25%						
	3.1 to 10m		3	20%						
	20.1m to 20m			10%						
	30 1m to 45m	1		5%						
		Surcharo	e Factor (4)	75%		F	$F_{a} = F_{2} \times S$	Surcharge Facto	or	
		· ··· ··· / · 9			1	F	$= \frac{1}{4} = \frac{1}{4}$	313 L/min		
5) Fire Flov	v Requirement	s:								
F=	7188	L/min.	F =	$F_3 + F_4$		(with re	eduction a	and surcharae i	factors)	
F=	7000	L/min	(nearest 10	00 L/min)		(2.000	L/min_< F	< 45,000 I /mi	n.)	
F=	1849	US GPM	1.100,000 10			(2)000	_,		,	
F=	120	L/s								
1										

F.F.
F.F.
F.F.
F.F. ∋st
≥st
∍st
∋st
∍st
∋st
∋st
∍st
est
nin.)
)

					Job No.		Shee	et No.		Rev.
ΛD	IID			-	277167		Fi	rst Capital Real	tv	
	UI			-	Member/Loo	cation	C:+		-7	
Job Title					Dra Ref			oronto		
000 1100	2150 Lake	Shore Boulevard			Dig. Rei.		See App	endix B		
Calculation	Fire Protec	tion Requiremer	nts		Made by	C.G.	Date	14/01/2021	Chd.	F.F.
FIRE FLOW C	ALCULATION	NS - A3								
1) Fire Flow	Formula:	$F = 220C\sqrt{A}$			Fire Unde	rwriters S	Survey (Fl	JS)		
,		where			Water Su	oply for P	ublic Fire	Protection		
		F = Required Fi	re Flow (L/m	in.)						
		A = Effective Are	ea (m²)							
		C = Construction	n Type Coef	fecient						
		1.5	Wood Fram	е						
		1	Ordinary Co	onstruction						
		0.8	Non-combu	stible Const	ruction					
	Select	0.6	Fire-resistiv	e Constructi	ion					
Eff	ective Area:	For fire-resistive floor plus 25% c	buildings w f the two ad	ith 1-hour fir oining floors	re rating, th s.	ie area sł	nall be the	e total area of th	ne large	st
L	argest Floor	. 3140.4	m ²	Ū						
Ad	liacent Floor	3140.4	m ²							
۵d	liacent Floor	2366.8	m ²							
Total Ela		4517.20	m ²			F	: _ o	972 I /min		
TULAI FIU	UI AIEa, A -	4317.20	m			F	1 = 0	012 L/IIIII 000 (nearest 1	000 I /n	nin)
Reduction a	nd Surcharge	Factors:				·	1 9		000 L/ II	
	nt of Contond	- Fire Hazard								
Z) ASSESSINE	-25%	Non-Combustib								
F	-15%	Limited Combus	tible							
F	0%	Combustible								
	15%	Free Burning								
Select	25%	Rapid Burning			Red	uction (2)) = 1	350 L/min		
L						F	- - = 10	350 L/min		
3) Sprinkler I	Reduction Sv	stem:					2			
[50%	Reduction for A	utomatic Spr	inkler Syste	m per NFF	PA 13				
_		-			Red	uction (3)) = -5	175 L/min		
						F	s ₃ = 5	175 L/min		
4) Fire Separ	ation Charge									
	Separation	Building Face	Quantity	Charge						
0) to 3m			25%						
3	3.1 to 10m			20%						
1	10.1m to 20m	E, W	2	15%						
2	20.1m to 30m			10%						
3	30.1m to 45m	S	1	5%						
		Surcharg	e Factor (4)	35%		F	$F_4 = F_2 \times S$	Surcharge Facto	or	
						F	⁴ ₄ = 3	623 L/min		
5) Fire Flow	Requirement	s:								
F=	8798	L/min.	F =	$F_3 + F_4$		(with re	eduction d	and surcharge j	factors)	
F=	9000	L/min.	(nearest 10	00 L/min.)		(2,000 l	L/min. < F	⁻ < 45,000 L/mii	n.)	
F=	2378	US GPM								
F=	147	L/s								

					Job No.		She	et No.		Rev.
AR	IIP				277167		Fi	rst Capital Rea	lty	
				-	Member/Loc	cation	City of 1	Foronto		
Job Title	2150 Lake	Shore Boulevard			Drg. Ref.		See App	pendix B		
Calculation	Fire Protec	tion Requiremen	its		Made by	C.G.	Date	14/01/2021	Chd.	F.F.
FIRE FLOW	CALCULATION	NS - A4		I						
1) Eire Elou	, Formula:	E = 220 <i>C</i> / 4			Fire I Inde	rwritars S	urvev (Fl	(5)		
1) 1 11 2 1 10 1	r onnuiu.	r = 220CVA where			Water Sur	oply for Pl	ublic Fire	Protection		
		F = Required Fir	e Flow (L/mi	n.)		,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,				
		A = Effective Are	ea (m²)							
		C = Construction	n Type Coeff	ecient						
		1.5	Wood Fram	е						
		1	Ordinary Co	nstruction						
		0.8	Non-combus	stible Const	ruction					
	Select	0.6	Fire-resistive	e Constructi	on					
E	ffective Area:	For fire-resistive	buildings wi f the two adi	th 1-hour fir	e rating, th	e area sh	all be the	e total area of tl	ne large	st
	Largest Floor	2605 5	, m ²	5						
۵	diacent Floor	2605.5	m ²							
Δ	diacent Floor	2163 3	m ²							
Total Fl	oor Area $A =$	3797 70	m ²			F	. = 8	135 I /min		
Total I I	00171100,71 -	0101.10	111			E	1 0 - 0	000 (nogract 1	0001/m	ain I
Reduction	and Surcharge	Factors:				1	1 0		000 L/11	
	una sarcharge	i actors.								
2) Assessm	ent of Content	s Fire Hazard:								
	-25%	Non-Compustible	e tible							
	-15%	Combustible	lible							
	15%	Free Burning								
Select	25%	Rapid Burning						200 I /min		
					Red	uction (2)	= 1			
					Red	uction (2) F	= 1	200 L/min		
3) Sprinkler	r Reduction Sv	item:			Red	uction (2) F	2 = 1 2 = 9	200 L/min		
3) Sprinkleı	Reduction Sys	<i>stem:</i>	utomatic Spr	inkler Syste	Red m per NFP	uction (2) F PA 13	2 = 1 2 = 9	200 L/min		
3) Sprinkleı	Reduction Sys	stem: Reduction for Au	utomatic Spr	inkler Syste	Red m per NFP Red	uction (2) F PA 13 uction (3)	= 1 $_{2} =$ 9 $_{1} =$ -4	200 L/min 600 L/min		
3) Sprinkleı	r Reduction Sys	stem: Reduction for Au	utomatic Spr	inkler Syste	Red m per NFP Red	uction (2) F PA 13 uction (3) F	a = 1 $a_2 = 9$ a = -4 $a_3 = 4$	200 L/min 600 L/min 600 L/min		
3) Sprinkleı 4) Fire Sepc	r Reduction Sys	stem: Reduction for Au	utomatic Spr	inkler Syste	Red m per NFP Red	uction (2) F PA 13 uction (3) F	= 1 $_{2} =$ 9 $_{3} =$ -4 $_{3} =$ 4	200 L/min 600 L/min 600 L/min		
3) Sprinkleı 4) Fire Sepc	Reduction Systems 50% Diration Charge Separation	Reduction for Au	utomatic Spr Quantity	Charge	Red m per NFP Red	uction (2) F PA 13 uction (3) F	a = 1 $a_2 = 9$ a = -4 $a_3 = 4$	200 L/min 600 L/min 600 L/min		
3) Sprinkleı 4) Fire Sepc	r Reduction Sys 50% aration Charge Separation 0 to 3m 3.1 to 10m	stem: Reduction for Au	utomatic Spr Quantity	inkler Syste Charge 25%	Red m per NFP Red	uction (2) F 2A 13 uction (3) F	a = 1 $a_2 = 9$ a = -4 $a_3 = 4$	200 L/min 600 L/min 600 L/min		
3) Sprinkleı 4) Fire Sepc	reduction System 50% aration Charge Separation 0 to 3m 3.1 to 10m 10.1m to 20m	Building Face	utomatic Spr Quantity	Charge 25% 20%	Red m per NFP Red	uction (2) F 2A 13 uction (3) F	a = 1 $a_2 = 9$ a = -4 $a_3 = 4$	200 L/min 600 L/min 600 L/min		
3) Sprinkleı 4) Fire Sepc	Reduction System 50% Separation 0 to 3m 3.1 to 10m 10.1m to 20m 20.1m to 30m	Building Face	Utomatic Spr Quantity 1 1	Charge 25% 20% 15% 10%	Red m per NFP Red	uction (2) F 2A 13 uction (3) F	a = 1 $a_2 = 9$ a = -4 $a_3 = 4$	200 L/min 600 L/min 600 L/min		
3) Sprinkleı 4) Fire Sepc	Reduction System 50% aration Charge Separation 0 to 3m 3.1 to 10m 10.1m to 20m 20.1m to 30m 30 1m to 45m	Stem: Reduction for Au Building Face S W N	Quantity 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	Charge 25% 20% 15% 10% 5%	Red m per NFP Red	uction (2) F 2A 13 uction (3) F	a = 1 $a_2 = 9$ a = -4 $a_3 = 4$	200 L/min 600 L/min 600 L/min		
3) Sprinkleı 4) Fire Sepc	Sequence 50% aration Charge Separation 0 to 3m 3.1 to 10m 10.1m to 20m 20.1m to 30m 30.1m to 45m	Building Face S W N E Surchard	Quantity 1 1 1 1 E Factor (1)	Charge 25% 20% 15% 10% 5% 50%	Red m per NFP Red	uction (2) F PA 13 uction (3) F	a = 1 $a_2 = 9$ a = -4 $a_3 = 4$ a = -4 a = -4	200 L/min 600 L/min 600 L/min	or	
3) Sprinkleı 4) Fire Sepc	Separation 0 to 3m 3.1 to 10m 10.1m to 20m 20.1m to 30m 30.1m to 45m	Seem: Reduction for Au Building Face S W N E Surcharge	Quantity 1 1 1 1 2 4 5 6 Factor (4)	Charge 25% 20% 15% 10% 5% 50%	Red m per NFP Red	uction (2) F 2A 13 uction (3) F	$A_{4} = F_{2} \times S_{4}$	200 L/min 600 L/min 600 L/min Surcharge Facto 600 L/min	Dr	
3) Sprinkleı 4) Fire Sepc 5) Fire Flow	Reduction System 50% aration Charge Separation 0 to 3m 3.1 to 10m 10.1m to 20m 20.1m to 30m 30.1m to 45m	Stem: Reduction for Au Building Face S W N E Surcharge S:	Quantity 1 1 1 1 2 5 6 Factor (4)	Charge 25% 20% 15% 10% 5% 50%	Red m per NFP Red	uction (2) F 2A 13 uction (3) F	$A_{4} = F_{2} \times S_{4}$	200 L/min 600 L/min 600 L/min Surcharge Facto 600 L/min	or	
3) Sprinkleı 4) Fire Sepc 5) Fire Flow F=	Reduction Systems 50% Separation 0 to 3m 3.1 to 10m 10.1m to 20m 30.1m to 30m 30.1m to 45m Requirement 9200	stem: Reduction for Au Building Face S W N E Surcharge S: L/min.	Quantity 1 1 1 1 1 5 F =	Charge 25% 20% 15% 5% 50% F ₃ + F ₄	Red m per NFP Red	uction (2) F 2A 13 uction (3) F F (with re	$A_{4} = F_{2} \times S_{4}$	200 L/min 600 L/min 600 L/min Surcharge Facto 600 L/min	or factors)	
3) Sprinkleı 4) Fire Sepc 5) Fire Flow F= F=	Reduction System 50% aration Charge Separation 0 to 3m 3.1 to 10m 10.1m to 20m 20.1m to 30m 30.1m to 45m r Requirement 9200 9000	stem: Reduction for Au Building Face S W N E Surcharge s: L/min. L/min.	Quantity 1	Charge 25% 20% 15% 5% 50% F ₃ + F ₄ 00 L/min.)	Red m per NFP Red	uction (2) F 2A 13 uction (3) F 5 (with re (2,000 L	$a_{4} = F_{2} \times S_{4} = 4$ $a_{4} = F_{2} \times S_{4} = 4$ duction of <i>junction c</i>	200 L/min 200 L/min 600 L/min 600 L/min 600 L/min and surcharge j	or factors) n.)	
3) Sprinkler 4) Fire Sepc 5) Fire Flow F= F= F=	Reduction System 50% aration Charge Separation 0 to 3m 3.1 to 10m 10.1m to 20m 20.1m to 30m 30.1m to 45m <i>Requirement</i> 9200 9000 2378	stem: Reduction for Au Building Face S W N E Surcharge s: L/min. L/min. US GPM	Quantity 1 1 1 E Factor (4) <i>F</i> = (nearest 100	Charge 25% 20% 15% 10% 5% 50% F ₃ + F ₄ 00 L/min.)	Red m per NFP Red	uction (2) F 2A 13 uction (3) F 5 (with re (2,000 L	$a_{4} = F_{2} \times S_{4}$ $a_{4} = F_{2} \times S_{4}$ $a_{4} = 4$ $b_{4} = 4$ $b_{4} = 4$ $b_{4} = 4$	200 L/min 200 L/min 600 L/min 600 L/min 600 L/min and surcharge j 5 < 45,000 L/min	or factors) n.)	

		Job No.		She	Sheet No.			
ARUP		277167		F	irst Capital Realt	.y		
		Member/Location			City of Toronto			
Job Title	2150 Lake Shore Boulevard	Drg. Ref.		See App	oendix B			
Calculation	Fire Protection Requirements	Made by	C.G.	Date	14/01/2021	Chd.	F.F.	

FIRE FLOW CALCULATIONS - BLOCK B SUMMARY

Building	Fire Flow (L/s)	l
B1	138	
B2	163	<- Worst Case

					Job No.		Shee	et No.		Rev.
ΔΡ	IID				277167		Fi	rst Capital Real	ty	
1 11					Member/Loc	ation	City of T	Toronto		
Job Title					Drg. Ref.		See Ann	andix B		
Calculation	2150 Lake	Shore Boulevard			Made by		Date	14/01/2021	Chd.	
Galoulation	Fire Protec	tion Requiremer	nts			C.G.		14/01/2021		F.F.
FIRE FLOW	CALCULATIO	NS - B1								
1) Fire Flow	v Formula:	$F = 220C\sqrt{A}$			Fire Under	rwriters S	urvey (Fl	JS)		
		where			Water Sup	oply for Pl	ublic Fire	Protection		
		F = Required Fi	re Flow (L/m	in.)						
		A = Effective Are	ea (m²)							
		C = Construction	n Type Coef	fecient						
		1.5	Wood Fram	e						
		1	Non-combu	stible Const	ruction					
	Select	0.8	Fire-resistiv	e Construct	ion					
	50,000	0.0		0 0011011 001						
E	ffective Area:	For fire-resistive floor plus 25% of	buildings wi f the two adj	ith 1-hour fin joining floors	re rating, the s.	e area sh	all be the	e total area of th	ne large	est
	Largest Floor	3554.7	m ²							
A	djacent Floor	3554.7	m ²							
A	djacent Floor	2643.1	m ²							
Total Fl	oor Area, A =	5104.15	m ²			F	1 = 9	431 L/min		
						F	1 = 9	000 (nearest 1	000 L/r	nin.)
Reduction	and Surcharge	e Factors:					_		-	
2) 4 6 6 6 6 6 7 7	ant of Contant	to Fire Hazard								
Z) ASSESSI	-25%	Non-Combustib	۹							
	-15%	Limited Combus	tible							
	0%	Combustible								
	15%	Free Burning								
Select	25%	Rapid Burning			Redu	uction (2)	= 1	350 L/min		
						F ₂	2 = 10	350 L/min		
3) Sprinklei	r Reduction Sy	stem:								
	50%	Reduction for A	utomatic Spr	inkler Syste	m per NFP	A 13				
					Real	uction (3)	= -5 	175 L/min		
1) Eiro Con	aration Chara					Γ	3 - 5			
<i>4) File Sep</i> (Separation	Building Face	Quantity	Charge]					
	0 to 3m			25%						
	3.1 to 10m			20%	1					
1	10.1m to 20m	E	1	15%						
	20.1m to 30m	W	1	10%						
	30.1m to 45m	N	1	5%		_				
		Surcharg	e Factor (4)	30%		F,	$_4 = F_2 \times S$	Surcharge Facto	or	
	Doguine	~				F,	₄ = 3	105 L/MIN		
5) FIRE FION	v Requirement	S:	Г —	E 4 E		(with ro	duction	and sursharas	factors	
F=	8280		F =	$1_3 \neq \Gamma_4$			uuction (Imin III	anu surcharge]	uciors)	
F=	2112	JL/IIIII. LIS GPM	(neurest 10	oo t/min.)		(2,000 L	//////). < F	' < 45,000 L/MII	1.)	
F= E-	2113 128									
. –	130	L13								

					Job No.		Shee	t No.		Rev.
AR	IIP				277167		Fir	st Capital Real	lty	
1 11 1				-	Member/Loc	ation Ci	ty of T	oronto		
Job Title	2150 Lake	Shore Boulevard			Drg. Ref.	Se	e App	endix B		
Calculation	Fire Protec	tion Requiremer	nts		Made by	C.G.	Date	14/01/2021	Chd.	F.F.
FIRE FLOW (NS - B2								
1) Eiro Elow	Formula:				Eiro Undo	writers Sur	ov (EL	(C)		
.) FILE FIOW	ronnulu.	$F = 220C \sqrt{A}$ where			Water Sur	nlv for Publ	ey (FU c Fire	s) Protection		
		F = Required Fi	re Flow (L/m	in.)	Water Sup	<i>piyjei i us</i> ii	erner			
		A = Effective Are	ea (m²)							
		C = Construction	n Type Coeff	fecient						
		1.5	Wood Fram	е						
		1	Ordinary Co	nstruction						
	C. J. J.	0.8	Non-combu	stible Constr	ruction					
	Select	0.6	Fire-resistive	e Construction	on					
Eff	fective Area:	For fire-resistive floor plus 25% o	buildings wi f the two adj	th 1-hour fire	e rating, th	e area shall	be the	total area of th	ne large	st
L	argest Floor	3741.1	m ²							
Ad	ljacent Floor	3741.1	m ²							
Ad	ljacent Floor	3076.9	m ²							
Total Flo	or Area, A =	5445.60	m²			F ₁ =	97	'41 L/min		
						F ₁ =	100	000 (nearest 1	000 L/n	nin.)
Reduction a	nd Surcharge	Factors:								
		E								
/] Αςςρςςmp	nt of Content	s Fire Hazara:								
-,Γ	_25%	Non-Combustibl	ام							
	-25% -15%	Non-Combustibl	le stible							
	-25% -15% 0%	Non-Combustibl Limited Combus Combustible	le stible							
	-25% -15% 0% 15%	Non-Combustibl Limited Combus Combustible Free Burning	le stible							
Select	-25% -15% 0% 15% 25%	Non-Combustibl Limited Combus Combustible Free Burning Rapid Burning	le stible		Redu	uction (2) =	15	600 L/min		
Select	-25% -15% 0% 15% 25%	Non-Combustibl Limited Combus Combustible Free Burning Rapid Burning	le stible		Redu	uction (2) = F ₂ =	15 115	00 L/min 00 L/min		
Select	-25% -15% 0% 15% 25% Reduction Sy.	Non-Combustibl Limited Combus Combustible Free Burning Rapid Burning stem:	le tible		Redu	uction (2) = F ₂ =	15 115	500 L/min 500 L/min		
Select	-25% -15% 0% 15% 25% Reduction Sy. 50%	Non-Combustibl Limited Combus Combustible Free Burning Rapid Burning stem: Reduction for Au	le stible utomatic Spr	inkler Syster	Redu n per NFP	Laction (2) = $F_2 =$ A 13	15 115	500 L/min 500 L/min		
Select	-25% -15% 0% 15% 25% Reduction Sy: 50%	Non-Combustibl Limited Combus Combustible Free Burning Rapid Burning stem: Reduction for Au	le stible utomatic Spr	inkler Syster	Redu n per NFP Redu	Letion (2) = $F_2 =$ A 13 Letion (3) = $F_2 =$	15 115 -57 57	500 L/min 500 L/min 750 L/min		
Select	-25% -15% 0% 15% 25% Reduction Sy 50%	Non-Combustibl Limited Combus Combustible Free Burning Rapid Burning stem: Reduction for Au	le stible utomatic Spr	inkler Syster	Redu n per NFP Redu	Luction (2) = $F_2 =$ A 13 Luction (3) = $F_3 =$	15 115 -57 57	500 L/min 500 L/min 750 L/min 750 L/min		
) Sprinkler -) Fire Separ	-25% -15% 0% 15% 25% Reduction Sy: 50% ration Charge Separation	Non-Combustibl Limited Combus Combustible Free Burning Rapid Burning stem: Reduction for Au	le stible utomatic Spr Quantity	inkler Syster Charge	Redu n per NFP Redu	Lection (2) = $F_2 =$ A 13 Lection (3) = $F_3 =$	15 115 -57 57	500 L/min 500 L/min 50 L/min 50 L/min		
Select	-25% -15% 0% 15% 25% Reduction Sy. 50% ration Charge Separation 0 to 3m	Non-Combustibl Limited Combus Combustible Free Burning Rapid Burning stem: Reduction for Au Building Face	le stible utomatic Spr Quantity	inkler Syster Charge 25%	Redu m per NFP Redu	Lection (2) = F ₂ = A 13 Lection (3) = F ₃ =	15 115 -57 57	500 L/min 500 L/min 750 L/min 750 L/min		
3) Sprinkler (4) Fire Separ	-25% -15% 0% 15% 25% Reduction Sy. 50% ration Charge Separation 0 to 3m 3.1 to 10m	Non-Combustibl Limited Combus Combustible Free Burning Rapid Burning stem: Reduction for Au	le stible utomatic Spr Quantity	inkler Syster Charge 25% 20%	Redu n per NFP Redu	Lection (2) = F ₂ = A 13 Lection (3) = F ₃ =	15 115 -57 57	500 L/min 500 L/min 750 L/min 750 L/min		
3) Sprinkler I	-25% -15% 0% 15% 25% Reduction Sy. 50% ration Charge Separation 0 to 3m 3.1 to 10m 10.1m to 20m	Non-Combustibl Limited Combus Combustible Free Burning Rapid Burning stem: Reduction for Au Building Face	le stible utomatic Spr Quantity	inkler Syster Charge 25% 20% 15%	Redu n per NFP Redu	Letion (2) = F ₂ = A 13 Letion (3) = F ₃ =	15 115 -57 57	500 L/min 500 L/min 750 L/min 750 L/min		
3) Sprinkler	-25% -15% 0% 15% 25% Reduction Sy 50% ration Charge Separation 0 to 3m 3.1 to 10m 10.1m to 20m 20.1m to 30m	Non-Combustibl Limited Combus Combustible Free Burning Rapid Burning stem: Reduction for Au Building Face W N	le stible utomatic Spr Quantity 1 1	inkler Syster Charge 25% 20% 15% 10%	Redi n per NFP Redi	Luction (2) = F ₂ = A 13 Luction (3) = F ₃ =	15 115 -57 57	500 L/min 500 L/min 750 L/min 750 L/min		
3) Sprinkler (-25% -15% 0% 15% 25% Reduction Sy. 50% ration Charge Separation 0 to 3m 3.1 to 10m 10.1m to 20m 20.1m to 30m 30.1m to 45m	Non-Combustibl Limited Combus Combustible Free Burning Rapid Burning stem: Reduction for Au Building Face W N E, S	le stible utomatic Spr Quantity 1 1 2 e Factor (4)	Charge 25% 20% 15% 10% 5% 35%	Redu n per NFP Redu	Lection (2) = $F_2 =$ A 13 Lection (3) = $F_3 =$ $F_3 =$	15 115 -57 57	500 L/min 500 L/min 50 L/min 50 L/min	٦r	
3) Sprinkler 4) Fire Separ	-25% -15% 0% 15% 25% Reduction Sy. 50% ration Charge Separation 0 to 3m 3.1 to 10m 10.1m to 20m 20.1m to 30m 30.1m to 45m	Non-Combustibl Limited Combus Combustible Free Burning Rapid Burning stem: Reduction for Au Building Face W N E, S Surcharg	le stible utomatic Spr Quantity 1 1 2 e Factor (4)	Charge 25% 20% 15% 10% 5% 35%	Redu n per NFP Redu	Letion (2) = $F_2 =$ A 13 Letion (3) = $F_3 =$ $F_4 =$ $F_4 =$	15 115 -57 57 F ₂ x SI	00 L/min 00 L/min 50 L/min 50 L/min urcharge Facto	Dr	
Select	-25% -15% 0% 15% 25% Reduction Sy. 50% ration Charge Separation 0 to 3m 3.1 to 10m 10.1m to 20m 20.1m to 30m 30.1m to 45m Requirement	Non-Combustibl Limited Combus Combustible Free Burning Rapid Burning stem: Reduction for Au Building Face W N E, S Surcharg	le stible utomatic Spr Quantity 1 1 2 e Factor (4)	Charge 25% 20% 15% 10% 5% 35%	Redi n per NFP Redi	F ₂ = $F_2 =$ F ₂ = A 13 uction (3) = $F_3 =$ $F_4 =$ $F_4 =$	15 115 -57 57 F ₂ x Si 40	00 L/min 00 L/min 750 L/min 750 L/min 950 L/min	Dr	
5) Fire Flow	-25% -15% 0% 15% 25% Reduction Sy: 50% ration Charge Separation 0 to 3m 3.1 to 10m 10.1m to 20m 20.1m to 30m 30.1m to 45m Requirement 9775	Non-Combustibl Limited Combus Combustible Free Burning Rapid Burning stem: Reduction for Au Building Face W N E, S Surcharg s: L/min.	le stible utomatic Spr Quantity 1 1 2 e Factor (4)	Charge 25% 20% 15% 10% 5% 35%	Redu n per NFP Redu	Lection (2) = $F_2 =$ A 13 Lection (3) = $F_3 =$ $F_4 =$ $F_4 =$ $F_4 =$	15 115 -57 57 F ₂ x Si 40	500 L/min 500 L/min 50 L/min 50 L/min urcharge Facto 125 L/min	Dr factors)	
5) Fire Flow	-25% -15% 0% 15% 25% Reduction Sy. 50% ration Charge Separation 0 to 3m 3.1 to 10m 10.1m to 20m 20.1m to 30m 30.1m to 45m Requirement 9775 10000	Non-Combustibl Limited Combus Combustible Free Burning Rapid Burning stem: Reduction for Au Building Face W N E, S Surcharg s: L/min. L/min.	le stible utomatic Spr Quantity 1 1 2 e Factor (4) <i>F</i> = (negrest 100	inkler Syster Charge 25% 20% 15% 10% 5% 35% F ₃ + F ₄ 20 L/min.)	Redu n per NFP Redu	Explicition (2) = $F_2 =$ A 13 Explicition (3) = $F_3 =$ $F_4 =$ $F_4 =$ (with reduce (2.000 L/m	15 115 -57 57 F ₂ x SI 40 stion a	i00 L/min i00 L/min i50 L/min i50 L/min i50 L/min urcharge Facto 25 L/min nd surcharge f < 45.000 L/min	Dr factors) n.)	
5) Fire Flow 5) Fire Flow 5) Fire Flow 5) Fire Flow 5] Fire Flow 5] Fire Flow 5] Fire Flow 5] Fire Flow	-25% -15% 0% 15% 25% Reduction Sy. 50% ration Charge Separation 0 to 3m 3.1 to 10m 10.1m to 20m 20.1m to 30m 30.1m to 45m Requirement 9775 10000 2642	Non-Combustibl Limited Combus Combustible Free Burning Rapid Burning stem: Reduction for Au Building Face W N E, S Surcharg s: L/min. L/min. US GPM	le stible utomatic Spr Quantity 1 1 2 e Factor (4) <i>F</i> = (nearest 100	Charge 25% 20% 15% 35% F 3 + F 4 00 L/min.)	Redu n per NFP Redu	Expression (2) = $F_2 =$ A 13 Expression (3) = $F_3 =$ $F_4 =$ $F_4 =$ (with reduce (2,000 L/m)	15 115 -57 57 F ₂ x Si 40 :tion a in. < F	i00 L/min i00 L/min i50 L/min i50 L/min i50 L/min i25 L/min nd surcharge f < 45,000 L/min	or factors) n.)	

					Job No.		She	et No.		Rev.
					277167		Fi	irst Capital Real	ty	
					Member/Loc	ation	City of	Foronto		
Job Title	2150 Jako	Shore Reulevard			Drg. Ref.		See Apr	pendix B		
Calculation	Fire Protec	tion Requiremer	nts		Made by	C.G.	Date	14/01/2021	Chd.	F.F.
FIRE FLOW		NS - BLOCK C SU	MMARY					Υ		
	Building	Fire Flow (I /s)								
	C	153	<- Worst Ca	ase						
FIRE FLOW	CALCULATIO	NS - C								
1) Fire Flov	v Formula:	$F = 220C\sqrt{A}$ where F = Required Fi A = Effective Ar C = Constructio 1.5 1 0.8	re Flow (L/m ea (m ²) n Type Coef Wood Fram Ordinary Co Non-combu	nin.) ffecient ne onstruction istible Cons	Fire Under Water Sup	rwriters S oply for P	iurvey (Fl ublic Fire	JS) Protection		
	Select	0.6	Fire-resistiv	e Construct	tion					
E	ffective Area:	For fire-resistive	buildings w	vith 1-hour fi	re rating, th	ne area s	hall be th	ne total area of t	he	
		largest floor plu	s 25% of the	e two adjoini	ng floors.					
	Largest Floor	4432.9	m ²							
	Adjacent Floor	4432.9	m ⁻							
Total Fl	oor Area, A =	6197.63	m ²			F	$f_1 = 10$ $f_1 = 10$	392 L/min 000 <i>(nearest 1</i>)	000 L/n	nin.)
Reduction	and Surcharge	e Factors:								
2) Assessm Select	ent of Content -25% -15% 0% 15% 25%	s Fire Hazard: Non-Combustib Limited Combus Combustible Free Burning Rapid Burning	le stible		Redu	uction (2) F) = 1 2 = 11	500 L/min 500 L/min		
3) Sprinkle	Reduction Sys	stem:								
	50%	Reduction for A	utomatic Sp	rinkler Syste	em per NFF Redi	PA 13 uction (3) F) = -5 ₃ = 5	750 L/min 750 L/min		
4) Fire Sep	Separation	Building Face	Quantity	Charge	1					
	0 to 3m		Quantity	25%	4					
	3.1 to 10m			20%	1					
	10.1m to 20m	<u>י</u> ו		15%	1					
	20.1m to 30m	N,E	2	10%	1					
	30.1m to 45m	W,S	2	5%	-					
		Surcharg	e Factor (4)	30%		F F	$F_4 = F_2 \times S_4$ $F_4 = 3$	Surcharge Facto 450 L/min	or	
5) Fire Flov	v Requirement	s:								
F=	9200	L/min.	F =	$F_3 + F_4$		(with re	duction d	and surcharge f	actors)	
F=	9000	L/min.	(nearest 10	00 L/min.)		(2,000 L	_/min. < I	⁻ < 45,000 L/mii	n.)	
F=	2378	US GPM								
F=	153	L/s								

		Job No.		She	et No.	Rev.		
AR	UP	277167			irst Capital Realt	ty		
		Member/Location City			City of Toronto			
Job Title	2150 Lake Shore Boulevard	Drg. Ref.		See Ap	oendix B			
Calculation Fire Protection Requirements		Made by C.G.		Date	14/01/2021	F.F.		

FIRE FLOW CALCULATIONS - BLOCK D SUMMARY

Building	Fire Flow (L/s)	
D1	134	
D2	134	
D3	161	-

					Job No.		She	et No.		Rev.
ΔΓ					277167		Fi	irst Capital Real	ty	
					Member/Loc	ation	City of T	Foronto		
Job Title					Dra Ref					
	2150 Lake	Shore Boulevard					See App		0 1	
Calculation	Fire Protec	tion Requireme	nts		Made by	C.G.	Date	14/01/2021	Chd.	F.F.
FIRE FLOW	/ CALCULATIO	NS - D1								
1) Fire Flow	v Formula:	$F = 220C\sqrt{A}$			Fire Under	rwriters S	Survey (Fl	US)		
,		where			Water Sup	oply for P	ublic Fire	Protection		
		F = Required Fi	re Flow (L/m	in.)						
		A = Effective Ar	ea (m²)							
		C = Constructio	n Type Coef	fecient						
		1.5	Wood Fram	e						
		1	Non-combu	stible Const	truction					
	Select	0.8	Fire-resistiv	e Construct	ion					
	Sciell	0.0		0011311401	.011					
E	Effective Area:	For fire-resistive floor plus 25% of	e buildings w of the two ad	ith 1-hour fin joining floors	re rating, th s.	e area sł	nall be the	e total area of th	ie large	:st
	Largest Floor	4415.5	m²							
	Adjacent Floor	3943	m²							
	- Adiacent Floor	3452	m ²							
Total F	loor Area A =	6264 25				F	1 = 10	447 I /min		
		0201120	1			F	. = 10	000 (nearest 1)	000 I /r	nin)
Reduction	and Surcharge	Factors:					1 10	000 (<i>neurest</i> 1)	000 L/1	
	and out online	E Fire Hazard								
Z) ASSESSI	-25%	Non-Combustib	le							
	-15%	Limited Combus	stible							
	0%	Combustible								
	15%	Free Burning								
Select	25%	Rapid Burning			Red	uction (2))= 1	500 L/min		
						F	₂ = 11	500 L/min		
3) Sprinkle	r Reduction Sy	stem:								
	50%	Reduction for A	utomatic Spr	inkler Syste	m per NFP	A 13		750 1 /min		
					Real	ucuon (3, E) = -0 ' - 5	750 L/min		
1) Eiro Con	aration Charac						₃ - 0			
4) riie sep	Separation	Building Face	Quantity	Charge	1					
	0 to 3m	Sanangiace	Quantity	25%	1					
	3.1 to 10m			20%	1					
	10.1m to 20m	. <u></u>		15%	1					
	20.1m to 30m	E	1	10%]					
	30.1m to 45m	S,W	2	5%]					
		Surcharg	e Factor (4)	20%		F	$f_4 = F_2 \times S$	Surcharge Facto	or	
					-	F	4 = 2	300 L/min		
5) Fire Flow	v Requirement	s:								
F=	8050	L/min.	F =	$F_{3} + F_{4}$		(with re	duction d	and surcharge f	actors)	I
F=	8000	L/min.	(nearest 10	00 L/min.)		(2,000 [./min. < F	- < 45,000 L/mir	, 1.)	
F=	2113	US GPM				• •				
F=	= 134	L/s								

					Job No.		She	et No.		Rev.
	DID				277167		F	irst Capital Real	tv	
					Member/Loc	ation	City of	Toronto	-1	
Job Title					Drg. Ref.					
Coloulation	2150 Lake	Shore Boulevard			Made by					
Calculation	Fire Protec	tion Requiremer	nts		Made by	C.G.	Date	14/01/2021	Criu.	F.F.
FIRE FLOW	CALCULATIO	NS - D2								
1) Fire Flov	v Formula:	$F = 220C\sqrt{A}$			Fire Unde	rwriters S	urvey (F	US)		
, 		where			Water Su	oply for Pu	ublic Fire	Protection		
1		F = Required Fin	re Flow (L/m	in.)						
		A = Effective Are	ea (m²)							
		C = Construction	n Type Coef	fecient						
		1.5	Wood Fram	е						
		1	Ordinary Co	onstruction						
		0.8	Non-combu	stible Const	ruction					
	Select	0.6	Fire-resistiv	e Constructi	ion					
E	ffective Area:	For fire-resistive	buildings w	ith 1-hour fir	e rating, th	ie area sh	all be th	e total area of th	ne large	st
		floor plus 25% o	of the two adj	oining floors	S.					
	Largest Floor	4387.3	m ²							
A	Adjacent Floor	3201.1	m							
A	Adjacent Floor	3201.1	m ²							
Total F	loor Area, A =	5987.85	m²			F ₁	1 = 10)214 L/min		
						F ₁	1 = 10	0000 (nearest 1	000 L/r	nin.)
Reduction	and Surcharge	e Factors:								
2) Assessm	ent of Conten	s Fire Hazard:								
	-25%	Non-Combustibl	le							
	-15%	Limited Combus	stible							
	15%									
Solart	25%	Rapid Burning			Pod	uction (2)	- 1	500 L /min		
Jelett	2370				Reduction (2) = 1500 L/min					
2) Corinkla	r Paduction Su	stom.				Γ ₂	2 – 11	500 L/min		
5) Sprinkiel	50%	Reduction for A	utomatic Spr	inkler Svste	m per NFF	PA 13				
]			Red	uction (3)	= -5	5750 L/min		
						F	3 = 5	5750 L/min		
4) Fire Sep	aration Charge	2								
	Separation	Building Face	Quantity	Charge						
	0 to 3m			25%						
	3.1 to 10m			20%						
	10.1m to 20m) 		15%						
	20.1m to 30m	E		10%						
	30.1m to 45m	vv,S	2	5%		-	_	Durach = :		
Surcharge Factor (4) 20%			20%	l	F,	$_4 = F_2 \times S_2$	Surcharge Facto	or		
	. De eu la cara de					F۷	4 = 2	2300 L/min		
oj rire Hov	v kequirement	S. L/min	F –	F + F		huith ro	duction	and curcharge	factors	1
F=	8050	L/min.	F =	$1_3 \neq \Gamma_4$			uuction	unu surcharge j	uctors)	
F=	8000	JL/MIN.	(nearest 10	UU L/min.)		(2,000 L	/min. < I	- < 45,000 L/MII	n.)	
F=	2113	US GPIVI I /e								
r-	134	L/3								

					Job No.		She	et No.		Rev.	
ΔR	IID				277167		Fi	First Capital Realty			
					Member/Loc	ation	City of ⁻	Toronto			
Job Title	2150 Laka	Chara Daulayard			Drg. Ref.		See Apr	pendix B			
Calculation	Fire Protec	tion Requiremer	nts		Made by	C.G.	Date	14/01/2021	Chd.	F.F.	
		NS - D3					(5	(10)			
1) Fire Flow	Formula:	$F = 220C\sqrt{A}$			Fire Under	rwriters Su	irvey (Fi blic Fire	US) Drotoction			
		F = Required Fi	re Flow (I /m	in)	water sup	opiy jor Pu	DIICFILE	Protection			
		A = Effective Ar	a (m ²)								
		C = Construction	n Type Coef	fecient							
		1.5	Wood Fram	e							
		1	Ordinary Co	onstruction							
		0.8	Non-combu	stible Const	truction						
1	Select	0.6	Fire-resistiv	re-resistive Construction							
E	fective Area:	For fire-resistive floor plus 25% c	buildings w f the two ad	ith 1-hour fin joining floor	re rating, th s.	e area sha	all be the	e total area of th	ne large	est	
	Largest Floor	5350.6	m ²								
A	djacent Floor	5350.6	m ²								
A	djacent Floor	3994.9	m ²								
Total Flo	or Area. A =	7686.98	m ²			F₁	= 11	573 L/min			
	,					F	= 12	2000 (nearest 1)	000 I /r	nin)	
Reduction	and Surcharge	Factors				• 1	12	.000 (neurest 1)	000 L/1		
Neudetion	ind Surcharge										
2) Assessme	ent of Content	s Fire Hazard:	L-								
	-25%	Non-Compustible	e								
	-13%	Combustible									
	15%	Free Burning									
Select	25%	Rapid Burning			Red	uction (2) =	= 1	800 L/min			
		l				(_) Fa	= 13	800 I /min			
3) Snrinkler	Reduction Sv	stem.				• 2	10				
S) Sprinkier	50%	Reduction for A	utomatic Spr	inkler Svste	m per NFP	A 13					
		1			Red	uction (3) =	= -6	900 L/min			
						F ₃	= 6	900 L/min			
4) Fire Sepa	ration Charge				_						
	Separation	Building Face	Quantity	Charge							
	0 to 3m			25%							
	3.1 to 10m			20%	1						
	10.1m to 20m	1		15%	4						
	20.1m to 30m	E, W	2	10%	4						
	30.1m to 45m			5%	1						
		Surcharg	e Factor (4)	20%		F_4	$= F_2 x S$	Surcharge Facto	or		
						F_4	= 2	2760 L/min			
5) Fire Flow	Requirement	s:									
F=	9660	L/min.	F =	$F_{3} + F_{4}$		(with red	uction of	and surcharge f	actors,)	
F=	10000	L/min.	(nearest 10	00 L/min.)		(2,000 L/	'min. < H	- - < 45,000 L/mir	n.)		
F=	2642	US GPM		. ,				- -			
F=	161	L/s									

					Job No.		She	eet No.		Rev.
ΔR	IID			-	277167		F	First Capital Realty		
				-	Member/Loc	ation	City of	Toronto		
Job Title	2150 Laka	Chara Daulayard			Drg. Ref.		See Ap	pendix B		
Calculation	2150 Lake	Shore Boulevard	_		Made by	CG	Date	14/01/2021	Chd.	гг
	Fire Protec	tion Requiremer	its			0.0.		14/01/2021		г.г.
FIRF FLOW		IS - BLOCK F SUI	MMARY							
	Building	Fire Flow (L/s)								
	E	163	<- Worst Ca	ase						
FIRE FLOW	CALCULATION	IS - E								
1) Fire Flow	Formula:	$F = 220C\sqrt{A}$			Fire Under	writers .	Survey (F	US)		
l		where			Water Sup	oply for F	Public Fire	Protection		
		F = Required Fi	re Flow (L/m	iin.)						
		A = Effective Ar	ea (m²)							
		C = Constructio	n Type Coef	fecient						
		1.5	Wood Fram	e						
0.8 Non-combustib			stible Const	truction						
	Select	0.6	Fire-resistiv	e Construct	ion					
1			I							
E	ffective Area:	For fire-resistive	buildings w	ith 1-hour fi	re rating, th	ne area s	shall be th	he total area of t	the	
	Largest Eleer	1111 A 111 A	m^2	two aujoini	ng noors.					
٨	diacont Floor	4114.3	m ²							
A .	diacent Floor	$3757 \pm 114.3 \text{ m}$								
A Total Fl	$\Delta a cent Floor oor Area A =$	6082 15	m^{2}			F	F. = 10	1294 I /min		
TOTALL		0002.13	m			F	$f_1 = 10$ $f_1 = 10$)000 <i>(nearest</i> 1	000 I /n	nin)
Reduction	and Surcharge	Factors:					1 1		000 2,11	,
2) Assessm	ent of Content	s Fire Hazard:								
_)/////////////////////////////////////	-25%	Non-Combustib	le							
	-15%	Limited Combus	stible							
	0%	Combustible								
	15%	Free Burning								
Select	25%	Rapid Burning			Reduction (2) = 1500 L/min					
						ŀ	2 = 11	1500 L/min		
3) Sprinkler	Reduction Sys	tem:	utomotio Co	inklar Svota		10				
	50%	Reduction for A	utomatic Spi	Inkiel Syste	Redi	uction (3	s) =f	5750 I /min		
					r tou	F	$F_{3} = 5$	5750 L/min		
4) Fire Sepc	ration Charge				_					
	Separation	Building Face	Quantity	Charge						
	0 to 3m			25%						
	3.1 to 10m			20%						
	10.1m to 20m			15%						
	20.1m to 30m	N,E,S	3	10%						
	30.1m to 45m	W Surabara	1 o Easter (4)	5% 25%		г		Surchargo East	or	
		Surcharg		33%	l	r F	$4 - \Gamma_2 X$	1025 1 /min	01	
5) Eiro Flou	Poquiromant					Г	4 - 2	TUZJ L/11111		
F=	nequirement: 9775	s. I /min	F =	$F_{2} + F_{2}$		(with r	eduction	and surcharae f	actors)	
, - F-	10000	L/min	(nearest 10	001/min)		(2 000	I/min /	E < 15 000 1 /mi	n)	
F=	2642	US GPM	incurest 10	50 L/ IIIII./		(2,000	L/ 11111. <)	
F=	163	L/s								
	100	_, _								

					Job No.		She	et No.		Rev.
AR	IIP				277167		Fi	First Capital Realty		
					Member/Loc	ation	City of 1	, of Toronto		
Job Title	24501-1-				Drg. Ref.		See Anr	pendix B		
Calculation	2150 Lake	Shore Boulevard			Made by	C.G.	Date	14/01/2021	Chd.	СС
	Fire Protec	ction Requirement	its			0.0.		,,		1.1.
FIRE FLOW	CALCULATIO	NS - BLOCK F SUI	MMARY							
	Building	Fire Flow (L/s)								
	Ε ΟΛΙ ΟΙΙΙ ΑΤΙΟΙ	92 NS - F	<- worst Ca	ise						
					5	··· ·	с			
1) FIRE Flow	Formula:	$F = 220C\sqrt{A}$			Fire Under	rwriters : only for I	Survey (Fl Sublic Eiro	US) Brotaction		
		F = Required Fi	re Flow (I /m	in)	water sup	эріу јог н	ublic File	FIOLECLION		
		$\Lambda = \text{Effortivo} \Lambda r$	(m^2)							
		C = Construction	ea (III) n Type Coef	fecient						
		1.5	Wood Fram	le						
		1	Ordinary Co	onstruction						
0.8 Non-combustible Co				stible Const	truction					
	Select 0.6 Fire-resistive Const				ion					
Ef	fective Area:	For fire-resistive	buildings w	ith 1-hour fir	re rating, th	e area sl	hall be the	e total area of th	ne large	st
		floor plus 25% c	of the two adj	joining floors	S.					
	Largest Floor	2753.8	m							
A	djacent Floor	2753.8	m							
A	djacent Floor	2753.8			_	_				
Total Flo	oor Area, A =	4130.70	m²			F	·1 = 8	484 L/min	/	
						F	- ₁ = 8	000 (nearest 1	000 L/n	nin.)
Reduction a	and Surcharge	e Factors:								
2) Assessme	ent of Conten	ts Fire Hazard:								
	-25%	Non-Combustib	le							
	-15%	Limited Compus	stible							
	15%	Eree Burning								
Select	25%	Rapid Burning			Red	uction (2) = 1	200 I /min		
Jelett	2070				Reu	E F	$r_{1} = 9$	200 L/min 200 L/min		
3) Snrinklør	Reduction Su	ctom.					2 0	200 2/1111		
<i>5) 501111Kiel</i>	50%	Reduction for A	utomatic Spr	inkler Svste	m per NFP	PA 13				
L]			Red	uction (3)= -4	600 L/min		
						F		600 L/min		
4) Fire Sepa	ration Charge	2			-					
	Separation	Building Face	Quantity	Charge	4					
	U to 3m			25%	4					
	3.1 to 10m			20%	4					
	10.1m to 20m	1		15%	4					
	20.111110 3011 30.1m to 15~		<u></u>	10% E0/	4					
l	30. III 10 45f	O,E Suroboro	Eactor (4)	5% 10%	4			Surcharge Fact	h	
		Surcharg	C Faciul (4)	1070	1	F	$a = 1_2 \times C$	920 L/min		
5) Fire Flow	Requirement	s:					-			
F=	5520	L/min.	F =	$F_3 + F_4$		(with re	eduction a	and surcharge i	factors)	
F=	6000	L/min.	(nearest 10	00 L/min.)		(2.000	L/min. < F	- < 45,000 L/mi	n.)	
F=	1585	US GPM	,	- ,,		, ,	,, .,	-, - ,	,	
F=	92	L/s								

Appendix E

Water Modelling Output

| Issue 2 | February 26th, 2021 | Arup Canada Inc.

SCENARIO 0 - EXISTING ADD



Page 1		2/23/2021 1	1:53:10 AM
* * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * *	* * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * *	* * * * * * * * * * * *	*******
*	EPANET		*
*	Hydraulic and Water Qualit	У	*
*	Analysis for Pipe Networks		*
*	Version 2.2		*
* * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * *	* * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * *	* * * * * * * * * * * *	* * * * * * * * * *

Input File: LS_WM_0.net

Existing Water Demand based on Consmption data as provided by CoT

Link - Node Table:

Link	Start	End	Length	Diameter
ID	Node	Node	m	mm
LN2447328	Dummy-1	WJ50745	462.127	200
LN50930	WJ2002914	WJ50762	86.4	300
LN2447196	WJ50747	WJ50749	300.3	300
LN52048	WJ51759	WJ51765	93.5	300
LN50926	WJ50749	WJ2002914	49.8	300
LN50933	WJ50755	WJ50749	21.2	200
LN50918	WJ50746	WJ50755	305.2	200
LN2446937	WJ2002889	WJ2400446	126.4	300
LN52047	WJ51759	WJ51762	94.1	300
LN52040	WJ51758	WJ51759	91.547	300
LN2447335	WJ2400283	Dummy-2	505.846	300
LN2446950	WJ2400446	WJ2400391	93.5	200
LN2447275	WJ2400446	WJ2400401	214.5	300
LN52039	WJ51759	WJ51760	20.2	300
LN50915	WJ50746	WJ50747	10.1	200
LN2400279	WJ2400289	WJ2400283	15.257	300
LN52059	WJ51776	WJ2400401	292.4	300
LN2447317	WJ2400287	WJ51765	465	300
LN50919	WJ50757	WJ50758	2.8	200
LN2400324	WJ2400401	WJ2400402	146.849	300
LN4048079	WJ2400402	WJ2002889	152.105	300
LN52057	WJ51762	WJ51776	83.4	300
LN52051	WJ51765	WJ51776	100.2	300
LN2400276	WJ2400287	WJ53923	30.6	150
LN2447230	WJ53923	WJ2400290	446.3	150
LN50927	WJ2400283	WJ50747	20.6	300
LN50916	WJ50745	WJ50746	11.3	200
LN2400277	WJ2400289	WJ2400287	95.108	300
LN50920	WJ50755	WJ50757	50.2	200
LN50922	WJ50757	WJ2002914	22.1	200
LN2448313	WJ2004681	WJ50762	97.1	300
C11	WJ50755	G1	93	150
C12	WJ50758	G2	95	150
C16	WJ2400401	01	30.5	150
C17	WJ2400402	02	44.568	150
C18	03	07	19.6	150
C19	WJ51762	04	72.354	150

Page 2 Existing Water Demand based on Consmption data as provided by CoT Link - Node Table: (continued)

Link ID	Start Node	Enc Noc	le	Length m	Dian	neter mm	
C20	08	05		30.82		150	
C21	WJ2400287	06		49.41		150	
C22	WJ51758	07		259.273		300	
C23	07	WJ2	2400402	219.96		300	
C24	WJ2400289	08		229.82		300	
C25	08	WJ5	51758	185.97		300	
C27	WJ2400401	В2		45.75		150	
C26	WJ2400402	B1		37.41		150	
1	Ex-1	WJ50747		32.62		150	
P1	R4	Dummy-1		#N/A		#N/A	Pump
P3	R1	WJ5	50762	#N/A		#N/A	Pump
P2	R3	Dun	nmy-2	#N/A		#N/A	Pump
P5	R5	WJ2	2002889	#N/A		#N/A	Pump
Energy Usage:							
	Usage A	 vg.	Kw-hr	Avg.	Peak		Cost
Pump	Factor Eff	ic.	/m3	Kw	Kw	/ c	day
P1	0.00 0	.00	0.00	0.00	0.00		0.00
P3	100.00 75	.00	0.22	3.82	3.82		0.00
P2	0.00 0	.00	0.00	0.00	0.00		0.00
Р5	100.00 75	.00	0.23	45.80	45.80		0.00
				Demand Ch	arge:		0.00
				Total Cos	t:		0.00

Node Results:

Node ID	Demand LPS	Head m	Pressure m	Quality	
 08	21.96	152.56	70.86	0.00	
07	0.00	152.73	74.03	0.00	
03	2.64	152.72	76.02	0.00	
05	2.64	152.55	70.35	0.00	
06	0.00	152.59	71.89	0.00	
04	2.64	152.62	76.92	0.00	
02	3.25	152.80	76.10	0.00	
01	3.25	152.79	77.09	0.00	
G2	2.28	152.55	68.35	0.00	
G1	0.00	152.58	68.38	0.00	
Dummy-2	0.00	152.58	75.38	0.00	
Dummy-1	0.00	152.57	75.37	0.00	
WJ50758	0.00	152.58	70.88	0.00	
WJ50762	0.00	152.58	70.88	0.00	
WJ2004681	0.00	152.58	75.76	0.00	

Page 3 Existing Water Demand based on Consmption data as provided by CoT

Node Results: (continued)

Node	Demand	Head H	Pressure	Quality
ID	LPS	m	m	-
	0.00	152 59	79.34	0.00
WJ51776	0.00	152.66	75.96	0.00
WJ51765	0.00	152.64	76.94	0.00
WJ51762	0.00	152.65	73.45	0.00
WJ51759	0.00	152.64	73.64	0.00
WJ51760	2.64	152.64	73.94	0.00
WJ51758	2.64	152.64	69.64	0.00
WJ2400287	0.00	152.59	75.19	0.00
WJ53923	0.00	152.59	76.28	0.00
WJ2400289	2.64	152.58	77.06	0.00
WJ2400283	0.00	152.58	77.21	0.00
WJ50747	0.00	152.58	76.88	0.00
WJ50746	0.00	152.58	76.88	0.00
WJ50745	2.28	152.57	76.87	0.00
WJ50749	0.00	152.58	70.88	0.00
WJ50755	0.00	152.58	70.88	0.00
WJ2002914	0.00	152.58	72.26	0.00
WJ50757	0.00	152.58	70.88	0.00
WJ2002889	2.29	152.98	77.98	0.00
WJ2400401	0.00	152.81	79.20	0.00
WJ2400402	0.00	152.82	78.84	0.00
WJ2400391	5.73	152.85	78.11	0.00
WJ2400446	2.29	152.89	78.15	0.00
B1	0.61	152.82	76.62	0.00
В2	0.61	152.81	76.61	0.00
Ex-1	0.00	152.58	76.88	0.00
R1	-4.75	91.00	0.00	0.00 Reservoir
R3	0.00	100.00	0.00	0.00 Reservoir
R4	0.00	100.00	0.00	0.00 Reservoir
R5	-55.64	90.00	0.00	0.00 Reservoir
Link Results:				
Link	Flow	VelocityUni	t Headloss	s Status
ID	LPS	m/s	m/km	

LN2447328	0.00	0.00	0.00	Open	
LN50930	4.75	0.07	0.04	Open	
LN2447196	1.83	0.03	0.01	Open	
LN52048	1.38	0.02	0.00	Open	
LN50926	2.82	0.04	0.01	Open	
LN50933	0.99	0.03	0.01	Open	
LN50918	0.64	0.02	0.01	Open	
LN2446937	24.26	0.34	0.76	Open	
LN52047	6.45	0.09	0.07	Open	
LN52040	5.19	0.07	0.04	Open	
LN2447335	0.00	0.00	0.00	Open	

Page 4 Existing Water Demand based on Consmption data as provided by CoT

Link ID	Flow LPS	VelocityUnit m/s	Headloss m/km	Status	-
LN2446950	5.73	0.18	0.38	Open	
LN2447275	16.24	0.23	0.36	Open	
LN52039	2.64	0.04	0.01	Open	
LN50915	1.64	0.05	0.04	Open	
LN2400279	0.19	0.00	0.00	Open	
LN52059	19.57	0.28	0.51	Open	
LN2447317	9.11	0.13	0.12	Open	
LN50919	2.28	0.07	0.07	Open	
LN2400324	7.19	0.10	0.08	Open	
LN4048079	29.09	0.41	1.06	Open	
LN52057	9.09	0.13	0.12	Open	
LN52051	10.48	0.15	0.16	Open	
LN2400276	0.00	0.00	0.00	Open	
LN2447230	0.00	0.00	0.00	Open	
LN50927	0.19	0.00	0.00	Open	
LN50916	2.28	0.07	0.07	Open	
LN2400277	9.11	0.13	0.12	Open	
LN50920	0.35	0.01	0.00	Open	
LN50922	1.93	0.06	0.05	Open	
LN2448313	0.00	0.00	0.00	Open	
C11	0.00	0.00	0.00	Open	
C12	2.28	0.13	0.28	Open	
C16	3.25	0.18	0.54	Open	
C17	3.25	0.18	0.54	Open	
C18	2.64	0.15	0.36	Open	
C19	2.64	0.15	0.36	Open	
C20	2.64	0.15	0.36	Open	
C21	0.00	0.00	0.00	Open	
C22	15.40	0.22	0.33	Open	
C23	18.04	0.26	0.44	Open	
C24	6.65	0.09	0.07	Open	
C25	17.95	0.25	0.43	Open	
C2 /	0.61	0.03	0.02	Open	
C26	0.61	0.03	0.02	Open	
1	0.00	0.00	0.00	Open	
P1	0.00	0.00	0.00	Closed Pump	
P3	4.75	0.00	-61.58	Open Pump	
P2	0.00	0.00	0.00	Closed Pump	
P5	55.64	0.00	-62.98	Open Pump	



Page 1 *****************	* * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * *	2/23/2021	11:58:41 AI	.∕! *
*	EPANET			*
*	Hydraulic and Water Quality	7		*
*	Analysis for Pipe Networks			*
*	Version 2.2			*
* * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * *	* * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * *	********	******	*

Input File: LS_WM_1.net

Link - Node Table:

			Tongth	Diamotor
	Node	Node	Length	mm
LN2447328	Dummy-1	WJ50745	462.132	200
LN50930	WJ2002914	D1-1	8.686	300
C130	WJ50747	J17	34.482	300
LN52048	WJ51759	WJ51765	93.531	300
LN50926	WJ50749	WJ2002914	49.806	300
LN50933	WJ50755	WJ50749	21.248	200
LN50918	WJ50746	WJ50755	305.232	200
LN2446937	WJ2002889	WJ2400446	126.405	300
LN52047	WJ51759	WJ51762	94.103	300
LN52040	WJ51758	WJ51759	91.548	300
LN2447335	WJ2400283	Dummy-2	505.851	300
LN2446950	WJ2400446	WJ2400391	93.517	200
LN2447275	WJ2400446	WJ2400401	214.556	300
LN52039	WJ51759	WJ51760	20.162	300
LN50915	WJ50746	WJ50747	10.092	200
LN2400279	WJ2400289	WJ2400283	15.257	300
LN52059	WJ51776	WJ2400401	292.409	300
LN2447317	WJ2400287	WJ51765	465.017	300
LN50919	WJ50757	WJ50758	2.801	200
LN2400324	WJ2400401	WJ2400402	146.849	300
LN4048079	WJ2400402	WJ2002889	152.105	300
LN52057	WJ51762	WJ51776	83.414	300
LN52051	WJ51765	WJ51776	100.252	300
LN2400276	WJ2400287	WJ53923	30.567	150
LN2447230	WJ53923	WJ2400290	446.308	150
LN50927	WJ2400283	WJ50747	20.647	300
LN50916	WJ50745	WJ50746	11.346	200
LN2400277	WJ2400289	WJ2400287	95.108	300
LN50920	WJ50755	WJ50757	50.199	200
LN50922	WJ50757	WJ2002914	22.084	200
LN2448313	WJ2004681	WJ50762	97.151	300
C11	WJ50755	G1	96.33	150
C12	WJ50758	G2	95.294	150
C16	WJ2400401	01	30.267	150
C17	WJ2400402	02	44.568	150
C18	03	07	19.592	150
C19	WJ51762	04	72.355	150

Page 2

Link - Node Table: (continued)

Link	 Start	End	Length	Diameter
ID	Node	Node	m	mm
		~	20 010	1 5 0
C2U C21	U0 NI TO 400007	05	30.818	150
	WJZ4UUZ87	06	49.417	150
C23	07	WJ2400402	219.955	300
C24	WJ2400289	J25	159.916	300
C25	08	J45	/6.163	300
C27	WJ2400401	B2	45.75	150
C26	WJ2400402	B1	37.409	150
1	Ex-1	WJ50747	36.981	150
C28	Fire-D1-1	J100	7.179	200
C29	D1-1	D1-2MAIN	26.248	300
C30	J100	DW-D1	10.176	150
C32	D1-1	Fire-D1-2	9.573	200
C33	J10	J6	2.815	200
C34	J6	Fire-C1-1	3.323	200
C35	J25	08	69.9	300
C36	J6	DW-C1	6.087	150
C37	J11	Fire-C1-2	12.35	200
C38	J13	J19	2.376	200
C39	J19	Fire-B1-1	3.582	200
C41	J19	DW-B1	9	150
C42	J17	J18	4.922	200
C43	J18	Fire-B2-1	3.279	200
C40	T45		109.807	300
C45	JT18	DW-B2	6,117	150
C46	JT23	Fire-B2-2	34 685	200
C 4 7	JZ5	.123	52 535	300
C48	.T23	HYD-W-BLVD	12 301	300
C49	HYD-W-BLVD	.128	34 121	300
C50		020 HVD_71	20 000	300
C51	1עם_ע1	T20	29.000	300
C51 C52	T20	106	10.173	300
C52	J J J J	J 90 T 2 1	19.413	300
C53	J96 T01	J J J J J	10.943	300
C54 QFF	JJL T101	J101 	44.111	300
055	JIUI	J 3 3	16.014	300
C56	J33	J 3 4	15.21	300
C5 /	J34	J35	9.88	200
C58	J35	Fire-A2-1	4.389	200
C59	HYD-W-BLVD	HYD-Blvd-west	4.273	150
C60	J35	DW-A2	10.86	150
C61	J34	J24	36.093	300
C62	J24	J26	7.371	300
C63	J26	J27	31.775	300
C64	J27	J29	21.907	300
C65	J29	J32	29.017	300
C66	J32	J37	9.784	300
C67	J37	J38	16.889	300
C68	J38	J39	25.879	300

Page	3			
Link	-	Node	Table:	(continued)

Link	Start	End	Length	Diameter
1D	Node	Node	m	mm
с69	J39	J40	42.468	300
C70	J40	J41	10.097	300
C71	J41	J42	12,985	300
C72	J42	J43	26.626	300
C73	J43	J44	35.817	300
C74	J44	J45	55.817	300
C75	J42	J46	53.208	300
C76	J46	J47	13.265	300
C77	J47	J48	36.828	300
C78	J48	J49	5.261	300
C79	J49	J97	4.277	300
C80	J50	J51	15.633	300
C81	J51	J52	16.602	300
C82	J52	J15	31.451	300
C83	J728	Fire-A1-2	5.709	200
C84	HYD-A1	HYD = A1 = 2	5 093	150
C85	JT30	.157	5.443	200
C86	.157	Fire-A1-1	3 553	200
C87	.157	DW = A1	6 004	150
C88	.164	.163	47 332	300
C89	.163	.196	47 197	300
C90	.163	HYD-C	7 44	150
C91	.т.31	$HYD = \Delta 1 = \Delta 2$	3 06	150
C92	.T101	Fire-12-2	3 244	200
C93	.133	HYD- λ 2-2	4 604	150
C94	.T24	HYD-Station	43 361	200
C95	T26	HVD-StrootB	43.501	200
C95	UZU T27	TEE	21 /36	200
C90 C97	UZ 7 T66	$Fire-D^2-1$	7 111	200
C97	000 166		0 761	200
C90	J 0 0 T 2 Q	DW = DZ Eiro-D2-2	24 207	200
C39	UZ 9 T3 2		24.207	200
C100	U J Z T 3 7		5 307	200
C101 C102	US7 20	HID-AS-1 172	J.307 7 049	100
C102	JJO 770		7.040	200
C103	J72 T72	FILE-AS	J.201 5 950	200
C104 C105	J7Z	DW-AS	J.0J9 E EQE	150
C105	J 3 9 T 4 0	HID-AS-2	5.585 10.424	150
C106 C107	J4U T41	FILE-A4-2	12.434	200
C107	J41 T42	HID-A4-2	6.361	150
C108	J43 T70		6./43	200
	J / 8	DW-A4	10.59	150
HID-A4-1	J 4 4 T 7 0	HYD-A4-1	6.591	150
	U / O	Fire-A4-1	3.608	200
	J46		9.869	200
	J & J T D D	Fire-E-L	5.9/9	200
C114	J X 3	DM-F.	/./6	150
CII5	/ 4 ل	HYD-Park	9.173	15U

Page	4			
Link	-	Node	Table:	(continued)

Link	Start	End	Length	Diameter	
ID	Node	Node	m	mm	
C116	J48	DW-D3	71.399	200	
C117	J49	Fire-D3-1	66.369	200	
C118	J98	HYD-D3-1	10.466	150	
C119	J97	J98	5.062	300	
C120	J98	J99	5.099	300	
C121	J99	Fire-D3-2	10.219	200	
C122	J97	Fire-E-2	13.465	200	
C123	J99	J50	49.94	300	
C124	J50	J91	6.075	200	
C125	J91	Fire-F-1	5.544	200	
C126	J91	DW-F	8.796	150	
C127	J51	HYD-F	4.697	200	
C128	J52	Fire-F-2	7.737	200	
C31	D1-2MAIN	J100	2.626	200	
C129	D1-2MAIN	WJ50762	51.51	300	
C131	J17	J-17-1	92.928	300	
C132	J13	J64	20.492	300	
C133	J64	J11	56.711	300	
C134	J11	J10	70.153	300	
C135	J10	WJ50749	25.771	300	
C22_1	WJ51758	J15	21.283	300	
C22_2	J15	07	237.991	300	
2	J-17-1	J13	20.76	300	
3	J-17-1	Fire-B1-2	15	200	
P1	R4	Dummy-1	#N/A	#N/A	Pump
P3	R1	WJ50762	#N/A	#N/A	Pump
P2	R3	Dummy-2	#N/A	#N/A	Pump
Р5	R5	WJ2002889	#N/A	#N/A	Pump

Energy Usage:

Pump	Usage Factor	Avg. Effic.	 Kw-hr /m3	Avg. Kw	Peak Kw	Cost /day
PI	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Р3	100.00	75.00	0.22	11.31	11.31	0.00
P2	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Р5	100.00	75.00	0.23	49.26	49.26	0.00
				Demand	Charge:	0.00

Demand Charge: 0.00 Total Cost: 0.00

Node TD	Demand LPS	Head	Pressure m	Quality	
08	0.00	151.94	70.24	0.00	
07	0.00	152.07	13.31	0.00	
05	2.64	151 02	13.31	0.00	
05	2.04	151.95 151.95	71 25	0.00	
04	2 64	151.95	71.25	0.00	
02	2.04	152.17	75.47	0.00	
01	3 25	152.17	76 47	0.00	
G2	2.28	151.92	67.72	0.00	
G1	0.00	151.94	67.75	0.00	
Dummy-2	0.00	151.94	74.74	0.00	
Dummy-1	0.00	151.94	74.74	0.00	
WJ50758	0.00	151.95	70.25	0.00	
WJ50762	0.00	151.97	70.27	0.00	
WJ2004681	0.00	151.97	75.14	0.00	
WJ2400290	0.00	151.95	78.70	0.00	
WJ51776	0.00	152.00	75.30	0.00	
WJ51765	0.00	151.98	76.28	0.00	
WJ51762	0.00	151.99	72.79	0.00	
WJ51759	0.00	151.98	72.98	0.00	
WJ51760	2.64	151.98	73.28	0.00	
WJ51758	2.64	151.97	68.97	0.00	
WJ2400287	0.00	151.95	/4.55	0.00	
WJ53923	0.00	151.95	75.65	0.00	
WJ2400289 WT2400283	2.64	151.94	76.42	0.00	
WJZ400203 W T50747	0.00	151.94	76.37	0.00	
WJ50747 WJ50746	0.00	151.94	76.24	0.00	
WJ50745	2 28	151.94	76.24	0.00	
WJ50749	0.00	151.94	70.25	0.00	
WJ50755	0.00	151.94	70.25	0.00	
WJ2002914	0.00	151.95	71.63	0.00	
WJ50757	0.00	151.95	70.25	0.00	
WJ2002889	2.29	152.39	77.39	0.00	
WJ2400401	0.00	152.18	78.57	0.00	
WJ2400402	0.00	152.20	78.22	0.00	
WJ2400391	5.73	152.24	77.51	0.00	
WJ2400446	2.29	152.28	77.55	0.00	
B1	0.61	152.20	76.00	0.00	
B2	0.61	152.18	75.48	0.00	
Ex-1	0.00	151.94	75.74	0.00	
JIOO	0.00	151.95	65.75	0.00	
Fire-DI-I	0.00	151.95	65.75	0.00	
	4.33	151.94	65./4 71 45	0.00	
DI = I Firs = D1 = 2	0.00	151.95	/1.45 65 75	0.00	
LTTG-DT-7	0.00	151 Q/	67 91	0.00	
00	0.00	101.94	0/.94	0.00	
Page 6					

Node Results: (continued)

Node	Demand	Head	Pressure	Quality	
ID	LPS	m	m		
Fire-C1-1	0.00	151.94	67.94	0.00	
DW-C1	2.48	151.94	67.94	0.00	
J10	0.00	151.94	73.94	0.00	
J11	0.00	151.94	74.44	0.00	
Fire-C1-2	0.00	151.94	67.94	0.00	
J13	0.00	151.94	75.44	0.00	
Fire-B1-1	0.00	151.94	69.74	0.00	
DW-B1	1.70	151.94	69.74	0.00	
J17	0.00	151.94	75.94	0.00	
J18	0.00	151.94	73.74	0.00	
J19	0.00	151.94	69.94	0.00	
Fire-B2-1	0.00	151.94	73.74	0.00	
DW-B2	3.86	151.93	73.73	0.00	
J23	0.00	151.94	70.74	0.00	
Fire-B2-2	0.00	151.94	72.14	0.00	
J25	0.00	151.94	75.94	0.00	
J96	0.00	151.94	68.74	0.00	
HAD-M-BTAD	0.00	151.94	/0./4	0.00	
J28	0.00	151.94	70.24	0.00	
HYD-AL	0.00	151.94	/0.24	0.00	
JJU TD1	0.00	151.94	67.84	0.00	
JJL T101	0.00	151.94	67.04	0.00	
133	0.00	151.94 151 Q/	66 74	0.00	
.T34	0.00	151.94	66 24	0.00	
.T35	0.00	151.94	66 24	0.00	
HYD-Station	0.00	151 94	64 84	0.00	
Fire-A2-1	0.00	151.94	66.24	0.00	
DW-A2	1.48	151.94	66.24	0.00	
J24	0.00	151.94	65.34	0.00	
J26	0.00	151.94	65.34	0.00	
J27	0.00	151.94	65.34	0.00	
J29	0.00	151.94	65.34	0.00	
J32	0.00	151.94	65.24	0.00	
J37	0.00	151.94	65.24	0.00	
J38	0.00	151.94	66.14	0.00	
J39	0.00	151.94	65.34	0.00	
J40	0.00	151.94	68.94	0.00	
J41	0.00	151.94	68.94	0.00	
J42	0.00	151.94	68.74	0.00	
J43	0.00	151.94	68.74	0.00	
J44	0.00	151.95	69.95	0.00	
J45	0.00	151.95	74.45	0.00	
J46	0.00	151.94	69.34	0.00	
J47	0.00	151.94	69.54	0.00	
J48	0.00	151.94	68.24	0.00	
J 4 9	0.00	151.94	68.24	0.00	
Daga 7					

Page 7 Node Results: (continued)

Node	Demand	Head	Pressure	Quality	
ID	LPS	m	m		
 .т50	0 00	151 95	67 75	0 00	
J51	0.00	151.96	68.76	0.00	
.152	0 00	151 96	68 76	0 00	
HYD-Blud-west	0.00	151.90	70 74	0.00	
Fire- $\lambda 1 - 2$	0.00	151 94	70.74	0.00	
$HYD = \Delta 1 = 2$	0.00	151 94	70.24	0.00	
.157	0.00	151 94	67 84	0.00	
$HYD = \Delta 1 = \Delta 2$	0.00	151 94	67 84	0.00	
Fire-A1-1	0.00	151.94	69.74	0.00	
DW = A1	3.04	151 94	69.74	0.00	
Fire-A2-2	0.00	151 94	67.24	0.00	
HYD = A2 = 2	0 00	151 94	66 74	0 00	
.163	0.00	151.94	69.74	0.00	
164	0.00	151 94	74.94	0.00	
HYD-StreetB	0.00	151 94	65.34	0.00	
J66	0.00	151.93	65.23	0.00	
Fire-D2-1	0.00	151.93	65.23	0.00	
DW - D2	3.98	151.93	65.23	0.00	
Fire-D2-2	0.00	1.51.94	65.34	0.00	
HYD-D3-2	0.00	151.94	64.84	0.00	
HYD-A3-1	0.00	151.94	65.24	0.00	
J72	0.00	151.94	66.14	0.00	
Fire-A3	0.00	151.94	66.14	0.00	
DW-A3	1.37	151.94	66.14	0.00	
HYD-A3-2	0.00	151.94	65.34	0.00	
Fire-A4-2	0.00	151.94	68.94	0.00	
HYD-A4-2	0.00	151.94	68.94	0.00	
J78	0.00	151.94	68.74	0.00	
Fire-A4-1	0.00	151.94	68.74	0.00	
DW-A4	1.39	151.94	68.74	0.00	
HYD-A4-1	0.00	151.95	69.95	0.00	
HYD-Park	0.00	151.94	69.54	0.00	
J83	0.00	151.94	69.34	0.00	
Fire-E-1	0.00	151.94	69.34	0.00	
DW-E	2.73	151.94	69.34	0.00	
DW-D3	7.02	151.90	68.20	0.00	
Fire-D3-1	0.00	151.94	68.24	0.00	
Fire-E-2	0.00	151.95	68.25	0.00	
Fire-D3-2	0.00	151.95	68.25	0.00	
HYD-D3-1	0.00	151.95	68.25	0.00	
J91	0.00	151.95	67.75	0.00	
Fire-F-1	0.00	151.95	67.75	0.00	
DW-F	2.79	151.95	67.75	0.00	
HYD-F	0.00	151.96	68.76	0.00	
Fire-F-2	0.00	151.96	68.76	0.00	
HYD-C	0.00	151.94	69.74	0.00	
J97	0.00	151.95	67.95	0.00	
Page 8					

Node Results: (continued)

Node	Demand	Head	Pressure	Quality	
ID	LPS	m	m		
		1 5 1 0 5			
J 98 TO 0	0.00	151.95 151.05	67.95	0.00	
U J J J MA TNI	0.00	151.95 151.05	70 05	0.00	
DI-ZMAIN	0.00	151.95	70.95	0.00	
UID Eimo D1 0	0.00	151.97	00.97	0.00	
ГIIE-DI-2 т 17 1	0.00	151.94 151.04	75.69	0.00	
	-14 19	91 00	/5.09	0.00	Pagaruair
D3 VT	-14.19	91.00 100.00	0.00	0.00	Reservoir
D/	0.00	100.00	0.00	0.00	Reservoir
R5	-60.41	90.00	0.00	0.00	Reservoir
	00111				1.0001.011
Link Results:					
Link	Flow	VelocityU	nit Headloss	s Stat	cus
ID	LPS	m/s	m/km		
LN2447328	0.00	0.00	0.00	Open	
LN50930	9.86	0.14	0.14	Open	
C130	3.52	0.05	0.02	Open	
LN52048	4.36	0.06	0.03	Open	
LN50926	6.67	0.09	0.07	Open	
LN50933	0.13	0.00	0.00	Open	
LN50918	1.04	0.03	0.02	Open	
LN2446937	26.15	0.37	0.87	Open	
LN52047	7.73	0.11	0.09	Open	
LN52040	9.46	0.13	0.13	Open	
LN2447335	0.00	0.00	0.00	Open	
LN2446950	5.73	0.18	0.38	Open	
LN2447275	18.13	0.26	0.44	Open	
LN52039	2.64	0.04	0.01	Open	
LN50915	1.24	0.04	0.02	Open	
LN2400279	4.75	0.07	0.04	Open	
LN52059	21.76	0.31	0.62	Open	
LN244/31/	7.03	0.10	0.08	Open	
LN30919	2.28	0.07	0.07	Open	
LN2400324	7.JU 21.07	0.11	1.26	0pen Open	
LN4040079	10 37	0.45	1.20	Open	
LN52051	11 39	0.15	0.10	Open	
LN2400276	0 00	0.10	0.19	Open	
LN2447230	0.00	0.00	0.00	Open	
LN50927	4.75	0.07	0.04	Open	
LN50916	2.28	0.07	0.07	Open	
LN2400277	7.03	0.10	0.08	Open	
LN50920	0.91	0.03	0.01	Open	
LN50922	3.19	0.10	0.13	Open	
LN2448313	0.00	0.00	0.00	Open	
Page 9					

Link	Flow	VelocityUnit	Headloss	Status
ID	LPS	m/s	m/km	
C11	0.00	0.00	0.00	Open
C12	2.28	0.13	0.28	Open
C16	3.25	0.18	0.54	Open
C17	3.25	0.18	0.54	Open
C18	2.64	0.15	0.36	Open
C19	2.64	0.15	0.36	Open
C20	2.64	0.15	0.36	Open
C21	0.00	0.00	0.00	Open
C23	20.62	0.29	0.56	Open
C24	0.37	0.01	0.00	Open
C25	6.24	0.09	0.06	Open
C27	0.61	0.03	0.02	Open
C26	0.61	0.03	0.02	Open
1	0.00	0.00	0.00	Open
C28	0.00	0.00	0.00	Open
C29	9.86	0.14	0.14	Open
C30	4.33	0.25	0.91	Open
C32	0.00	0.00	0.00	Open
C33	2.48	0.08	0.08	Open
C34	0.00	0.00	0.00	Open
C35	3.60	0.05	0.02	Open
C36	2.48	0.14	0.32	Open
C37	0.00	0.00	0.00	Open
C38	1.70	0.05	0.04	Open
C39	0.00	0.00	0.00	Open
C41	1.70	0.10	0.16	Open
C42	3.86	0.12	0.18	Open
C43	0.00	0.00	0.00	Open
C40	11.67	0.17	0.20	Open
C45	3.86	0.22	0.74	Open
C46	0.00	0.00	0.00	Open
C47	3.23	0.05	0.02	Open
C48	3.23	0.05	0.02	Open
C49	3.23	0.05	0.02	Open
C50	3.23	0.05	0.02	Open
C51	3.23	0.05	0.02	Open
C52	0.19	0.00	0.00	Open
C53	2.21	0.03	0.01	Open
C54	2 21	0 03	0 01	Open
C 5 5	2.21	0.03	0.01	Open
C56	2.21	0.03	0 01	Open
C57	1 48	0.05	0 03	Open
C58	0.00	0.00	0.00	Open
C59	0 00	0 00	0.00	Open
C 60	1 4 8	0 08	0.12	
C 61	1.70 0.72	0.00	0 00	Open
C 62	0.73	0.01	0.00	
	0.15	0.01	0.00	00011
Page 10				

Link ID	Flow LPS	VelocityUnit m/s	Headloss m/km	Status
C64	0.75	0.01	0.00	Open
C 6 5	2.25	0.05	0.02	Open
COS	2.25	0.05	0.02	Open
C00	3.2J 3.25	0.05	0.02	Open
C67	J.ZJ 4 62	0.03	0.02	Open
COB	4.02	0.07	0.04	Open
C70	4.02	0.07	0.04	Open
C70	4.02	0.07	0.04	Open
C72	4.02	0.06	0.04	Open
C73	5 43	0.00	0.05	Open
C74	5 43	0.00	0.05	Open
C75	0 59	0.00	0.00	Open
C76	3 32	0.05	0.02	Open
C77	3 32	0.05	0.02	Open
C78	10.34	0.15	0.16	Open
C79	10.34	0.15	0.16	Open
C80	13.13	0.19	0.24	Open
C81	13.13	0.19	0.24	Open
C82	13.13	0.19	0.24	Open
C83	0.00	0.00	0.00	Open
C84	0.00	0.00	0.00	Open
C85	3.04	0.10	0.12	Open
C86	0.00	0.00	0.00	Open
C87	3.04	0.17	0.47	Open
C88	2.01	0.03	0.01	Open
C89	2.01	0.03	0.01	Open
C90	0.00	0.00	0.00	Open
C91	0.00	0.00	0.00	Open
C92	0.00	0.00	0.00	Open
C93	0.00	0.00	0.00	Open
C94	0.00	0.00	0.00	Open
C95	0.00	0.00	0.00	Open
C96	3.98	0.13	0.19	Open
C97	0.00	0.00	0.00	Open
C98	3.98	0.23	0./8	Open
C99	0.00	0.00	0.00	Open
C100 C101	0.00	0.00	0.00	Open
	1 27	0.00	0.00	Open
C102 C102	1.3/	0.04	0.03	Open
C104	1 27	0.00	0.00	
C105	1.01	0.00	0.11	
C106	0.00	0.00	0.00	
C107			0.00	
C108	1 39	0.00	0.00	Open
C109	1 39	0.04	0.03	Open
0107	1.00	0.00	V• T T	02011
Page 11				

Link ID	Flow LPS	VelocityUnit m/s	Headloss m/km	Stat	us
 HYD-A4-1	0.00	0.00	0.00	Open	
C111	0.00	0.00	0.00	Open	
C112	2.73	0.09	0.10	Open	
C113	0.00	0.00	0.00	Open	
C114	2.73	0.15	0.39	Open	
C115	0.00	0.00	0.00	Open	
C116	7.02	0.22	0.55	Open	
C117	0.00	0.00	0.00	Open	
C118	0.00	0.00	0.00	Open	
C119	10.34	0.15	0.16	Open	
C120	10.34	0.15	0.16	Open	
C121	0.00	0.00	0.00	Open	
C122	0.00	0.00	0.00	Open	
C123	10.34	0.15	0.16	Open	
C124	2.79	0.09	0.10	Open	
C125	0.00	0.00	0.00	Open	
C126	2.79	0.16	0.40	Open	
C127	0.00	0.00	0.00	Open	
C128	0.00	0.00	0.00	Open	
C31	4.33	0.14	0.22	Open	
C129	14.19	0.20	0.28	Open	
C131	0.34	0.00	0.00	Open	
C132	2.04	0.03	0.01	Open	
C133	4.06	0.06	0.03	Open	
C134	4.06	0.06	0.03	Open	
C135	6.54	0.09	0.07	Open	
C22_1	4.85	0.07	0.04	Open	
C22_2	17.98	0.25	0.44	Open	
2	0.34	0.00	0.00	Open	
3	0.00	0.00	0.00	Open	_
P1	0.00	0.00	0.00	Closed	Pump
P3	14.19	0.00	-60.97	Open	Pump
P2	0.00	0.00	0.00	Closed	Pump
P5	60.41	0.00	-62.39	Open	Pump



Page 1		2/23/2021	12:02:47 PM
* * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * *	* * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * *	********	* * * * * * * * * * * *
*	EPANET		*
*	Hydraulic and Water Qualit	У	*
*	Analysis for Pipe Networks		*
*	Version 2.2		*
* * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * *	* * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * *	*********	*******

Input File: LS_WM_2.net

Scenario 2 - Proposed PHD + Existing PHD Propose and Existing Peak hour Demand

Link - Node Table:

Link	Start	End	Length	Diameter
ID	Node	Node	m	mm
LN2447328	 Dummv-1	WJ50745	462.132	200
LN50930	D1-1	WJ2002914	8.686	300
C130	J17	WJ50747	34.482	300
LN52048	WJ51759	WJ51765	93.531	300
LN50926	WJ2002914	WJ50749	49.806	300
LN50933	WJ50755	WJ50749	21.248	200
LN50918	WJ50746	WJ50755	305.232	200
LN2446937	WJ2002889	WJ2400446	126.405	300
LN52047	WJ51759	WJ51762	94.103	300
LN52040	WJ51758	WJ51759	91.548	300
LN2447335	WJ2400283	Dummy-2	505.851	300
LN2446950	WJ2400446	WJ2400391	93.517	200
LN2447275	WJ2400446	WJ2400401	214.556	300
LN52039	WJ51759	WJ51760	20.162	300
LN50915	WJ50746	WJ50747	10.092	200
LN2400279	WJ2400289	WJ2400283	15.257	300
LN52059	WJ51776	WJ2400401	292.409	300
LN2447317	WJ2400287	WJ51765	465.017	300
LN50919	WJ50757	WJ50758	2.801	200
LN2400324	WJ2400401	WJ2400402	146.849	300
LN4048079	WJ2400402	WJ2002889	152.105	300
LN52057	WJ51762	WJ51776	83.414	300
LN52051	WJ51765	WJ51776	100.252	300
LN2400276	WJ2400287	WJ53923	30.567	150
LN2447230	WJ53923	WJ2400290	446.308	150
LN50927	WJ2400283	WJ50747	20.647	300
LN50916	WJ50745	WJ50746	11.346	200
LN2400277	WJ2400289	WJ2400287	95.108	300
LN50920	WJ50755	WJ50757	50.199	200
LN50922	WJ50757	WJ2002914	22.084	200
LN2448313	WJ2004681	WJ50762	97.151	300
C11	WJ50755	G1	96.33	150
C12	WJ50758	G2	95.294	150
C16	WJ2400401	01	30.267	150
C17	WJ2400402	02	44.568	150
C18	03	07	19.592	150

Page 2 Link - Node Tal	ble: (continued	Scenario 2 - P:)	roposed PHD	+ Existing PHD
Link ID	Start Node	End Node	Length m	Diameter mm
C19	WJ51762	04	72.355	150
C20	08	05	30.818	150
C21	WJ2400287	06	49.417	150
C23	07	WJ2400402	219.955	300
C24	WJ2400289	J25	159.916	300
C25	08	J45	76.163	300
C27	WJ2400401	B2	45.75	150
C26	WJ2400402	B1	37.409	150
1	Ex-1	WJ50747	36.981	150
C28	Fire-D1-1	J100	7.179	200
C29	D1-2MAIN	D1-1	26.248	300
C30	J100	DW-D1	10.176	150
C32	D1-1	Fire-D1-2	9.573	200
C33	J10	J6	2.815	200
C34	J6	Fire-C1-1	3.323	200
C35	J25	08	69.9	300
C36	J6	DW-C1	6.087	150
C37	J11	Fire-Cl-2	12.35	200
C38	J13	J19	2.376	200
C39	J19 	Fire-BI-I	3.582	200
C41	J19 	DW-BL	9	150
C42	JI/	J18	4.922	200
C43	J18 T4F	Fire-BZ-I	3.2/9	200
C40	J45 T10	WJJI/JO	109.807	300
C45	010 722	DW-BZ	0.11/	100
C40	JZJ T25	F110-D2-2 T23	54.00J	200
C47	U2J T23	UZJ UVD_W_RIVD	12 301	300
C40	UZJ HVD-W-BLVD	.T28	34 121	300
C50	.T28	020 HYD-A1	29 808	300
C51	HYD-A1	JT30	16 173	300
C52	J30	HYD-A2	19.413	300
C53	HYD-A2	J31	10.945	300
C54	J31	J101	44.111	300
C55	J101	J33	16.014	300
C56	J33	J34	15.21	300
C57	J34	J35	9.88	200
C58	J35	Fire-A2-1	4.389	200
C59	HYD-W-BLVD	HYD-Blvd-west	4.273	150
C60	J35	DW-A2	10.86	150
C61	J34	J24	36.093	300
C62	J24	J26	7.371	300
C63	J26	J27	31.775	300
C64	J27	J29	21.907	300
C65	J29	J32	29.017	300
C66	J32	J37	9.784	300
C67	J37	J38	16.889	300

Page	3
------	---

Scenario 2 - Proposed PHD + Existing PHD

Link - Node Table: (continued)					
Link	Start	End	Length	Diameter	
ID	Node	Node	m	mm	
C68	J38	J39	25.879	300	
C69	J39	J40	42.468	300	
C70	J40	J41	10.097	300	
C71	J41	J42	12.985	300	
C72	J42	J43	26.626	300	
C73	J43	J44	35.817	300	
C74	J44	J45	55.817	300	
C75	J42	J46	53.208	300	
C76	J46	J47	13.265	300	
C77	J47	J48	36.828	300	
C78	J48	J49	5.261	300	
C79	J49	J97	4.277	300	
C80	J50	J51	15.633	300	
C81	J51	J52	16.602	300	
C82	J52	J15	31.451	300	
C83	J28	Fire-A1-2	5.709	200	
C84	HYD-A1	HYD-A1-2	5.093	150	
C85	J30	J57	5.443	200	
C86	J57	Fire-A1-1	3.553	200	
C87	J57	DW-A1	6.004	150	
C88	J64	J63	47.332	300	
C89	J63	HYD-A2	47.197	300	
C90	J63	J96	7.44	150	
C91	J31	HYD-A1-A2	3.06	150	
C92	J101	Fire-A2-2	3.244	200	
C93	J33	HYD = A2 = 2	4.604	150	
C94	J724	HYD-Station	43,361	200	
C95	J26	HYD-StreetB	4.626	150	
C96	J27	166	21,436	200	
C97	J66	Fire-D2-1	7 441	200	
C98	J66	DW - D2	9.761	150	
C99	J729	Fire-D2-2	24,207	200	
C100	J32	HYD-D3-2	66.384	200	
C101	J37	HYD-A3-1	5,307	150	
C102	J38	,172	7.048	200	
C103	J72	Fire-A3	5.201	200	
C104	J72	DW - A3	5.859	150	
C105	J39	HYD-A3-2	5.585	150	
C106	J40	Fire-A4-2	12.434	200	
C107	J41	HYD-A4-2	6.561	150	
C108	JT43	.T78	6.743	200	
C109	J78	DW - A4	10 59	150	
HYD-A4-1	J44	HYD-A4-1	6,591	150	
C111	J78	Fire-A4-1	3.608	200	
C112	J46	,783	9,869	200	
C113	J83	Fire-E-1	5.979	200	
C114	J83		7.76	1.50	
Page 4

Scenario 2 - Proposed PHD + Existing PHD

Link - Nod	e Table: (continu	ued)	_	_	
Link ID	Start Node	End Node	Length m	Diameter mm	
C115	J47	HYD-Park	9.173	150	
C116	J48	DW-D3	71.399	200	
C117	J49	Fire-D3-1	66.369	200	
C118	J98	HYD-D3-1	10.466	150	
C119	J97	J98	5.062	300	
C120	J98	J99	5.099	300	
C121	J99	Fire-D3-2	10.219	200	
C122	J97	Fire-E-2	13.465	200	
C123	J99	J50	49.94	300	
C124	J50	J91	6.075	200	
C125	J91	Fire-F-1	5.544	200	
C126	J91	DW-F	8.796	150	
C127	J51	HYD-F	4.697	200	
C128	J52	Fire-F-2	7.737	200	
C31	D1-2MAIN	J100	2.626	200	
C129	WJ50762	D1-2MAIN	51.51	300	
C131	J-17-1	J17	92.928	300	
C132	J64	J13	20.492	300	
C133	J11	J64	56.711	300	
C134	J10	J11	70.153	300	
C135	WJ50749	J10	25.771	300	
C22_1	WJ51758	J15	21.283	300	
C22 2	J15	07	237.991	300	
2 –	J13	J-17-1	20.76	300	
3	J-17-1	Fire-B1-2	15	200	
P1	R4	Dummy-1	#N/A	#N/A	Pump
Р3	R1	WJ50762	#N/A	#N/A	Pump
P2	R3	Dummy-2	#N/A	#N/A	Pump
P5	R5	WJ2002889	#N/A	#N/A	Pump

Energy Usage:

Pump	Usage Factor	Avg. Effic.	Kw-hr /m3	Avg. Kw	Peak Kw	Cost /day
P1	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Р3	100.00	75.00	0.20	47.46	47.46	0.00
P2	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Р5	100.00	75.00	0.20	80.65	80.65	0.00
				Demand	Charge:	0.00
				Total (Cost:	0.00

Node Results:

Node ID	Demand LPS	Head m	Pressure m	Quality	
Node ID 08 07 03 05 06 04 02 01 62 61 Dummy-2 Dummy-1 WJ50758 WJ50762 WJ2004681 WJ2400290 WJ51776 WJ51776 WJ517759 WJ51759 WJ51760 WJ51758	Demand LPS 0.00 0.00 6.59 6.59 0.00 6.59 8.11 8.11 5.70 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00	Head m 144.35 144.58 144.55 144.29 144.37 144.27 144.75 144.75 144.75 144.75 144.67 144.37 144.37 144.37 144.73 145.17 145.17 144.37 144.41 144.41 144.41 144.39 144.39 144.37	Pressure m 67.85 61.58 61.55 68.77 66.97 65.07 70.77 71.14 62.88 62.97 66.37 66.37 66.37 66.37 63.03 63.47 68.35 71.13 67.44 68.71 65.21 65.39 65.69 61.37	Quality 0.00 0.0	
WJ2400287 WJ53923 WJ2400289 WJ2400283 WJ50747 WJ50746 WJ50745 WJ50745 WJ2002914 WJ50755 WJ2002889 WJ2400401 WJ2400402 WJ2400402 WJ2400402 WJ2400391 WJ2400446 B1 B2 Ex-1 J100 Fire-D1-1 DW-D1 D1-1 Fire-D1-2 J6	0.00 0.00 6.59 0.00 0.00 0.00 5.70 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 5.72 0.00 0.00 14.33 5.72 1.53 1.53 1.53 0.000 0.00 0.	144.37 144.37 144.37 144.37 144.37 144.37 144.37 144.37 144.67 144.67 144.67 144.67 144.78 144.73 145.43 145.43 144.84 144.88 144.88 144.87 144.87 144.87 144.81 144.81 144.62	66.97 68.07 68.07 68.67 68.67 68.67 62.97 62.97 64.46 63.03 70.43 71.23 70.90 70.14 70.34 68.67 68.13 68.13 68.17 58.71 58.71 58.61 60.62		

Page 6

Node Results: (continued)

Node ID	Demand LPS	Head m	Pressure m	Quality	
Fire-C1-1 DW-C1	0.00 6.10	144.62 144.60	60.62 60.60	0.00 0.00	
J10	0.00	144.62	66.62	0.00	
J11	0.00	144.50	67.00	0.00	
Fire-C1-2	0.00	144.50	60.50	0.00	
J13	0.00	144.40	67.90	0.00	
Fire-B1-1	0.00	144.40	62.20	0.00	
DW-B1	4.15	144.39	62.19	0.00	
J17	0.00	144.37	68.37	0.00	
J18	0.00	144.37	66.17	0.00	
J19	0.00	144.40	62.40	0.00	
Fire-B2-1	0.00	144.37	66.17	0.00	
DW-B2	9.52	144.34	66.14	0.00	
J23	0.00	144.35	63.15	0.00	
Fire-B2-2	0.00	144.35	64.55	0.00	
J25	0.00	144.35	68.35	0.00	
HYD-A2	0.00	144.36	61.16	0.00	
HYD-W-BLVD	0.00	144.35	63.15	0.00	
J28	0.00	144.35	62.65	0.00	
HYD-A1	0.00	144.35	62.65	0.00	
J30	0.00	144.35	60.25	0.00	
J31	0.00	144.35	60.25	0.00	
J101	0.00	144.34	59.64	0.00	
J33	0.00	144.34	59.14	0.00	
J34	0.00	144.33	58.63	0.00	
J35	0.00	144.33	58.63	0.00	
HYD-Station	0.00	144.33	57.23	0.00	
Fire-A2-1	0.00	144.33	58.63	0.00	
DW-A2	3.64	144.33	58.63	0.00	
J24	0.00	144.33	57.73	0.00	
J26	0.00	144.33	57.73	0.00	
J27	0.00	144.32	57.72	0.00	
J29	0.00	144.32	57.72	0.00	
J32	0.00	144.32	57.62	0.00	
J37	0.00	144.32	57.62	0.00	
J38	0.00	144.32	58.52	0.00	
J39	0.00	144.32	57.72	0.00	
J40	0.00	144.32	61.32	0.00	
J41	0.00	144.32	61.32	0.00	
J42	0.00	144.32	61.12	0.00	
J43	0.00	144.33	61.13	0.00	
J44	0.00	144.34	62.34	0.00	
J45	0.00	144.35	66.85	0.00	
J46	0.00	144.32	61.72	0.00	
J47	0.00	144.32	61.92	0.00	
J48	0.00	144.32	60.62	0.00	
J49	0.00	144.32	60.62	0.00	

Page 7

Node Results: (continued)

Node ID	Demand LPS	Head m	Pressure m	Quality	
J50 J51	0.00	144.34 144 35	 60.14 61 15	0.00	
J52	0.00	144.35	61.15	0.00	
HYD-Blvd-west	0.00	144.35	63.15	0.00	
Fire-A1-2	0.00	144.35	62.65	0.00	
HYD-A1-2	0.00	144.35	62.65	0.00	
J57	0.00	144.35	60.25	0.00	
HYD-A1-A2	0.00	144.35	60.25	0.00	
Fire-A1-1	0.00	144.35	62.15	0.00	
DW-A1	7.38	144.34	62.14	0.00	
Fire-A2-2	0.00	144.34	59.64	0.00	
HYD-A2-2	0.00	144.34	59.14	0.00	
J63	0.00	144.38	62.18	0.00	
J64	0.00	144.41	67.41	0.00	
HYD-StreetB	0.00	144.33	57.73	0.00	
	0.00	144.31	57.61	0.00	
Fire-D2-I	0.00	144.31	57.61	0.00	
DW-DZ Firo-D2-2	7.84	144.20	57.58	0.00	
LTIG-DS-5	0.00	144.32	J7.72	0.00	
HID = D3 = 2 HYD = 33 = 1	0.00	144.32	57 62	0.00	
.172	0.00	144 32	58 52	0.00	
Fire-A3	0.00	144 32	58 52	0.00	
DW - A3	1.64	144.32	58.52	0.00	
HYD-A3-2	0.00	144.32	57.72	0.00	
Fire-A4-2	0.00	144.32	61.32	0.00	
HYD-A4-2	0.00	144.32	61.32	0.00	
J78	0.00	144.33	61.13	0.00	
Fire-A4-1	0.00	144.33	61.13	0.00	
DW-A4	3.38	144.32	61.12	0.00	
HYD-A4-1	0.00	144.34	62.34	0.00	
HYD-Park	0.00	144.32	61.92	0.00	
J83	0.00	144.31	61.71	0.00	
Fire-E-1	0.00	144.31	61.71	0.00	
DW-E	6.53	144.30	61.70	0.00	
DW-D3	16.76	144.12	60.42	0.00	
Fire-D3-1	0.00	144.32	60.62	0.00	
Fire-E-2	0.00	144.32	60.62	0.00	
Fire-D3-2	0.00	144.32	60.62	0.00	
HYD-D3-1	0.00	144.32	60.62	0.00	
JYI Eine E 1	0.00	144.33	6U.13	0.00	
Fire-F-1	0.00	144.33 144.33	6U.13	0.00	
DM-F DM-F	0.88 0.00	144.31 177 25	0U.11 61 15	0.00	
niu-r Firo-F-2	0.00	144.00 177 25	61 15	0.00	
гтте-г-с тоб	0.00	144.33 144.33	01.1J 62 10	0.00	
J 97		144 30	60 32		
	0.00	111.02	00.52	0.00	

Page 8

Node Results: (continued)

Node ID	Demand LPS	Head m	Pressure m	Quality	
J98 J99 D1-2MAIN J15 Fire-B1-2 J-17-1 R1 R3 R4 R5	0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 -67.04 0.00 0.00 -111.32	144.32144.32144.92144.37144.39144.3991.00100.00100.0090.00	60.32 60.32 63.92 61.37 68.14 68.14 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00	$\begin{array}{c} 0.00\\ 0.00\\ 0.00\\ 0.00\\ 0.00\\ 0.00\\ 0.00\\ 0.00\\ 0.00\\ 0.00\\ 0.00\\ 0.00\\ 0.00\\ 0.00\\ 0.00\\ \end{array}$	Reservoir Reservoir Reservoir Reservoir
Link Results:					
Link ID	Flow LPS	VelocityU m/s	nit Headloss m/km	s Stat	tus
LN2447328 LN50930 C130 LN52048 LN50926 LN50933 LN50918 LN2446937 LN52047 LN52040 LN2447335 LN2446950 LN2447275 LN52039 LN50915 LN2400279 LN52059 LN2447317	0.00 58.49 3.34 9.43 42.74 0.42 9.63 49.31 10.50 13.34 0.00 14.33 29.26 6.59 3.93 7.27 33.26 6.75	0.00 0.83 0.05 0.13 0.60 0.01 0.31 0.70 0.15 0.19 0.00 0.46 0.41 0.09 0.12 0.10 0.47 0.10	0.00 3.87 0.02 0.13 2.16 0.00 0.99 2.82 0.16 0.25 0.00 2.06 1.07 0.07 0.19 0.08 1.36 0.07	Open Open Open Open Open Open Open Open	

				1
LN52059	33.26	0.47	1.36	Open
LN2447317	6.75	0.10	0.07	Open
LN50919	5.70	0.18	0.37	Open
LN2400324	13.65	0.19	0.26	Open
LN4048079	56.29	0.80	3.60	Open
LN52057	17.09	0.24	0.40	Open
LN52051	16.17	0.23	0.36	Open
LN2400276	0.00	0.00	0.00	Open
LN2447230	0.00	0.00	0.00	Open
LN50927	7.27	0.10	0.08	Open
LN50916	5.70	0.18	0.37	Open
LN2400277	6.75	0.10	0.07	Open
LN50920	10.04	0.32	1.07	Open
LN50922	15.74	0.50	2.45	Open
LN2448313	0.00	0.00	0.00	Open

Page 9

Link Results: (continued)

Link	Flow	VelocityUnit	Headloss	Status
ut 	LPS 	m/s	m/ Km 	
C11	0.00	0.00	0.00	Open
C12	5.70	0.32	1.52	Open
C16	8.11	0.46	2.92	Open
C17	8.11	0.46	2.92	Open
C18	6.59	0.37	1.99	Open
C19	6.59	0.37	1.99	Open
C20	6.59	0.37	1.99	Open
C21	0.00	0.00	0.00	Open
C23	33.01	0.47	1.34	Open
C24	7.42	0.11	0.08	Open
C25	0 44	0.01	0.00	Open
C27	1.53	0.09	0.13	Open
C26	1.53	0.09	0.13	Open
1	0.00	0.00	0.00	Open
 C28	0.00	0.00	0.00	Open
C29	58.49	0.83	3.87	Open
C30	8.55	0.48	3.22	Open
C32	0.00	0.00	0.00	Open
C33	6.10	0.19	0.42	Open
C34	0.00	0.00	0.00	Open
C35	7.03	0.10	0.08	Open
C36	6.10	0.35	1.72	Open
C37	0.00	0.00	0.00	Open
C38	4.15	0.13	0.21	Open
C39	0.00	0.00	0.00	Open
C41	4.15	0.23	0.84	Open
C42	9.52	0.30	0.97	Open
C43	0.00	0.00	0.00	Open
C40	12.22	0.17	0.21	Open
C45	9.52	0.54	3.92	Open
C46	0.00	0.00	0.00	Open
C47	0.39	0.01	0.00	Open
C48	0.39	0.01	0.00	Open
C49	0.39	0.01	0.00	Open
C50	0.39	0.01	0.00	Open
C51	0.39	0.01	0.00	Open
C52	6.99	0.10	0.08	Open
C53	13.06	0.18	0.24	Open
C54	13.06	0.18	0.24	Open
C55	13.06	0.18	0.24	Open
C56	13.06	0.18	0.24	Open
C57	3.64	0.12	0.16	Open
C58	0.00	0.00	0.00	Open
C59	0.00	0.00	0.00	Open
C60	3.64	0.21	0.66	Open
C61	9.42	0.13	0.13	Open
C62	9.42	0.13	0.13	Open

Page 10 Link Results: (continued)

Link	Flow	VelocityUnit	Headloss	Status
1D 				
C63	9.42	0.13	0.13	Open
C64	1.58	0.02	0.00	Open
C65	1.58	0.02	0.00	Open
C66	1.58	0.02	0.00	Open
C67	1.58	0.02	0.00	Open
C68	0.06	0.00	0.00	Open
C69	0.06	0.00	0.00	Open
C70	0.06	0.00	0.00	Open
C71	0.06	0.00	0.00	Open
C72	9.28	0.13	0.13	Open
C73	12.66	0.18	0.23	Open
C74	12.66	0.18	0.23	Open
C75	9.22	0.13	0.13	Open
C76	2.69	0.04	0.01	Open
C77	2.69	0.04	0.01	Open
C78	14.07	0.20	0.28	Open
C79	14.07	0.20	0.28	Open
C80	20.95	0.30	0.58	Open
C81	20.95	0.30	0.58	Open
C82	20.95	0.30	0.58	Open
C83	0.00	0.00	0.00	Open
C84	0.00	0.00	0.00	Open
C85	7.38	0.23	0.60	Open
C86	0.00	0.00	0.00	Open
C87	7.38	0.42	2.45	Open
C88	20.05	0.28	0.53	Open
C89	20.05	0.28	0.53	Open
C90	0.00	0.00	0.00	Open
C91	0.00	0.00	0.00	Open
C92	0.00	0.00	0.00	Open
C93	0.00	0.00	0.00	Open
C94	0.00	0.00	0.00	Open
C95	0.00	0.00	0.00	Open
C96	7.84	0.25	0.67	Open
C97	0.00	0.00	0.00	Open
C98	7.84	0.44	2.74	Open
C99	0.00	0.00	0.00	Open
C100	0.00	0.00	0.00	Open
C101	0.00	0.00	0.00	Open
C102	1.64	0.05	0.04	Open
C103	0.00	0.00	0.00	Open
C104	1.64	0.09	0.15	Open
C105	0.00	0.00	0.00	Open
C106	0.00	0.00	0.00	Open
C107	0.00	0.00	0.00	Open
C108	3.38	0.11	0.14	Open
C109	3.38	0.19	0.58	Open

Page 11

Scenario 2 - Proposed PHD + Existing PHD

Link Results: (continued)

Link ID	Flow LPS	VelocityUnit m/s	Headloss m/km	Status	
HYD-A4-1	0.00	0.00	0.00	Open	
C111	0.00	0.00	0.00	Open	
C112	6.53	0.21	0.48	Open	
C113	0.00	0.00	0.00	Open	
C114	6.53	0.37	1.95	Open	
C115	0.00	0.00	0.00	Open	
C116	16.76	0.53	2.75	Open	
C117	0.00	0.00	0.00	Open	
C118	0.00	0.00	0.00	Open	
C119	14.07	0.20	0.28	Open	
C120	14.07	0.20	0.28	Open	
C121	0.00	0.00	0.00	Open	
C122	0.00	0.00	0.00	Open	
C123	14.07	0.20	0.28	Open	
C124	6.88	0.22	0.53	Open	
C125	0.00	0.00	0.00	Open	
C126	6.88	0.39	2.15	Open	
C127	0.00	0.00	0.00	Open	
C128	0.00	0.00	0.00	Open	
C31	8.55	0.27	0.79	Open	
C129	67.04	0.95	4.98	Open	
C131	12.86	0.18	0.23	Open	
C132	17.01	0.24	0.39	Open	
C133	37.06	0.52	1.66	Open	
C134	37.06	0.52	1.66	Open	
C135	43.16	0.61	2.20	Open	
C22 1	5.47	0.08	0.05	Open	
C22 ² 2	26.42	0.37	0.89	Open	
2	12.86	0.18	0.23	Open	
3	0.00	0.00	0.00	Open	
P1	0.00	0.00	0.00	Closed Pump	
Р3	67.04	0.00	-54.17	Open Pump	
P2	0.00	0.00	0.00	Closed Pump	
Р5	111.32	0.00	-55.43	Open Pump	



Page 1	2	/23/2021 12:06:00 PM
* * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * *	* * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * *	* * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * *
*	EPANET	*
*	Hydraulic and Water Quality	*
*	Analysis for Pipe Networks	*
*	Version 2.2	*
* * * * * * * * * * * * * * * *	* * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * *	* * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * *

Input File: LS_WM_3 (MD+FF).net

Scenario 3 - Max Day Demand + Fire Demand Propose and Existing Max Day Demand + Fire Demand

Link - Node Table:

Link	Start	 End	Length	Diameter
ID	Node	Node	m	mm
LN2447328	 Dummv-1	 wj50745	462.132	200
LN50930	D1-1	WJ2002914	8.686	300
C130	 J17	WJ50747	34.482	300
LN52048	WJ51759	WJ51765	93.531	300
LN50926	WJ2002914	WJ50749	49.806	300
LN50933	WJ50749	WJ50755	21.248	200
LN50918	WJ50746	WJ50755	305.232	200
LN2446937	WJ2002889	WJ2400446	126.405	300
LN52047	WJ51759	WJ51762	94.103	300
LN52040	WJ51758	WJ51759	91.548	300
LN2447335	WJ2400283	Dummv-2	505.851	300
LN2446950	WJ2400446	WJ2400391	93.517	200
LN2447275	WJ2400446	WJ2400401	214.556	300
LN52039	WJ51759	WJ51760	20.162	300
LN50915	WJ50746	WJ50747	10.092	200
LN2400279	WJ2400289	WJ2400283	15.257	300
LN52059	WJ51776	WJ2400401	292.409	300
LN2447317	WJ2400287	WJ51765	465.017	300
LN50919	WJ50757	WJ50758	2.801	200
LN2400324	WJ2400401	WJ2400402	146.849	300
LN4048079	WJ2400402	WJ2002889	152.105	300
LN52057	WJ51762	WJ51776	83.414	300
LN52051	WJ51765	WJ51776	100.252	300
LN2400276	WJ2400287	WJ53923	30.567	150
LN50927	WJ2400283	WJ50747	20.647	300
LN50916	WJ50745	WJ50746	11.346	200
LN2400277	WJ2400289	WJ2400287	95.108	300
LN50920	WJ50755	WJ50757	50.199	200
LN50922	WJ2002914	WJ50757	22.084	200
LN2448313	WJ2004681	WJ50762	97.151	300
C11	WJ50755	G1	96.33	150
C12	WJ50758	G2	95.294	150
C16	WJ2400401	01	30.267	150
C17	WJ2400402	02	44.568	150
C18	03	07	19.592	150
C19	WJ51762	04	72.355	150

Page 2 Scenario 3 - Max Day Demand + Fire Demand Link - Node Table: (continued)						
Link	Start	End	Length	Diameter		
ID	Node	Node	m	mm		
C20	08	05	30.818	150		
C21	WJ2400287	06	49.417	150		
C23	07	WJ2400402	219.955	300		
C24	WJ2400289	J25	159.916	300		
C25	08	J45	76.163	300		
C27	WJ2400401	в2	45.75	150		
C26	WJ2400402	B1	37.409	150		
1	Ex-1	WJ50747	36.981	150		
C28	Fire-D1-1	J100	7.179	200		
C29	D1-2MAIN	D1-1	26.248	300		
C30	J100	DW-D1	10.176	150		
C32	D1-1	Fire-D1-2	9.573	200		
C33	J10	J6	2.815	200		
C34	J6	Fire-C1-1	3.323	200		
C35	J25	08	69.9	300		
C36	J6	DW-C1	6.087	150		
C37	J11	Fire-C1-2	12.35	200		
C38	J13	J19	2.376	200		
C39	J19	Fire-B1-1	3.582	200		
C41	J19	DW-B1	9	150		
C42	J17	J18	4.922	200		
C43	J18	Fire-B2-1	3.279	200		
C40	J45	WJ51758	109.807	300		
C45	J18	DW-B2	6.117	150		
C46	J23	Fire-B2-2	34.685	200		
C47	J25	J23	52.535	300		
C48	J23	HYD-W-BLVD	12.301	300		
C49	HYD-W-BLVD	J28	34.121	300		
C50	J28	HYD-A1	29.808	300		
C51	HYD-A1	J30	16.173	300		
C52	J30	J96	19.413	300		
C53	J96	J31	10.945	300		
C54	J31	J101	44.111	300		
C55	J101	J33	16.014	300		
C56	J33	J34	15.21	300		
C57	J34	J35	9.88	200		
C58	J35	Fire-A2-1	4.389	200		
C59	HYD-W-BLVD	HYD-Blvd-west	4.273	150		
C60	J35	DW-A2	10.86	150		
C61	J34	J24	36.093	300		
C62	J24	J26	7.371	300		
C63	J26	J27	31.775	300		
C64	J27	J29	21.907	300		
C65	J29	J32	29.017	300		
C66	J32	J37	9.784	300		
C67	J37	J38	16.889	300		
C68	J38	J39	25.879	300		

Page 3

Scenario 3 - Max Day Demand + Fire Demand

Link - Node Table: (continued)							
Link	Start	End	Length	Diameter			
ID	Node	Node	m	mm			
с69	J39	J40	42.468	300			
C70	J40	J41	10.097	300			
C71	J41	J42	12.985	300			
C72	J42	J43	26.626	300			
C73	J43	J44	35.817	300			
C74	J44	J45	55.817	300			
C75	J42	J46	53.208	300			
C76	J46	J47	13.265	300			
C77	J47	J48	36.828	300			
C78	J48	J49	5.261	300			
C79	J49	J97	4.277	300			
C80	J50	J51	15.633	300			
C81	J51	J52	16.602	300			
C82	J52	J15	31.451	300			
C83	J28	Fire-A1-2	5.709	200			
C84	HYD-A1	HYD-A1-2	5.093	150			
C85	J30	J57	5.443	200			
C86	J57	Fire-A1-1	3.553	200			
C87	J57	DW-A1	6.004	150			
C88	J64	J63	47.332	300			
C89	J63	J96	47.197	300			
C90	J63	HYD-C	7.44	150			
C91	J31	HYD-A1-A2	3.06	150			
C92	J101	Fire-A2-2	3.244	200			
C93	J33	HYD-A2-2	4.604	150			
C94	J24	HYD-Station	43.361	200			
C95	J26	HYD-StreetB	4.626	150			
C96	J27	J66	21.436	200			
C97	J66	Fire-D2-1	7.441	200			
C98	J66	DW-D2	9.761	150			
C99	J29	Fire-D2-2	24.207	200			
C100	J32	HYD-D3-2	66.384	200			
C101	J37	HYD-A3-1	5.307	150			
C102	J38	J72	7.048	200			
C103	J72	Fire-A3	5.201	200			
C104	J72	DW-A3	5.859	150			
C105	J39	HYD-A3-2	5.585	150			
C106	J40	Fire-A4-2	12.434	200			
C107	J41	HYD-A4-2	6.561	150			
C108	J43	J78	6.743	200			
C109	J78	DW-A4	10.59	150			
HYD-A4-1	J44	HYD-A4-1	6.591	150			
C111	J78	Fire-A4-1	3.608	200			
C112	J46	J83	9.869	200			
C113	J83	Fire-E-1	5.979	200			
C114	J83	DW-E	7.76	150			
C115	J47	HYD-Park	9.173	150			

Page	4
------	---

Scenario 3 - Max Day Demand + Fire Demand

Link	Start	End	Length	Diameter	
ID	Node	Node	m	mm	
C116	J48	DW-D3	71.399	200	
C117	J49	Fire-D3-1	66.369	200	
C118	J98	HYD-D3-1	10.466	150	
C119	J97	J98	5.062	300	
C120	J98	J99	5.099	300	
C121	J99	Fire-D3-2	10.219	200	
C122	J97	Fire-E-2	13.465	200	
C123	J99	J50	49.94	300	
C124	J50	J91	6.075	200	
C125	J91	Fire-F-1	5.544	200	
C126	J91	DW-F	8.796	150	
C127	J51	HYD-F	4.697	200	
C128	J52	Fire-F-2	7.737	200	
C31	D1-2MAIN	J100	2.626	200	
C129	WJ50762	D1-2MAIN	51.51	300	
C131	J-17-1	J17	92.928	300	
C132	J64	J13	20.492	300	
C133	J11	J64	56.711	300	
C134	J10	J11	70.153	300	
C135	WJ50749	J10	25.771	300	
C22 1	WJ51758	J15	21.283	300	
C22 2	J15	07	237.991	300	
2	J13	J-17-1	20.76	300	
3	J-17-1	Fire-B1-2	1000	12	
P1	R4	Dummy-1	#N/A	#N/A Pu	np
Р3	R1	WJ50762	#N/A	#N/A Pur	np
P2	R3	Dummy-2	#N/A	#N/A Pur	np
P5	R5	WJ2002889	#N/A	#N/A Pur	np
Energy Usage	e:				

Pump	Usage Factor	Avg. Effic.	Kw-hr /m3	Avg. Kw	Peak Kw	Cost /day
P1	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
P3	100.00	75.00	0.15	78.86	78.86	0.00
P2	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
P5	100.00	75.00	0.15	91.79	91.79	0.00
				Demand	Charge:	0.00

Total Cost: 0.00

Page 5 Scenario 3 - Max Day Demand + Fire Demand Node Results:

Node	Demand	Head	Pressure	Quality	
ID	LPS	m	m		
		106 01			
08	0.00	126.21	44.51	0.00	
07	0.00	127.80	49.10	0.00	
03	5./8	12/.//	51.07	0.00	
05	1./5	126.21	44.01	0.00	
06	0.00	126.03	43.93	0.00	
04	5.78	120.92	51.22 50.14	0.00	
02	6.80	120.04	JZ.14	0.00	
01 C2	0.00	120.19	JJ.U9 44 77	0.00	
GZ C1	0.00	120.97	44.//	0.00	
GI Dummur_2	0.00	126.01	44.41	0.00	
Duning - 2	0.00	120.JO	49.30	0.00	
Duning = 1 W = 50759	0.00	120.03	49.43	0.00	
WUJ0750 WIT50762	0.00	131 12	47.55	0.00	
WUJ0702 WT2004681	0.00	131.12	49.42 57 30	0.00	
WUZUU4001 WJ51776	0.00	121.12 127 15	50 45	0.00	
WUJ17765	0.00	126 97	51 27	0.00	
WUJ1703 WJ51762	0.00	120.07	47 83	0.00	
WUUUUUUUUUUUUUUUUUUUUUUUUUUUUUUUUUUUUU	0.00	126 94	47.03	0.00	
WUUUUUUUUUUUUUUUUUUUUUUUUUUUUUUUUUUUUU	1 75	126.94	48 24	0.00	
WJ51758	1 75	126.75	43 75	0 00	
WJ2400287	0 00	126.63	49 23	0 00	
WJ53923	0.00	126.63	50.33	0.00	
WJ2400289	1.75	126.57	51.05	0.00	
WJ2400283	0.00	126.58	51.21	0.00	
WJ50747	0.00	126.60	50.90	0.00	
WJ50746	0.00	126.65	50.95	0.00	
WJ50745	3.44	126.65	50.95	0.00	
WJ50749	0.00	128.61	46.91	0.00	
WJ50755	0.00	128.61	46.92	0.00	
WJ2002914	0.00	129.25	48.92	0.00	
WJ50757	0.00	129.03	47.33	0.00	
WJ2002889	9.49	130.40	55.40	0.00	
WJ2400401	0.00	128.85	55.24	0.00	
WJ2400402	0.00	128.93	54.95	0.00	
WJ2400391	3.78	129.73	54.99	0.00	
WJ2400446	3.78	129.75	55.01	0.00	
B1	1.03	128.93	52.73	0.00	
В2	1.03	128.85	52.65	0.00	
Ex-1	0.00	126.60	50.40	0.00	
J100	0.00	129.97	43.77	0.00	
Fire-D1-1	0.00	129.97	43.77	0.00	
DW-D1	5.28	129.96	43.76	0.00	
D1-1	0.00	129.43	48.93	0.00	
Fire-D1-2	0.00	129.43	43.23	0.00	
J6	0.00	128.26	44.26	0.00	
Fire-C1-1	0.00	128.26	44.26	0.00	
Page 6		Scenario	3 - Max Da	ay Demand ·	+ Fire Demand
Node Results:	(continued)			_	

Node	Demand	Head	Pressure	Quality	
1D	LPS 	m 	m		
DW-C1	3.23	128.26	44.26	0.00	
J10	0.00	128.26	50.26	0.00	
J11	0.00	127.36	49.86	0.00	
Fire-C1-2	0.00	127.36	43.36	0.00	
J13	0.00	126.62	50.12	0.00	
Fire-B1-1	0.00	126.62	44.42	0.00	
DW-B1	2.21	126.62	44.42	0.00	
J17	0.00	126.60	50.60	0.00	
J18	0.00	126.60	48.40	0.00	
J19	0.00	126.62	44.62	0.00	
Fire-B2-1	0.00	126.60	48.40	0.00	
DW-B2	5.03	126.60	48.40	0.00	
J23	0.00	125.66	44.46	0.00	
Fire-B2-2	0.00	125.66	45.86	0.00	
J25	0.00	126.09	50.09	0.00	
J96	0.00	125.66	42.46	0.00	
HYD-W-BLVD	0.00	125.56	44.36	0.00	
J28	0.00	125.27	43.57	0.00	
HYD-A1	0.00	125.29	43.59	0.00	
J30	0.00	125.30	41.20	0.00	
J31	0.00	125.67	41.57	0.00	
J101	0.00	125.75	41.05	0.00	
J33	0.00	125.77	40.57	0.00	
J34	0.00	125.80	40.10	0.00	
J35	0.00	125.80	40.10	0.00	
HYD-Station	0.00	125.86	38.76	0.00	
Fire-A2-1	0.00	125.80	40.10	0.00	
DW-A2	1.91	125.79	40.09	0.00	
J24	0.00	125.86	39.26	0.00	
J26	0.00	125.87	39.27	0.00	
J27	0.00	125.93	39.33	0.00	
J29	0.00	125.98	39.38	0.00	
J32	0.00	126.04	39.34	0.00	
J37	0.00	126.06	39.36	0.00	
J38	0.00	126.10	40.30	0.00	
J39	0.00	126.16	39.56	0.00	
J40	0.00	126.26	43.26	0.00	
J41	0.00	126.29	43.29	0.00	
J42	0.00	126.32	43.12	0.00	
J43	0.00	126.32	43.12	0.00	
J44	0.00	126.34	44.34	0.00	
J45	0.00	126.36	48.86	0.00	
J46	0.00	126.38	43.78	0.00	
J47	0.00	126.39	43.99	0.00	
J48	0.00	126.45	42.75	0.00	
J49	0.00	126.46	42.76	0.00	
J50	0.00	126.60	42.40	0.00	
Page 7		Scenario	3 - Max Da	y Demand -	+ Fire Demand
Node Results:	(continued)				

Node	Demand	Head	Pressure	Quality	
ID	LPS	m	m		
J51	0.00	126.64	43.44	0.00	
J5Z	0.00	126.68	43.48	0.00	
HID-BIVG-West	110.00	123.36	44.36	0.00	
FIFE-AL-Z	110.00	124.76	43.06	0.00	
TE7	0.00	123.29	43.59	0.00	
UJ7 UVD_71_72	0.00	125 67	40.00	0.00	
Fire-A1-1	110 00	123.07	42 26	0.00	
DW-A1	3 92	124.40	42.20	0.00	
Fire-A2-2	0 00	125 75	41 05	0.00	
HYD = A2 = 2	0.00	125.75	40 57	0.00	
.163	0.00	126 14	43 94	0.00	
164	0.00	126.63	49.63	0.00	
HYD-StreetB	0.00	125.87	39.27	0.00	
J66	0.00	125.92	39.22	0.00	
Fire-D2-1	0.00	125.92	39.22	0.00	
DW-D2	4.85	125.91	39.21	0.00	
Fire-D2-2	0.00	125.98	39.38	0.00	
HYD-D3-2	0.00	126.04	38.94	0.00	
HYD-A3-1	0.00	126.06	39.36	0.00	
J72	0.00	126.10	40.30	0.00	
Fire-A3	0.00	126.10	40.30	0.00	
DW-A3	1.52	126.10	40.30	0.00	
HYD-A3-2	0.00	126.16	39.56	0.00	
Fire-A4-2	0.00	126.26	43.26	0.00	
HYD-A4-2	0.00	126.29	43.29	0.00	
J78	0.00	126.32	43.12	0.00	
Fire-A4-1	0.00	126.32	43.12	0.00	
DW-A4	1.80	126.32	43.12	0.00	
HYD-A4-1	0.00	126.34	44.34	0.00	
HYD-Park	0.00	126.39	43.99	0.00	
J83	0.00	126.37	43.77	0.00	
Fire-E-1	0.00	126.37	43.77	0.00	
DW-E	3.50	126.37	43.77	0.00	
DW-D3	9.23	126.38	42.68	0.00	
Fire-D3-1	0.00	126.46	42.76	0.00	
Fire-E-2	0.00	126.47	42.77	0.00	
Fire-D3-2	0.00	126.49	42.79	0.00	
HYD-D3-1	0.00	126.48	42.78	0.00	
JYL Eine E 1	0.00	126.60	42.40	0.00	
FILE-F-L	0.00	126.60	42.40	0.00	
DW-F	5.01	126.59	42.39	0.00	
Fire-F-2	0.00	126.69	43.44	0.00	
rite-r-z	0.00	126.00	43.40	0.00	
		120.14 126 <i>1</i> 7	43.94 40 A7		
JT98		126.49	42 48		
0.00	0.00	120.10	12.10	0.00	
Page 8		Scenario	3 - Max D	ay Demand	+ Fire Demand
Node Results:	(continued)			<u> </u>	

Node ID	Demand LPS	Head m	Pressure m	Quality		
 ,T99	0.00	126.49	42.49	0.00		
D1-2MAIN	0.00	129.97	48.97	0.00		
.T1 5		126.76	43 76	0.00		
Fire-B1-2		126.62	50 37	0.00		
.T_17_1	0.00	126.62	50.37	0.00		
B1	-150.40	91 00	0 00	0.00	Reservo	ir
D3	100.40	100 00	0.00	0.00	Reservo	ir
	0.00	100.00	0.00	0.00	Reservo	ir
N4 D5	-173 95	100.00	0.00	0.00	Reservo	ir
1(5	1/5.05	90.00	0.00	0.00	Reservo	± ±
Link Results:						
Link	Flow	VelocityU	nit Headlo	ss Stat	cus	
ID	LPS	m/s	m/km			
LN2447328	0.00	0.00	0.00	Open		
LN50930	145.11	2.05	20.82	Open		
C130	5.61	0.08	0.05	Open		
LN52048	15.29	0.22	0.32	Open		
LN50926	111.47	1.58	12.77	Open		
LN50933	3.72	0.12	0.17	Open		
LN50918	26.49	0.84	6.43	Open		
LN2446937	68.43	0.97	5.17	Open		
LN52047	28.21	0.40	1.00	Open		
LN52040	41.75	0.59	2.07	Open		
LN2447335	0.00	0.00	0.00	Open		
LN2446950	3.78	0.12	0.17	Open		
LN2447275	60.87	0.86	4.17	Open		
LN52039	1.75	0.02	0.01	Open		
LN50915	23.05	0.73	4.97	Open		
LN2400279	28.65	0.41	1.03	Open		
LN52059	72 89	1 03	5 82	Open		
LN2447317	23 62	0 33	0 72	Open		
LN50919	3 44	0.00	0.15	Open		
LN2400324	19.85	0.28	0.52	Open		
LN2100021	95 92	1 36	9.67	Open		
LN52057	33 99	0.48	1 42	Open		
IN52057	38 90	0.40	1 82	Open		
IN32031 IN2400276	0.00	0.00	0.00	Open		
IN2400270	29 65	0.00	1 03	Open		
LNJ0927	20.00	0.41	1.03 0.15	Open		
	2.44	0.11	0.13	0pen Open		
LN2400277	23.02	0.33	0.72	Open		
T NEOODO	SU.∠U	U.96 1 07	0.20	Open		
	33.04	1.0/	10.01	open		
LN2440313	0.00	0.00	0.00	Open		
	0.00	0.00	0.00	open		
CIZ	3.44	0.19	0.60	Open		
Page 9 Link Results:	(continued)	Scenario	3 - Max D	ay Demand	+ Fire 1	Demand

Link ID	Flow LPS	VelocityUnit m/s	Headloss m/km	Status	
C16	6.80	0.38	2.10	Open	
C17	6.80	0.38	2.10	Open	
C18	5.78	0.33	1.56	Open	
C19	5.78	0.33	1.56	Open	
C20	1.75	0.10	0.17	Open	
C21	0.00	0.00	0.00	Open	
C23	68.24	0.97	5.15	Open	
C24	50.52	0.71	2.95	Open	
C25	39.58	0.56	1.88	Open	
C27	1.03	0.06	0.06	Open	
C26	1.03	0.06	0.06	Open	
1	0.00	0.00	0.00	Open	
C28	0.00	0.00	0.00	Open	
C29	145 11	2 05	20.82	Open	
C30	5 28	0 30	1 32	Open	
C32	0.00	0.00	0 00	Open	
C33	3 23	0.10	0.13	Open	
C34	0.00	0.10	0 00	Open	
C35	37 83	0.00	1 73	Open	
C36	3 23	0.18	0 53	Open	
C37	0.00	0.10	0 00	Open	
C38	2 21		0.06	Open	
C39		0.07	0.00	Open	
C41	2 21	0.00	0.26	Open	
C42	5.03	0.16	0.30	Open	
C43	0.00	0.00	0.00	Open	
C40	56.02	0.79	3.57	Open	
C45	5.03	0.28	1.20	Open	
C46	0.00	0.00	0.00	Open	
C47	88.35	1.25	8.31	Open	
C48	88.35	1.25	8.31	Open	
C49	88.35	1.25	8.31	Open	
C50	21.65	0.31	0.61	Open	
C51	21.65	0.31	0.61	Open	
C52	135.57	1.92	18.35	Open	
C53	36.46	0.52	1.61	Open	
C54	36.46	0.52	1.61	Open	
C55	36.46	0.52	1.61	Open	
C56	36.46	0.52	1.61	Open	
C57	1.91	0.06	0.05	Open	
C58	0.00	0.00	0.00	Open	
C59	0.00	0.00	0.00	Open	
C60	1.91	0.11	0.20	Open	
C61	38.37	0.54	1.77	Open	
C62	38.37	0.54	1.77	Open	
C63	38.37	0.54	1.77	Open	
C64	43.22	0.61	2.21	Open	
Page 10 Link Results	: (continued)	Scenario 3	- Max Day	Demand + F	ire Demand

Link	Flow	VelocityUnit	Headloss	Status		
	с					
C65	43.22	0.61	2.21	Open		
C66	43.22	0.61	2.21	Open		
C67	43.22	0.61	2.21	Open		
C68	44.74	0.63	2.36	Open		
C69	44.74	0.63	2.36	Open		
C70	44.74	0.63	2.36	Open		
C71	44.74	0.63	2.36	Open		
C72	14.64	0.21	0.30	Open		
C73	16.44	0.23	0.37	Open		
C74	16.44	0.23	0.3/	Open		
075	30.10	0.43	1.13	Open		
C76	33.60	0.48	1.39	Open		
C70	33.00	0.40	1.39	Open		
C70	42.03	0.61	2.17	Open		
C79	42.03	0.61	2.1/	Open		
C81	40.44	0.00	2.52	Open		
C82	46 44	0.00	2.52	Open		
C83	110 00	3 50	89 82	Open		
C84	0.00	0.00	0.00	Open		
C85	113.92	3.63	95.84	Open		
C86	110.00	3.50	89.82	Open		
C87	3.92	0.22	0.76	Open		
C88	99.11	1.40	10.28	Open		
C89	99.11	1.40	10.28	Open		
C90	0.00	0.00	0.00	Open		
C91	0.00	0.00	0.00	Open		
C92	0.00	0.00	0.00	Open		
C93	0.00	0.00	0.00	Open		
C94	0.00	0.00	0.00	Open		
C95	0.00	0.00	0.00	Open		
C96	4.85	0.15	0.28	Open		
C97	0.00	0.00	0.00	Open		
C98	4.85	0.27	1.13	Open		
C99	0.00	0.00	0.00	Open		
C100	0.00	0.00	0.00	Open		
C101 C102	0.00	0.00	0.00	Open		
C102	1.52	0.05	0.03	Open		
C103	1 52	0.00	0.00	Open		
C104 C105	1.52	0.09	0.13	Open		
C106	0.00	0.00	0.00	Open		
C107	0.00	0.00	0.00	Open		
C108	1.80	0.06	0.04	Open		
C109	1.80	0.10	0.18	Open		
HYD-A4-1	0.00	0.00	0.00	Open		
C111	0.00	0.00	0.00	Open		
			-	Τ.		
Page 11		Scenario 3 ·	- Max Day	Demand + H	Fire	Demand
Link Results:	(continued)					

Link ID	Flow LPS	VelocityUnit m/s	Headloss m/km	Stat	cus	
C112	3.50	0.11	0.15	Open		
C113	0.00	0.00	0.00	Open		
C114	3.50	0.20	0.62	Open		
C115	0.00	0.00	0.00	Open		
C116	9.23	0.29	0.91	Open		
C117	0.00	0.00	0.00	Open		
C118	0.00	0.00	0.00	Open		
C119	42.83	0.61	2.17	Open		
C120	42.83	0.61	2.17	Open		
C121	0.00	0.00	0.00	Open		
C122	0.00	0.00	0.00	Open		
C123	42.83	0.61	2.17	Open		
C124	3.61	0.11	0.16	Open		
C125	0.00	0.00	0.00	Open		
C126	3.61	0.20	0.65	Open		
C127	0.00	0.00	0.00	Open		
C128	0.00	0.00	0.00	Open		
C31	5.28	0.17	0.32	Open		
C129	150.39	2.13	22.24	Open		
C131	10.64	0.15	0.16	Open		
C132	12.85	0.18	0.23	Open		
C133	111.96	1.58	12.88	Open		
C134	111.96	1.58	12.88	Open		
C135	115.19	1.63	13.57	Open		
C22_1	16.03	0.23	0.35	Open		
C22_2	62.46	0.88	4.37	Open		
2	10.64	0.15	0.16	Open		
3	0.00	0.00	0.00	Open		
P1	0.00	0.00	0.00	Closed	Pump	
Р3	150.40	0.00	-40.12	Open	Pump	
P2	0.00	0.00	0.00	Closed	Pump	
P5	173.85	0.00	-40.40	Open	Pump	

Appendix F

Sanitary Flow Calculations

| Issue 2 | February 26th, 2021 | Arup Canada Inc.

		Job No.					Rev.
AR	UP	277167		F	irst Capital Real	ty	02
		Member/Loc	cation	City of	Toronto		
Job Title	2150 Lake Shore Boulevard	Drg. Ref.		See Ap	oendix B		
Calculation	Sanitary Flow Analysis	Made by	C.H	Date	26/02/2021	Chd.	F.F.

General Assumptions & Calculations

Unit	Population	% Mix
Bachelor	1.4	5%
1 BDRM	1.4	50%
2 BDRM	2.1	35%
3 BDRM	3.1	10%

Occupancy Assumptions

Criteria	Value	Unit	Notes
Average Residential Population	1.815	People per uni	Calculated from Population Mix
Commercial	0.011	persons/m2	City of Toronto Design Criteria
School	0.025	persons/m2	City of Toronto Design Criteria

Calculation Inputs

Existing Residential Average Flow	240	L/person/day	City of Toronto Design Criteria
Proposed Residential Average Flow	250	L/person/day	City of Toronto Design Criteria
Existing Commercial Peak Flow	250	L/person/day	City of Toronto Design Criteria
Proposed Commercial Peak Flow	180,000	L/Ha/day	City of Toronto Design Criteria
Extraneous Flows	0.26	L/Ha/sec	City of Toronto Design Criteria

Flow calculations for Designing New Local Sewers

Block	Res	idential		cial	Total		
	Population	Peak flow (l/sec)	GFA	GFA Eq. Population Peak fle		Peak Flow (l/sec)	
A	2,551	50	32,053	764	6.7	56.3	
В	2,444	49	7,937	87	1.7	50.9	
С	1,089	22	3,606	40	0.8	22.7	
E	1,140	22	9,123	100	1.9	24.1	
F	1,236	24	2,863	31	0.6	24.6	
Total	13,617	268	116,877	2,813	24.3	292.5	

\\Global.arup.com\london\ILG\JOBS\260000\264635-xx Toronto Lake Shore Detailed Stage\Internal (WIP)\4-20 CX_Civil\CA_Calculations\Sanitary Drainage\ 2150 Lake Shore Boulevard_Sanitary Calculations.xlsx : SAN

		Job No.					Rev.
AR	UP	277167		F	irst Capital Real	ty	02
		Member/Loc	cation	City of	Toronto		
Job Title	2150 Lake Shore Boulevard	Drg. Ref.		See Ap	pendix B		
Calculation	Sanitary Flow Analysis	Made by	C.H	Date	26/02/2021	Chd.	F.F.

Downstream Flow Analysis Calculations

Existing	g Buildings Sanit	ary Flow Gene	ration							
			Resident	tial		Comme	ercial	Extra	Total	
	Asset ID	Catchment Population	Additional Flow	PF	Peak Flow	Population Equivalent	Peak Flow	Area	Infiltration	Peak Flow
	MH3003421	0	0	4.0	0.0	0	0.00	1.932	0.05	0.05
	MH3003422	0	0	4.0	0.0	0	0.00	1,540	0.04	0.04
	MH3003423	0	0	4.0	0.0	0	0.00	612	0.02	0.02
	MH3003426	0	0	4.0	0.0	0	0.00	1,793	0.05	0.05
	MH3003427	4,303	1,032,720	3.3	39.4	0	0.00	789	0.02	39.46
N	MH3003428	0	0	3.3	0.0	0	0.00	15,894	0.41	0.41
Lav	MH3003429	0	0	3.3	0.0	0	0.00	1,752	0.05	0.05
ark	MH3003430	900	215,880	3.3	8.2	8	0.02	11,238	0.29	8.56
Å	MH3003431	1,581	379,344	3.3	14.5	13	0.04	8,842	0.23	14.76
	Along SL200947	0	0	3.3	0.0	0	0.00	2,181	0.06	0.06
	MH3003432	0	0	3.3	0.0	0	0.00	14,483	0.38	0.38
	Along SL200958	0	0	3.3	0.0	0	0.00	1,419	0.04	0.04
	MH3003433	0	0	3.3	0.0	0	0.00	372	0.01	0.01
	MH3122906287	0	0	3.3	0.0	0	0.00	981	0.03	0.03
	MH3121606317	3,648	875,520	2.9	29.4	0	0.00	1,069	0.03	29.41
	MH3119506363	0	0	2.9	0.0	0	0.00	5,362	0.14	0.14
	MH3117706428	0	0	2.9	0.0	0	0.00	5,599	0.15	0.15
	MH3124206524	2 140	513 528	2.9	17.2	13	0.00	10.047	0.03	17.62
	MH3134106567	2,140	120 792	2.9	4 1	43	0.12	9 969	0.20	4 34
	MH3139006593	1 042	250 152	2.3	8.4		0.02	15,339	0.20	8.91
ve v	MH3143906608	1,042	253,008	2.9	8.5	37	0.11	1 906	0.40	8 65
Driv	MH3154606634	1,004	305,544	2.7	9.5	43	0.13	11.501	0.30	9.97
de	MH3164206658	0	0	2.7	0.0	0	0.00	8.676	0.23	0.23
ara	MH3174806685	0	0	2.7	0.0	0	0.00	10,770	0.28	0.28
Å,	MH3183006719	0	0	2.7	0.0	0	0.00	9,599	0.25	0.25
rine	MH3192706752	0	0	2.7	0.0	0	0.00	353	0.01	0.01
Ma	MH3194806739	0	0	2.7	0.0	0	0.00	10,078	0.26	0.26
	MH3199006716	0	0	2.7	0.0	0	0.00	10,189	0.26	0.26
	MH3201506669	0	0	2.7	0.0	0	0.00	292	0.01	0.01
	MH3203106656	0	0	2.7	0.0	0	0.00	0	0.00	0.00
	MH3209906731	0	0	2.7	0.0	0	0.00	0	0.00	0.00
	MH3214406765	492	117,960	2.7	3.7	0	0.00	0	3.69	7.37
	MH3216306785	1,553	372,720	2.6	11.2	0	0.00	30,758	0.80	12.02
	MH3221506814	492	117,960	4.0	5.5	0	0.00	1 070	0.00	0.40
	MH3157006418	0	0	4.0	0.0	0	0.00	1,079	0.03	0.03
	MH3130606358	0	0	4.0	0.0	0	0.00	674	0.03	0.03
	CB3137606351	0	0	4.0	0.0	0	0.00	5 333	0.02	0.02
	MH3130906328	0	0	4.0	0.0	0	0.00	604	0.02	0.02
	MH3122906287	0	0	4.0	0.0	0	0.00	0	0.00	0.00
	MH3131506351	0	0	4.0	0.0	0	0.00	1,440	0.04	0.04
e	MH3139806381	0	0	4.0	0.0	0	0.00	1,580	0.04	0.04
oho	MH3148606410	0	0	4.0	0.0	0	0.00	14,257	0.37	0.37
ke	MH3157006440	0	0	4.0	0.0	0	0.00	1,151	0.03	0.03
La	Along SL2004916	0	0	4.0	0.0	0	0.00	0	0.00	0.00
	MH3164206465	0	0	4.0	0.0	0	0.00	9,685	0.25	0.25
	MH3170706488	0	0	4.0	0.0	0	0.00	7,885	0.21	0.21
	MH3175206501	0	0	4.0	0.0	0	0.00	10,061	0.26	0.26
	MH3186206526	0	0	4.0	0.0	0	0.00	10,363	0.27	0.27
	MH3195006587	0	0	4.0	0.0	0	0.00	14,197	0.37	0.37
	MH3210706729	0	0	4.0	0.0	0	0.00	1,819	0.00	0.00
q	101 102 107 007 20	0	0	4.0	0.0	0	0.00	2,405	0.06	0.06
mbine	MH3216306785	1,553	372,720	2.7	11.6	0	0	11,444	0.30	11.95
õ	MH3224006653	5	1,200	4.0	0.1	0	0	14,132	0.37	0.42

		Job No.					Rev.
AR	UP	277167		F	irst Capital Real	ty	02
		Member/Loo	cation	City of	Toronto		
Job Title	2150 Lake Shore Boulevard	Drg. Ref.		See Ap	pendix B		
Calculation	Sanitary Flow Analysis	Made by	C.H	Date	26/02/2021	Chd.	F.F.

Downstream Flow Analysis Calculations Existing Buildings Sanitary Flow Generation

			Resident	tial		Comme	ercial	Extra	Total	
	Asset ID	Additional Population	Additional Flow	PF	Peak Flow	GFA	Peak Flow	Area	Infiltration	Peak Flow
			l/day		l/sec		l/sec	m2	l/sec	l/sec
	MH3003421	0	0	4.00	0.0	0	0.00	1,932	0.05	0.05
	MH3003422	0	0	4.00	0.0	0	0.00	1,540	0.04	0.04
	MH3003423	0	0	4.00	0.0	0	0.00	612	0.02	0.02
	MH3003426	0	0	4.00	0.0	0	0.00	1,793	0.05	0.05
U M	MH3003427	4,303	1032720	3.30	39.4	0	0.00	14,270	0.37	39.82
La	MH3003428	1,170	526500	3.30	20.1	25440	5.30	27,954	0.73	26.14
ark	MH3003429	0	0	3.30	0.0	0	0.00	13,512	0.35	0.35
Å	MH3003430	899.5	215880	3.3	8.2	0	0.02	11,238	0.29	8.56
	MH3003431	1580.6	379344	3.3	14.5	0	0.04	8,842	0.23	14.76
	Along SL200947	1089	490050	2.9	16.4	3606	0.75	2,181	0.06	17.26
	MH3003432	0	0	2.9	0.0	0	0.00	28,709	0.75	0.75
	Along SL200958	736	331200	2.9	11.1	3526	0.73	1,419	0.04	11.89
	MH3003433	0	0	2.9	0.0	0	0.00	372	0.01	0.01
	MH3122906287	0	0	2.9	0.0	0	0.00	981	0.03	0.03
	MH3121606317	3648	875520	2.7	27.4	0	0.00	1,069	0.03	27.39
	MH3119506363	0	0	2.7	0.0	0	0.00	5,362	0.14	0.14
	MH3117706428	0	0	2.7	0.0	0	0.00	5,599	0.15	0.15
	MH3119906489	0	0	2.7	0.0	0	0.00	1,080	0.03	0.03
	MH3124206524	2139.7	513528	2.7	16.0	0	0.12	10,047	0.26	16.43
	MH3134106567	503.3	120792	2.7	3.8	0	0.02	9,969	0.26	4.06
ø	MH3139006593	1042.3	250152	2.7	7.8	0	0.11	15,339	0.40	8.33
Driv	MH3143906608	1054.2	253008	2.7	7.9	0	0.11	1,906	0.05	8.06
еГ	MH3154606634	1273.1	305544	2.7	9.5	0	0.13	11,501	0.30	9.97
rad	MH3164206658	0	0	2.7	0.0	0	0.00	8,676	0.23	0.23
Pai	MH3174806685	0	0	2.7	0.0	0	0.00	10,770	0.28	0.28
ЭС	MH3183006719	0	0	2.7	0.0	0	0.00	9,599	0.25	0.25
arii	MH3192706752	0	0	2.7	0.0	0	0.00	353	0.01	0.01
Σ	MH3194806739	0	0	2.7	0.0	0	0.00	19,439	0.51	0.51
	MH3199006716	0	0	2.7	0.0	0	0.00	19,550	0.51	0.51
	MH3201506669	0	0	2.7	0.0	0	0.00	292	0.01	0.01
	MH3203106656	0	0	2.7	0.0	0	0.00	0	0.00	0.00
	MH3209906731	0	0	2.7	0.0	0	0.00	0	0.00	0.00
	MH3214406765	983	235920	2.7	7.4	0	0.00	711	0.02	7.39
	MH3216306785	1553	372720	2.7	11.6	0	0.00	11,444	0.30	11.95
	MH3221506814	776.5	186360	3.9	8.4	0	0.00	30,758	0.80	9.21
	MH3157006418	0	0	4	0.0	0	0.00	29,060	0.76	0.76
	MH3148306388	6962.49	3133120.5	3.3	119.7	70922	14.78	15,289	0.40	134.84
	MH3139606358	0	0	3.3	0.0	0	0.00	19,807	0.51	0.51
	CB3137606351	1952	468480	2.9	15.7	8972	1.87	5,333	0.14	17.73
	MH3130906328	1707.915	409899.6	2.9	13.8	4411	0.92	604	0.02	14.69
	MH3122906287	0	0	2.9	0.0	0	0.00		0.00	0.00
Ð	MH3131506351	0	0	2.9	0.0	0	0.00	1,440	0.04	0.04
זסר	MH3139806381	0	0	2.9	0.0	0	0.00	1,580	0.04	0.04
est	MH3148606410	0	0	2.9	0.0	0	0.00	14,257	0.37	0.37
-ak	MH3157006440	0	0	2.9	0.0	0	0.00	1,151	0.03	0.03
	Along SL2004916	0	0	2.9	0.0	0	0.00		0.00	0.00
	MH3164206465	0	0	2.9	0.0	0	0.00	15,014	0.39	0.39
	MH3170706488	0	0	2.9	0.0	0	0.00	7,885	0.21	0.21
	MH3175206501	0	0	2.9	0.0	0	0.00	10,061	0.26	0.26
	MH3186206526	0	0	2.9	0.0	0	0.00	10,363	0.27	0.27
	MH3195006587	0	0	2.9	0.0	0	0.00	14,197	0.37	0.37
	MH3202606639	0	0	2.9	0.0	0	0.00	1,819	0.05	0.05
Ibined	MH3216306785	1,553	372,720	2.7	11.6	0	0	11,444	0.30	11.95
Com	MH3224006653	5	1,200	4.0	0.1	0	0	14,132	0.37	0.42

Appendix G

Sanitary Modelling Output

| Issue 2 | February 26th, 2021 | Arup Canada Inc.

MH3224006653MH3223606714 MH3222206653 MH3221506814 MH3217306776 MH3216306785

MH3214406762MH3214406765

MH3209906731MH3210706728

MH923283186956

MH3201506669MH3199006716

MH3195006587

MH3192706752

MH3186206526

MH3183606704 •••MH3183006719

MH3174806685

MH3175206501

MH3170706488

MH3164206465

MH316420665

MH3157006418 MH3157006440 MH3154606621 •••MH3154606634

MH3143906608

MH3139006593

MH3134106567

MH31

MH3148306388MH3148606410

MH3139606358MH3139806381

MH1

MH3131506351 MH3130906328

DS. USDA. USGS.



MH3003421 MH3003422

MH3003423 MH3003426

MH3003427 MH3003428

MH3003429MH-A1 MH3138005979

MH3003430

MH3003431

MH3003432 MH12.5A MH3003433 MH3103433 MH3122906287 MH3119506363 MH311770642

2150 LAKESHORE BLVD._PARK LAWN EXISTING

90.0 —																			
89.0 —																			
88.0 —																			
87.0 —																			
86.0 —																			
85.0 —																			
Q 84.0 —																			
۲ 83.0 —																			
82.0 —																			
81.0 —	-																-		
80.0 —														- T					
79.0 —	_		4		m	9			ω		57	c	5	_					
78.0 —	H300342	CKCOACU			H300342	Н300342	C P C U C P		H300342		H300342			H300343					
77.0 —	No.	A M			Σ T	Σ T		2	×		∑ 	2	2	ž I					
m	0	5	9		136	163	24	1	280	3	42	41	4	478	3	5	71 6	05 6:	36
Link	MH300)3421.1	MH30	03422.1	-	MH300	3426.1	-	MH300	3428.1	MH30	03429.1	MH3003	430.1	MH300)3431.1	-	-	-
length (m)	59	9.1	7	7.0	27.0	78	3.0	38.6	62			2.1	64.	2	92	2.1	34.0	31.0	-
height (mm)	25	50	2	50	250	25	500	250	c 2!	0	2	50	25	J	3	/5	375	450	-
grad (m/m)	0.00	1507	0.0	3000		0.00	500	0.0072	р <u>0.00</u>	368	0.00	0499	0.004	199	0.02	2093	0.01000	0.008/1	-
prc (m3/s)		00	0.	103	0.043	0.0	42	0.051	0.0	30 17	0.0	042	0.04		0.2	200 17	0.175	0.266	-
SUFC			0	.10	0.09		017	0.09		022		.29	0.3		0.	17	0.24	0.19	-
US HOW $(113/5)$	0.00	2005	0.0			0.00		0.0001		023	0.00	0090	0.005		0.0	1200		0.0186/	-
DS flow (m ² /c)		005	0.	0010	0.055	0.0	017	0.0001		55 25		050	0.04	0	0.5	1200	0.914	0.911	-
DS now $(113/S)$		128	0.0	0010	0.056		76	0.0001		12		630	0.005		0.0	1200 071		0.0100/	
Node	- 0.0	л <u>го</u> Мнзо	0. 13422		3423 M	H3003426	MH300	3427 M	H3003428	МНЗО	0.0	MH30	13430	MH300	3431	MH300	2432		-
around (m AD)	- 89 620	87	33422	וא וא גער	5210 8	1360	82 ($\frac{10}{10}$	83 740	85	410	85.6	500	84 AS	2721 R1	10111300. 80	660 79	200	_
level (m AD)	85 921	84 8	366	8	2.522 82	2.353	81 0	933	81.624	81	411	81 ()32	80.66	53	78	180 77	804	-
	00.021	1 31.0		1 0.						51.		1 51.0		20.00					

2150 LAKESHORE BLVD._MARINE PARADE DRIVE EXISTING

79.5 - $79.0 -$ $78.0 -$ $78.0 -$ $77.5 -$ $77.5 -$ $71.0 -$ $76.5 -$	
77.5 - 77.0 - 76.5 -	
77.0 - 76.5 -	
1311212006 131212006 13122006 131143006 13124406 13122006	
75.0 <u>\$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ 5 </u> m 0 33 83 151 216 274 379 435 486 596 695 804 893 995 1068 1121	1242 1299
Link I	
length (m) 33.3 50.0 67.9 65.2 57.1 105.6 55.5 51.8 109.5 99.1 109.3 88.9 102.2 - 47.9 53.2 - 101.1	56.1
height (mm) 600 <th< td=""><td>675</td></th<>	675
grad (m/m) 0.00193 0.00038 0.00252 0.00137 0.00166 - 0.00153 0.00136 0.00059 0.00216 0.00181 0.00051	0.00109
$ \begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	10.2// 1
$\frac{1}{1000} = \frac{1}{1000} = 1$	0.41
US velocity (m/s) - 0.591 0.527 0.374 0.582 0.580 0.596 0.551 0.626 0.574 0.626 0.551 0.626 0.591 0.6575 0.0500 0.01000 0.0000 0.01000 0.0000 0.01000 0.0000 0.01000 0.0000 0.01000 0.0000 0.01000 0.0000 0.01000 0.0000 0.01000 0.0000 0.01000 0.0000 0.01000 0.0000 0.00000 0.00000	0.41
DS flow (m3/s) 0.01998 0.02065 0.02078 0.03746 0.03746 - 0.04424 0.09112 0.09219 0.09353 0.09666 0.010580	0.41 0.10591 0.774
DS velocity (m/s) - 0.593 0.497 0.578 0.404 0.596 0.489 0.934 0.426 0.710 0.875 0.886 0.883 - 1.007 0.795 - 0.975	0.41 0.10591 0.774 0.10591
Node	0.41 0.10591 0.774 0.10591 0.919
ground (m AD) - 79.128 79.322 78.652 77.981 77.471 - 77.768 78.199 78.747 78.522 78.274 78.276 78.408	0.41 0.10591 0.774 0.10591 0.919
level (m AD) - 77.171 77.045 76.980 76.869 76.720 - 76.565 76.455 76.331 76.198 76.007 75.813 76.7554	0.41 0.10591 0.774 0.10591 0.919 - 78.926 -

2150 LAKESHORE BLVD._LAKESHORE BLVD. N EXISTING

85.0 —											
84.0 —											
83.0 —											
82.0 —											
О 81.0 — Е											
80.0 —											
79.0 —											
78.0 —	0		2		2				6		
77.0 —	H315700641	H314830638		10000001					-		
76.0 —	2	2		2	5				-		
m	0	92	2	18	34	27	76	36	6		
Link	MH3157	006418.1	MH31483	306388.1	MH31396	606358.1	Dummy	_Weir.2	Γ		
length (m)	91	1.5	92	.2	92	.4	89	.7			
height (mm)	22	25	22	25	22	.5	30	00			
grad (m/m)	0.00	0665	0.00	291	0.02	633	0.00	204			
pfc (m3/s)	0.0	37	0.0	24	0.0	73	0.0	44			
surc	0.	09	0.0)9	0.1	0	0.0	09			
US flow (m3/s)	0.00	0000	0.00	000	0.00	005	0.00	034			
US velocity (m/s)	0.0	000	0.0	00	0.0	24	0.1	10			
DS flow (m3/s)	0.00	0000	-0.00	0000	0.00	005	0.00	034			
DS velocity (m/s)	0.0	000	0.0	00	0.0	24	0.125				
Node	MH3157006418	MH3148	306388	MH3139	606358	MH3130	3130906328 Dummy_Weir				
ground (m AD)	84.341 83.864			83.7	746	81.7	81.762 79.056				
level (m AD)	82.011	81.3	80	81.7	103	77.2	148	77.355			

2150 LAKESHORE BLVD._LAKESHORE BLVD. S EXISTING

85.0								-													
84.0																					
83.0			-/	1																	
82.0	- [
81.0	-														0						
ОР 80.0 Е	-																				
79.0																					
78.0	-																				
77.0	-					_		_													
76.0	- 000	6351		6381	6410		6440 -	e ver	0400		-						_				h
75.0		H313150		H313980	H314860		H315700	OCK 3 FCL	1310420												-10
74.0				ž	ž		M													-	
m	(24		113	204		294	37	70	440	486		5	99	70)6	79	8		918	96 <mark>9</mark>
Link		- M	1H313150635	51.1 MH3139	806381.1 M	IH3148606	410.1	-	-		-	MH3175	206501.1	MH3186	206526.1	MH3195	006587.1	MH3202	506639.1	-	
length (m)		23.8	88.8	9'	1.7	89.4	7	6.7	69.1	4	6.5	11	3.0	10	7.3	91	1.3	12	0.4	50.	4
height (mm)		300	300	3	00	300	3	00	300	3	00	3	00	30	00	30	00	30	00	30	o
grad (m/m)		-	0.00200	0.00	0170	0.00166	5 0.0	0198	0.0018	1 0.0	0222	0.00	0216	0.00	202	0.00	150	0.00	202	0.002	203
pfc (m3/s)		-	0.043	0.0	040	0.039	0.	043	0.041	0.	046	0.0	045	0.0	43	0.0	37	0.0	43	0.04	14
surc		0.09	0.15	0.	10	0.10	0	.11	0.11	0	.11	0.	.11	0.	11	0.	12	0.	23	0.5	7
US flow (m3/s)		-	0.00049	0.00	0049	0.00054	4 0.0	0058	0.0006	2 0.0	0064	0.00	070	0.00	077	0.00	081	0.00	081	0.000)81
US velocity (m/s	s)	-	0.136	0.1	131	0.137	0.	149	0.150	0.	161	0.1	167	0.1	73	0.1	65	0.1	77	0.06	54
DS flow (m3/s)		-	0.00049	0.00	0049	0.00054	4 0.0	0058	0.0006	2 0.0	0064	0.00	070	0.00	077	0.00	081	0.00	081	0.000)81
DS velocity (m/s	s)	-	0.075	0.1	161	0.173	0.	141	0.190	0.	154	0.2	207	0.2	20	0.1	77	0.0	64	0.01	19
Node	<u> </u>		MH	3139806381	MH314860	06410	-	· ·	-	-	-		MH318	6206526	MH3195	006587	MH3202	606639		-	-
ground (m AD)		81.5	595	83.622	83.716	6	84.378	84.9	980	83.256	82.108	3	81	.997	79.1	165	78.9	82	79	9.040	-
level (m AD)		77.0	074	76.910	76.699	9	76.545	76.3	394	76.259	76.15	7	75	.902	75.6	569	75.5	31	75	5.323	-

2150 LAKESHORE BLVD._PALACE PIER EXISTING

80.0 —							
79.5 —							
79.0 —							
78.5 —							
78.0 —							
77.5 —							
ЧЧ Ч 77.0 —							
76.5 —							
76.0 —							
75.5 —							1
75.0 —			2	1			J
74.5 —	с.		0007072	2215068			
74.0 —	T HW			MH3			
m	0	9	5	124		1	78
Link	MH-	-A2.1	MH322520	06851.1	MH32215	506814.1	
length (m)	95	5.0	28.9	9	54	.4	
height (mm)	3	75	375	5	37	75	
grad (m/m)	0.00	0007	0.002	74	0.00	404	
pfc (m3/s)	0.0	015	0.09	2	0.1	11	
surc	0.	33	0.20) (0.1	18	
US flow (m3/s)	0.00	0712	0.007	35	0.00	735	
US velocity (m/s)	0.2	220	0.47	2	0.5	36	
DS flow (m3/s)	0.00	0712	0.007	35	0.00	735	
DS velocity (m/s)	0.4	458	0.61	2	0.6	06	
Node	MH-A2	MH3225	206851	MH322150	06814	MH3216306785	
ground (m AD)	79.303	79.3	303	78.87	1	78.707	
level (m AD)	75.725	75.0	667	75.54	9	75.184	
· 1			I				

2150 LAKESHORE BLVD._GARDINER EXISTING

840 —									
83.0 — 82.0 —									
81.0 —									
80.0 —									
₹ E 79.0 —									
78.0 —									
77.0 —									
76.0 —	A								
75.0 —	И								
74.0 —									
m	Ō	5	6	73		14	43	19	204
Link	MH32144	406765.1	-	-	MH32173	06776.1	MH32236	06714.1	-
length (m)	55	5.9	17	7.0	70.	3	50.	.8	9.7
height (mm)	67	75	67	75	67	5	67	5	675
grad (m/m)	0.00	0115	0.00	921	0.00	157	0.00	158	0.00620
pfc (m3/s)	0.2	285	0.8	07	0.33	33	0.33	34	0.662
surc	0.4	40	0.4	42	0.4	2	0.3	9	0.29
US flow (m3/s)	0.11	1285	0.12	.041	0.120)41	0.120	054	0.12077
US velocity (m/s)	0.8	341	1.3	84	0.8	53	0.92	22	1.392
DS flow (m3/s)	0 11	1285	0.12	041	0 120	041	0 120	054	0.12077
DS velocity (m/s)	1.2	202	0.8	53	0.92	21	1.22	24	1.392
Node	MH3214406765	MH3216	306785	MH32173067	776	MH3223	3606714	MH32222066	553 -
around (m AD)	78.383	78.	707	78.330		83.	793	82.0	96 -
level (m AD)	75.322	75.	184	75.111		74.	986	74.8	337

2150 LAKESHORE BLVD._PARK LAWN INTERMEDIATE

90.0 —											•								
89.0 —																			
88.0 —																			
87.0 —																			
86.0 —																			
85.0 —																			
Q 84.0 -																			
۲ 83.0 —																			
82.0 —					-		_												
81.0 —												_							
80.0 —	-													-					
79.0 —																			
78.0 —	13003421		13003422		13003423	13003426		124cnnct	13003428		13003429		13003430	13003431					
77.0 —	ž		Ż		ž	Ť	15		Ť		ź		Σ	MF					
m	0	5	59		136	163	24	41	280	3	42	4	14	478	8	5	71 6	05 6	36
Link	MH30	03421.1	MH30	03422.1	-	MH300	3426.1	-	MH300)3428.1	MH300	03429.1	MH3003	3430.1	MH300)3431.1	-	-	-
length (m)		59.1	7	7.0	27.0	78	8.0	38.6	6	2.5	7	2.1	64	.2	92	2.1	34.0	31.0	-
height (mm)		250	2	50	250	25	50	250	2	50	2	50	25	0	3	75	375	450	-
grad (m/m)	0.0	0507	0.0	3000	-	0.00	500	0.0072	26 0.00	0368	0.00	0499	0.004	499	0.02	2693	0.01000	0.00871	-
pfc (m3/s)	0	.042	0.	103	0.04	3 0.0	42	0.051	0.0	036	0.0	042	0.04	42	0.2	288	0.175	0.266	-
surc	(0.08	0	.10	0.09	0.0	09	0.09	0.	17	0.	.31	0.4	3	0.	20	0.28	0.21	-
US flow (m3/s)	0.0	0005	0.0	010	-	0.00	017	0.0001	9 0.00	0023	0.00	0690	0.01	558	0.0	1858	0.02525	0.02525	-
US velocity (m/s)	0	.028	0.	037	0.05	5 0.0	75	0.086	5 0.0)93	0.5	598	0.7	73	1.1	187	1.016	1.019	-
DS flow (m3/s)	0.0	0005	0.0	010	-	0.00	017	0.0001	9 0.00	023	0.00	0690	0.01	558	0.01	1858	0.02525	0.02525	-
DS velocity (m/s)	0	.028	0.	037	0.05	6 0.0	76	0.086	5 0.0)43	0.5	533	0.8	34	1.1	187	1.016	1.019	-
Node	-	MH30	03422	MH300	3423 🛛	VH3003426	MH300	3427 🛛	/H3003428	MH30	03429	MH30	03430	MH300	3431	MH300	3432	-	- _
ground (m AD)	89.620	87.	531	8	5.210 8	34.360	82.9	910	83.740	85.	410	85.	600	84.83	31	80	.660 79	.200	-
level (m AD)	85.921	84.	.866	82	2.522 8	32.353	81.9	933	81.624	81.	411	81.	057	80.6	75	78	.194 77	.816	-

2150 LAKESHORE BLVD._MARINE PARADE DRIVE INTERMEDIATE

8	0.0 —																						
7	9.5 —				-																		
7	9.0 —																					•	
7	8.5 —																						
7	8.0 —								_														
E 7	7.5 —																		T				
7	7.0 —												0										
7	6.5 —																						
7	6.0 —	2		'n	œ	б	Ŧ	2		5	4												
7	5.5 —	1312290628 1312160628	1000012101	9590561151	1311770642	1311990648	-2CD0++521 CL	1313410656 1212000650	1314390666		1315460663		1316420665		00000+710			b					2
7	75.0 —		3 8	2 3 1	₹ 51 2	± ±	74	379 43	5 48	86	596	6	5 695	8	14	893	995	1	068 1	121		1242 15	299
Link												• 		0	~								
length (m)		33.3	50.0	67.9	65.2	57.1	105.6	55.5	51.8	- 109.5	;	- 99.1	1	- 09.3	88.9	102	.2	47.9	53.2		101.1	56.1	
height (mm)		600	600	600	600	600	600	600	600	600		600		600	600	600	o	600	600	-	600	675	
grad (m/m)		-	-	0.00193	0.00038	0.00252	0.00137	0.00166	-	0.0015	3	0.00136	0.0	00059	0.00216	0.001	81	· -	-	-	0.00051	0.00109	
pfc (m3/s)		-	0.317	0.270	0.120	0.308	0.228	0.250	0.143	0.241		0.227	C).149	0.285	0.26	51	0.262	0.278	-	0.139	0.277	
surc		0.20	0.20	0.23	0.28	0.26	0.34	0.38	0.38	0.45		0.52		0.57	0.44	0.4	7	0.48	0.53	-	0.60	0.44	
US flow (m3/	/s)	-		0.02655	0.02723	0.02736	0.04404	0.05574	-	0.0625	2	0.10939		11047	0.11180	0.114	194		-	-	0.12407	0.12419	
US velocity (m/s)	-	0.645	0.590	0.414	0.641	0.612	0.668	0.623	0.688	5 	0.780		J.6/U	0.945	0.88	57	0.931	0.905	-	0.703	0.818	
DS velocity ((S) m/s)		-	0.02055	0.02723	0.02736	0.04404	0.05574	-	0.0625	2	0.10939		11047	0.11180		194 ·	1 016			0.12407 1 010	0.12419	
Node	, 3/			-	-				0.502		-	0.744	-				-	-	-		1.019		닉
around (m A	(D)		- 79	.128 79	.322 78	.652 77.9	981	77.471 -	77.7	768	78.19	99 7	8.747	78.	522	8.274	78.276	-	-	- 78	3.408 7	/8.926 -	
level (m AD)	<i>′</i>		- 77.	.186 77	.065 76	.995 76.8	882	76.758 -	76.6	601	76.48	86 7	6.363	76.	220	6.032	75.840	-	-	- 75	5.583 7	/5.438 -	
														•								·	_

2150 LAKESHORE BLVD._LAKESHORE BLVD. N INTERMEDIATE

85.0 —											
84.0 —											
83.0 —	_										
82.0 —											
0 81.0 — E											
80.0 —											
79.0 —]		
78.0 —									9		
77.0 —	H3157006418	H3148306388		H3139606358							
76.0 — m		≥ 		<u>ع</u> ا 184	4	27	6	360	6		
Link	MH3157	006418.1	MH31483	806388.1	MH3139	506358.1	Dummy_Weir.2				
length (m)	91	1.5	92	.2	92	4	89	.7			
height (mm)	22	25	22	25	22	25	30	0			
grad (m/m)	0.00	0665	0.00	291	0.02	633	0.00	204			
pfc (m3/s)	0.0)37	0.0	24	0.0	73	0.0-	44			
surc	0.	09	0.0)9	0.	10	0.0	9			
US flow (m3/s)	0.00	0000	0.00	000	0.00	005	0.00	034			
US velocity (m/s)	0.0	000	0.0	00	0.0	24	0.1	10			
DS flow (m3/s)	-0.00	0000	-0.00	0000	0.00	005	0.00	034			
DS velocity (m/s)	0.0	000	0.0	00	0.0	0.125					
Node	MH3157006418	MH31483	06388	MH31396	506358	MH3130	MH3130906328 Dummy_Weir				
ground (m AD)	84.341	83.86	94	83.7	46	81.7	62	79.056	1		
level (m AD)	82.011	81.38	0	81.1	03	77.1	48	77.355	-		

2150 LAKESHORE BLVD._LAKESHORE BLVD. S INTERMEDIATE

85.0 —	•																			
84.0 —							3													
83.0 —																				
82.0 —																				
81.0 —													-							
Q 80.0 — Е																				
79.0 —	0												_							
78.0 —																				
77.0 —																				
76.0 —	6328	1 4 5 6	6381	6410		6440	CAGE -	C 040	1	4										h
75.0 —	H313090	0212121	H313980	H314860		H315700	000915H	H5 10420												-P
74.0 —	M .	2 	Σ	Σ 		<u>Σ</u>	1	20	1	105		-							1	
m	0 2	.4	113	204		294	3/	/0	440	486		5	99	70	6	/98	3		18	969
Link	-	MH3131506	5351.1 MH3139	806381.1 MF	H314860641	0.1	-	-	-	·	MH31752	06501.1	MH3186	206526.1	MH31950	006587.1	MH3202	606639.1	-	
length (m)	23.8	88.8	9	1.7	89.4	76	5.7	69.1	46	.5	113	3.0	10	7.3	91	.3	12	0.4	50.4	4
height (mm)	300	300		00	300	30	00	300	30	00	30	0	30	00	30	00	30	JU	300)
grad (m/m)	-	0.0020	0.0	J1/0	0.00166	0.00	198	0.00181	0.00	222	0.002	216	0.00	202	0.00	150	0.00	1202	0.002	.03
prc (m3/s)	-	0.043		10	0.039	0.0	11	0.041		40	0.04	45 1	0.0	143 11	0.0	12	0.0	143 20	0.04	4
SUIC	0.09	0.15		040	0.10						0.1	ו סדר			0.00	001	0.	5U 1001	0.64	+ \01
	-	0.0004	5 0.0	121	0.00054		10	0.00062		61	0.000	570		70// 70	0.00	65	0.00			15
DS flow (m ² /s)	1	0.130			0.157		43	0.150		064	0.10	07 070		077	0.1	091	0.1	0.001		191
		0.0004		161	0.00054 0 172	0.00	<u>41</u>	0.00002		54	0.000)7		20	0.00	77	0.00)45	0.000	
Node		N	<u> </u>	MH3148606	6410	- 0.1				-	0.20	MH3186	1 <u>0.2</u> 6206526	MH3195	006587	MH32026	0.0		- 1	<u>' </u> -
around (m AD)	R	1 595	83 622	83 716		84 378	84	980 8	3 256	82 109		81	997	79.1	65	78 98	32	70	040	_
level (m AD)	7	7.074	76.910	76.699		76.545	76.3	394 7	6.259	76.157		75.	902	75.6	69	75.53	 31	75	.343	-
(····-/				1	I						I					. 5160	-	1 .0		
2150 LAKESHORE BLVD._PALACE PIER INTERMEDIATE

ARUP

80.0 —							
79.5 —							
79.0 —			and the second s				
78.5 —							
78.0 —				_			
77.5 —							
UV E 77.0 —							
76 г							
70.5 —							
76.0 —							
75.5 —							
75.0 —			-	14		V	
74 5 —			000.70	15068			
	ЧЧ ЧУ Ч		226111	MH322			
74.0 — m	0	9	5	T 124		178	в
Link	MH-	A2.1	MH322520	6851.1	MH32215	506814.1	_
length (m)	99	5.0	28.9		54.4		
height (mm)	3.	75	375		375		
grad (m/m)	0.00	0007	0.00274		0.00404		
pfc (m3/s)	0.0	015	0.092		0.111		
surc	0.	33	0.20		0.18		
US flow (m3/s)	0.00	0712	0.00735		0.00735		
US velocity (m/s)	0.2	220	0.472		0.536		
DS flow (m3/s)	0.00	0712	0.00735		0.00735		
DS velocity (m/s)	0.4	458	0.612	2	0.6	06	
Node	MH-A2	MH3225	206851	MH322150	06814	MH3216306785	_
ground (m AD)	79.303	79.	303	78.87	1	78.707	
level (m AD)	75.725	75.0	667	75.54	9	75.202	
							_

2150 LAKESHORE BLVD._GARDINER INTERMEDIATE

ARUP

84.0 —	1		•						
83.0 —									
82.0 —									
81.0 —									
80.0 —									
⊄ E 79.0 —									
78.0 —									
77.0 —									
76.0 —	8								
75.0 —	<u> </u>								
74.0 —									
m	0	5	6	73		14	43	19	204
Link	MH32144	406765.1	-		MH32173	06776.1	MH32236	506714.1	-
length (m)	55	5.9	17.0	0	70	.3	50	.8	9.7
height (mm)	67	75	675	5	67	5	67	75	675
grad (m/m)	0.00115		0.00921		0.00	157	0.00158		0.00620
pfc (m3/s)	0.2	285	0.80)7	0.33	33	0.3	34	0.662
surc	0.43		0.44		0.4	14	0.4	42	0.31
US flow (m3/s)	0.13	3113	0.138	69	0.138	869	0.13	881	0.13904
US velocity (m/s)	0.8	387	1.41	7	0.90	02	0.9	63	1.445
DS flow (m3/s)	0.13	3113	0.138	69	0.138	869	0.13	881	0.13904
DS velocity (m/s)	1.2	257	0.90	02	0.9	62	1.2	77	1.445
Node	MH3214406765	MH3216	306785	MH321730677	76	MH3223	3606714	MH32222066	553 -
ground (m AD)	78.383	78.	707	78.330		83.	793	82.0	96 -
level (m AD)	75.342	75.	202	75.130		75.	006	74.8	352
· ·					1				